arXiv:1701.07082v1 [math.NT] 24 Jan 2017

Height functions for motives

Kazuya Kato

January 26, 2017

Dedicated to Professor Alexander Beilinson on his 60th birthday.

Abstract

We define various height functions for motives over number fields. We compare these height functions with classical height functions on algebraic varieties, and also with analogous height functions for variations of Hodge structures on curves over $\mathbb{C}$. These comparisons provide new questions on motives over number fields.

Contents

§0. Introduction
§1. Height functions for motives
§2. Speculations

0 Introduction

0.1. In this paper, for a number field F, we define various height functions

(1) $H_\spadesuit, H_\heartsuit, H_\diamondsuit, H_\clubsuit, H_\star, H_{\star\diamondsuit}$, etc. : $\{\text{motives over } F\} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

We compare these height functions with height functions

(2) $V(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

for an algebraic variety V over F. (1) and (2) are similar, but a big difference is that usually moduli spaces of motives do not exist as algebraic varieties except the cases of Shimura varieties which are regarded as moduli spaces of motives. In (2), assume that V is a dense open set of a projective smooth algebraic variety $\bar{V}$ and that the complement $D = \bar{V} \smallsetminus V$ is a divisor on $\bar{V}$ with normal crossings. We will see that the height function $H_\spadesuit$ in (1) is similar to the height function H_{K+D} in (2) where K is a canonical divisor of $\bar{V}$. On the other hand, the height function $H_{\star\diamondsuit}$ in (1) is similar to the height function H_A in (2) associated to an ample divisor A on $\bar{V}$. The height function $H_\heartsuit$ in (1) is similar to the height function H_D in (2).

The height function $H_\star$ is almost the same as the height of pure motives in [21] and in Koshikawa [28] which generalizes the height of abelian varieties defined by Faltings ([10]). The height function $H_{\star\diamondsuit}$ is almost the same as the height of mixed motives defined in [22] and is closely related to the height parings of Beilinson [4], Bloch [6] and Gillet-Soulé ([14], [15]), and to Beilinson regulators in [3].

0.2. We also consider height functions

(3) $h_\spadesuit, h_\heartsuit, h_\diamondsuit, h_\clubsuit, h_\star, h_{\star\diamondsuit}$, etc. : {variations of Hodge structure on C} $\to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

where C is a smooth curve over $\mathbb{C}$. The height function which we denote by $h_\star$ here was considered in an old paper [17] of Griffiths.

0.3. Though the moduli spaces of motives do not exist usually, we have the philosophy that (like the complex analytic space V^{an} associated to V in (2))

(4) the complex analytic spaces associated to moduli spaces of motives exist and they are the known period domains which classify Hodge structures.

The toroidal partial compactifications of period domains constructed in [25] and [23] Part III (see §2.2 for a review) may be regarded as the analogues for (1) of $(\bar{V})^{\mathrm{an}}$ in (2). Our understandings of $H_\spadesuit$ and $H_\heartsuit$ as analogues of H_{K+D} and H_D in (2), respectively, come from this comparison as is explained in §2.2. We expect that what happens in the world of motives is suggested by what happens on period domains.

This (4) and the comparisons of the above (1), (2), (3) provide many new questions on motives over number fields which we discuss in Section 2. We will present Questions 1–9. For example, we ask

(a) how to formulate the motive versions for (1) of Vojta conjectures [45] for (2) (Questions 4,5,6),

(b) how to formulate the motive versions for (1) of the theories of the number of points of $V(F)$ of bounded height for (2) (Questions 7,8,9).

Vojta conjectures are stated by using the height functions H_{K+D} and H_A in (2), and the conjectures of Batyrev and Manin [1] on the number of points of bounded height are stated by using relations between the divisors A and K. We will think what are analogues of these for motives in §2.4 and §2.5, respectively.

In §2.1, we relate the conjectural Mordell-Weil theorems for the K-groups of algebraic varieties over number fields to the finiteness of the number of mixed motives of bounded height with fixed pure graded quotients. In §2.3, basing on the philosophy (4) and the analogy between (1) and (3), we speculate that the analytic theory of curvature forms on Griffiths period domains may be strongly related to the theory of motives over a number field (Questions 1–3).

Though the author can not present enough evidences, he expects that the answers to all these Questions are Yes. He hopes that the new subjects and new problems in this paper can stimulate new studies in arithmetic geometry.

0.4. We dedicate this paper to Professor Alexander Beilinson on his 60th birthday, who played leading roles in the arithmetic studies of motives. §2 of this paper contains dreams, may be, too much. But we think he likes dreams in mathematics and hope that he thinks such paper is fine.

Concerning various subjects in this paper, the author learned many things from the papers [28] and [29] of T. Koshikawa and from discussions with him, and is very thankful to him.

1 Height functions for motives

In this §1, we define the height functions $H_r(M)$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$), $H_{\clubsuit}(M)$, $H_{\spadesuit}(M)$, and $H_{\heartsuit}(M)$ for motives M over a number field.

These are different from the height of a motive considered in [21], [22], [28], [29]. In this §1, we slightly change the definition of this height. We denote this modified height by $H_{\star}(M)$ in the case M is pure, and by $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M)$ in the case M is mixed.

The definitions of $H_r(M)$, $H_{\clubsuit}(M)$, $H_{\spadesuit}(M)$ and $H_{\star}(M)$ are given in §1.4 after the preliminaries in §1.1–§1.3. The definition of $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M)$ is given in §1.7 after preliminaries in §1.5. The definition of $H_{\heartsuit}(M)$ is given in §1.8. In §1.6 (and in §1.8 also), we consider the Hodge theoretic analogues of these height functions.

Heights of motives were studied also by A. Venkatesh independently (unpublished).

1.1 Motives

1.1.1. Let F be a number field, that is, a finite extension of the rational number field $\mathbb{Q}$.

We consider mixed motive with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F. How to define it may not be fixed yet in the mathematics of today, but we think that the definition of Jannsen [18] is the best for the present paper and we use it.

Let M be a mixed motive with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F. Then we have the étale realization M_{et} of M and the de Rham realization M_{dR} of M. For each embedding $a : F \to \mathbb{C}$, we have the Betti realization $M_{a,B}$ of M. These have the following properties.

Let $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and let $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^f = \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ be the non-archimedean component of the adele ring of $\mathbb{Q}$. Fix an algebraic closure $\bar{F}$ of F. Then M_{et} is a free $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^f$-module of finite rank with a continuous action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$. For each prime number p, we denote by $M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p}$ the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-component of M_{et}.

M_{dR} is a finite-dimensional F-vector space with a decreasing filtration (Hodge filtration).

$M_{a,B}$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space.

These realizations are related to each other (see 1.1.2 below). In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p}) = \dim_F(M_{dR}) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(M_{a,B})$, and this dimension is called the rank

of M.

M has an increasing filtration W, called the weight filtration, by sub mixed motives $W_w = W_w M$ ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$) with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F. This weight filtration induces weight filtrations on the above realizations. A mixed motive M with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F is called a pure motive of weight w if the weight filtration W satisfies $W_w = M$ and $W_{w-1} = 0$. We have a pure motive $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M = W_w M/W_{w-1}M$ of weight w for each $w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1.1.2. We give only rough descriptions of the relations between realizations. See [18] for details.

(1) We have comparison isomorphisms $\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M_{a,B} \cong \mathbb{C}\otimes_F M_{\mathrm{dR}}$ and $\mathbf{A}^f_{\mathbb{Q}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M_{a,B} \cong M_{et}$, which are compatible with the weight filtrations, and the former isomorphism produces a mixed Hodge structure $M_{a,H}$.

(2) If v is a non-archimedean place of F and p denotes the characteristic of the residue field of v, $M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a de Rham representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)$, $D_{\mathrm{dR}}(M_{p,et})$ is identified with $F_v \otimes_F M_{\mathrm{dR}}$, and the filtration $(D^r_{dR}(M_{p,et}))_r$ and the weight filtration of the former are identified with the Hodge filtration and the weight filtration of the latter, respectively.

1.1.3. Roughly speaking, a mixed motive with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F in the sense of [18] is a system of realizations which comes from geometry. Morphisms are homomorphisms of systems of realizations.

The category of mixed motives with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F is an abelian category. It is a Tannakian category, and has direct sums, tensor products, symmetric powers Sym^r, exterior powers $\wedge^r$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$), the dual $M \mapsto M^*$, and Tate twists $M \mapsto M(r)$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$).

1.1.4. For a pure motive M with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F of weight w, a polarization of M is a morphism $M \otimes M \to \mathbb{Q}(-w)$ which gives a polarization of the Hodge structure $M_{a,H}$ for any $a : F \to \mathbb{C}$.

1.1.5. Let M be a mixed motive with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over a number field F.

For a non-archimedean place v of F, we say M is of good reduction (resp. semi-stable reduction) at v if it satisfies the following two conditions (i) and (ii). Let p be the characteristic of the residue field of v.

(i) The representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)$ on $M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is crystalline (resp. semi-stable).

(ii) For any prime number $\ell \neq p$, the action of the inertia subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)$ on $M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ is trivial (resp. unipotent).

We say M is of bad reduction at v if it is not of good reduction at v.

We say M is of semi-stable reduction if it is of semi-stable reduction at any non-archimedean place of F.

1.1.6. By a mixed motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficient over F, we mean a mixed motive M with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F endowed with a $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$-stable $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-lattice T in M_{et}.

For a mixed motive M with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F, we denote this T by $M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$, and denote its p-adic part for a prime number p by $M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_p}$.

If M is a mixed motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F, we have a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of the Betti-realization $M_{a,B}$ for $a : F \to \mathbb{C}$ as the inverse image of $M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ in $M_{a,B}$ via the comparison isomorphism in 1.1.2. We will denote this $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice as $M_{a,B,\mathbb{Z}}$.

1.1.7. Let M be a mixed motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F, and let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. An isomorphism $M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}/nM_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^r$, where r is the rank of M, is called a full level n structure on M if the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ on $M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}/nM_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ is trivial.

1.1.8. When we consider a polarization of a pure motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients, we do not assume that it is integral (that is, we do not assume that the image of the pairing $M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}} \otimes M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}} \to \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^f(-w)$ is contained in $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(-w)$). This convention is should be good when we consider the duality, for the integrality of the polarization is not preserved in the duality.

1.2 Ideas of the definitions of the height functions for motives

1.2.1. In this §1, we will consider three cases.

Case (pure): M is a pure motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over a number field F of weight w.

Case (mixed): M is a mixed motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over a number field F.

Case (mixed-pol): M is a mixed motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over a number field F whose pure graded quotients $\mathrm{gr}_w^W M$ ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$) are polarized.

In this §1, we will define $H_r(M) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$), $H_\star(M)$, $H_\clubsuit(M) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ in Case (pure), $H_\spadesuit(M)$, $H_\heartsuit(M) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ in Case (mixed), and $H_\diamondsuit(M)$ and $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M)$ in Case (mixed-pol). The height function $H_\star(M)$ (resp. $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M)$) is a modified version of the height defined in [21], [28], [29] (resp. [22]). The height function $H_\diamondsuit(M)$ is the ratio of $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M)$ and $\prod_w H_\star(\mathrm{gr}_w^W M)$.

The height function $H_\spadesuit(M)$ has the importance that it is similar to the height function H_{K+D} in the comparison of (1) and (2) in 0.1, and is related to differential forms and a canonical measure on a period domain. $H_\clubsuit(M)$ is a simpler version of $H_\spadesuit(M)$ and is also related to differential forms and a canonical measure on some period domain. $H_\heartsuit(M)$ is similar to H_D in (2) in 0.1. $H_\star(M)$ and $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M)$ have the importance that in the comparison with (2) in 0.1, it is (conjecturally) like the height on $V(F)$ associated to an ample line bundle on $\bar{V}$. $H_r(M)$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ have the importance that these $H_\clubsuit(M)$ and $H_\spadesuit(M)$, and $H_\star(M)$ are expressed by using $H_r(M)$.

1.2.2. We describe the ideas of the definitions of

(i) $H_r(M)$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$), (ii) $H_\star(M)$, (iii) $H_\clubsuit(M)$, (iv) $H_\spadesuit(M)$.

Here in (i), (ii), (iii), we assume M is in Case (pure). In (iv), we assume M is in Case (mixed-pol). For each (i)–(iv), consider the one-dimensional F-vector space L defined as

(i) $L = (\det_F \mathrm{gr}^r M_{\mathrm{dR}}) \otimes_F (\det_F \mathrm{gr}^{w-r} M_{\mathrm{dR}})^{-1}$,
(ii) $L = \otimes_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}((\det_F \mathrm{gr}^r M_{\mathrm{dR}}) \otimes_F (\det_F \mathrm{gr}^{w-r} M_{\mathrm{dR}})^{-1})^{\otimes r}$.
(iii) $L = \det_F(\mathrm{End}_F(M_{dR})/F^0)^{-1}$,
(iv) $L = \det_F(\mathrm{End}_{F,W,\langle\,\rangle}(M_{dR})/F^0)^{-1}$,

Here in (iv), $\mathrm{End}_{F,W,\langle\,\rangle}(M_{dR})$ denotes the F-vector space of all F-linear maps $h : M_{dR} \to M_{dR}$ which respect the weight filtration W such that $\langle h(x), y\rangle + \langle x, h(y)\rangle_w = 0$ for any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $x, y \in \mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}}$ where $\langle\ ,\rangle : \mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}} \times \mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}} \to F$ is the pairing defined by the polarization of $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M$. Both in (iii) and (iv), F^0 denotes the 0-th Hodge filtration.

Here and in the following, the notation F for a number field and that for Hodge filtration may be confusing, but the author hopes that the reader can distinguish them. To avoid the confusion, we will denote gr^r_F for the Hodge filtration F just as gr^r.

As is explained in §1.4, for each place v of F, we have a canonical metric $|\ |_v$ on L. If v is archimedean, this comes from Hodge theory. If v is non-archimedean, this comes from p-adic Hodge theory. In the definition of $|\ |_v$ for v non-archimedean, we use the method of Koshikawa [28], [29] which he used for the height of a pure motive (and which was different from the original method in [21] by the author). For a non-zero element x of L, $|x|_v = 1$ for almost all places v of F.

We define

$H_r(M) := \prod_v |x|_v^{1/2}$ in (i), $\quad H_\star(M) := \prod_v |x|_v^{1/2}$ in (ii),
$H_\clubsuit(M) := \prod_v |x|_v$ in (iii), $\quad H_\spadesuit(M) := \prod_v |x|_v$ in (iv).

By the product formula, this does not depend on the choice of $x \in L \smallsetminus \{0\}$.

In (iv), $H_\spadesuit(M)$ is independent of the choice of the polarizations of $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M$ ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$), and hence $H_\spadesuit(M)$ is defined for M in Case (mixed).

1.2.3. We describe the idea of the definition of $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M)$ with M in Case (mixed-pol). It is defined in the form

$$H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M) := (\prod_{w\in\mathbb{Z}} H_\star(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M)) \cdot H_\diamondsuit(M)$$

where $H_\diamondsuit(M) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ describes, roughly speaking, how M is far from being the direct sum of $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M$ ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$). This $H_\diamondsuit(M)$ is defined in [22] and is reviewed in §1.7.

1.2.4. We describe the idea of the definition of $H_\heartsuit(M)$ with M in Case (mixed). $H_\heartsuit(M)$ is, very roughly speaking, the intersection number of M and the infinity (the boundary) of the moduli space of motives (see 2.2.13 and 2.2.14 for more explanations on this idea).

1.3 Review on p-adic Hodge theory

We review some parts of the work of Bhatt, Morrow, Scholze [5] on integral p-adic Hodge theory.

1.3.1. Let K be a complete discrete valuation field of mixed characteristic $(0,p)$ with perfect residue field k. Let $\bar{K}$ be an algebraic closure of K and let C be the completion of $\bar{K}$. Let $\bar{k}$ be the residue field of $\bar{K}$, which is an algebraic closure of k. Let O_K, $O_{\bar{K}}$ and O_C be the valuation rings of K, $\bar{K}$ and C, respectively.

The main subject we review in this §1.3 from [5] is that, for a de Rham representation V of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$, a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice $T \subset V$ gives an O_C-lattice $D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(T)$ in $C \otimes_K D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$.

1.3.2. We review the rings $W(O_{C^\flat})$, B_{dR}^+ and B_{dR} of Fontaine.

Let $O_{C^\flat} := \varprojlim_{n\geq 0} O_{\bar{K}}/pO_{\bar{K}} = \varprojlim_{n\geq 0} O_C/pO_C$ where the inverse limits are taken with respect to the homomorphisms $x \mapsto x^p$. Then $O_{C^\flat}$ is a perfect ring and it is an integral domain. The field of fractions of $O_{C^\flat}$ is denoted by $C^\flat$. (Actually, $O_{C^\flat}$ is a complete valuation ring of rank 1, and $C^\flat$ is an algebraically closed field.)

Consider the ring $W(O_{C^\flat})$ of Witt vectors with entries in $O_{C^\flat}$. The p-th power map of $O_{C^\flat}$ induces a ring automorphism $\varphi : W(O_{C^\flat}) \to W(O_{C^\flat})$ called Frobenius.

We have a unique ring homomorphism $\theta : W(O_{C^\flat}) \to O_C$ which lifts the composition $W(O_{C^\flat}) \to O_{C^\flat} \to O_C/pO_C$ (the last arrow is $(a_n)_{n\geq 0} \mapsto a_0$). This homomorphism θ is surjective and its kernel $\mathfrak{p}$ is a principal ideal. The local ring of $W(O_{C^\flat})$ at $\mathfrak{p}$ is a discrete valuation ring whose completion is denoted by B_{dR}^+. B_{dR} is defined to be the field of fractions of B_{dR}^+.

1.3.3. Let $\mathcal{C}_1$ be the category of free $W(O_{C^\flat})$-modules M of finite rank endowed with a Frobenius linear bijection $\varphi_M : M[1/\xi] \to M[1/\varphi(\xi)]$ where ξ is a generator of the ideal $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{Ker}(\theta : W(O_{C^\flat}) \to O_C)$. (Such M with φ_M is called a (finite free) Breuil-Kisin-Fargues module.) On the other hand, let $\mathcal{C}_2$ be the category of pairs (T, Ξ), where T is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank and Ξ is a B_{dR}^+-lattice in $B_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T$. Then we have an equivalence of categories

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \simeq \mathcal{C}_2.$$

This is a theorem of Fargues. A proof is given in [39].

When an object M of $\mathcal{C}_1$ and an object (T, Ξ) of $\mathcal{C}_2$ correspond, then

$$\Xi = B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \otimes_{W(O_{C^\flat})} M.$$

1.3.4. Let V be a de Rham representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ and let T be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice in V. Then we have an O_C-lattice in $C \otimes_K D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$, which we denote by $D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(T)$, defined as follows. We have $B_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T = B_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V = B_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_K D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$. Take $\Xi := B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \otimes_K D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$. Let M be the object of $\mathcal{C}_1$ corresponding to the object (T, Ξ)

of $\mathcal{C}_2$. Then we have $B^+_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{W(O_{C^\flat})} M = B^+_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_K D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$. By using the canonical homomorphism $B^+_{\mathrm{dR}} \to C$, we have $C \otimes_{W(O_{C^\flat})} M = C \otimes_K D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$. Let

$$D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(T) := O_C \otimes_{W(O_{C^\flat})} M \subset C \otimes D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V).$$

This construction of the O_C-lattice $D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(T)$ in $C \otimes_K D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$ is compatible with $\oplus$, $\otimes$, the dual, Sym^r, $\wedge^r$ $(r \geq 0)$, and Tate twists.

Here the compatibility with Tate twists mean the following. For a de Rham representation V and for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$ and $D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V(r))$ are identified as K-vector spaces. We have $D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(T) = D_{\mathrm{dR}}(T(r))$ in $C \otimes_K D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) = C \otimes_K D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V(r))$.

1.3.5. For V and T as in 1.3.4, we have also an O_C-lattice $D^r_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(T) = D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(V) \cap (C \otimes_K D^r_{\mathrm{dR}}(V))$ of $C \otimes_K D^r_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$ and an O_C-lattice $\mathrm{gr}^r D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(T) = D^r_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(T)/D^{r+1}_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(T)$ of $C \otimes_K \mathrm{gr}^r D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1.3.6. Geometric meanings of $D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(T)$.

Let X be a proper smooth scheme over K, let $T = H^m_{et}(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $V = H^m_{et}(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, and identify $D_{dR}(V)$ with $H^m_{dR}(X/K)$ and $\mathrm{gr}^r D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$ with $H^{m-r}(X, \Omega^r_{X/K})$.

(1) Assume $X = \mathfrak{X} \otimes_{O_K} K$ for a proper smooth scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ over O_K. Assume that $H^i(\mathfrak{X}, \Omega^j_{\mathfrak{X}/O_K})$ are torsion free for all i, j. Then T is torsion free, $D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(T) = O_C \otimes_{O_K} H^m_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathfrak{X}/O_K)$, and $\mathrm{gr}^r D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(T) = O_C \otimes_{O_K} H^{m-r}(\mathfrak{X}, \Omega^r_{\mathfrak{X}/O_K})$. This follows from [5].

(2) Assume $X = \mathfrak{X} \otimes_{O_K} K$ for a proper regular flat scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ over O_K of semi-stable reduction. Assume that $H^i(\mathfrak{X}, \Omega^j_{\mathfrak{X}/O_K}(\log))$ are torsion free for all i, j and assume $p - 1 > m$. Then T is torsion free, $D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(T) = O_C \otimes_{O_K} H^m_{\log \mathrm{dR}}(\mathfrak{X}/O_K)$, and $\mathrm{gr}^r D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(T) = O_C \otimes_{O_K} H^{m-r}(\mathfrak{X}, \Omega^r_{\mathfrak{X}/O_K}(\log))$. This follows from the works of Faltings [11], [12] (see §3.3 of [28]). (We do not use this (2) in this paper.)

1.3.7. Let K_0 be the field of fractions of $W(k)$, let $K_{0,\mathrm{ur}} \subset \bar{K}$ be the maximal unramified extension of K_0, and let $\hat{K}_{0,\mathrm{ur}} = \mathrm{frac}(W(\bar{k})) \subset C$ be the completion of $K_{0,\mathrm{ur}}$.

Let V be a de Rham representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. Then V is potentially semi-stable and we have a $K_{0,\mathrm{ur}}$-vector space $D_{\mathrm{pst}}(V)$ of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V)$. Let T be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice in V, let $\Xi = B^+_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_K D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$, and M be the object of $\mathcal{C}_1$ corresponding to the object (T, Ξ) of $\mathcal{C}_2$. Let

$$D_{\mathrm{pst},W(\bar{k})}(T) := W(\bar{k}) \otimes_{W(O_{C^\flat})} M.$$

where the homomorphism $W(O_{C^\flat}) \to W(\bar{k})$ is induced by $O_{C^\flat} \to O_C/pO_C \to \bar{k}$.

We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\hat{K}_{0,\mathrm{ur}} \otimes_{K_{0,\mathrm{ur}}} D_{pst}(V) \cong \hat{K}_{0,\mathrm{ur}} \otimes_{W(\bar{k})} D_{\mathrm{pst},W(\bar{k})}(T)$$

of vector spaces over $\hat{K}_{0,\mathrm{ur}}$. This isomorphism follows from the theory of Kisin on Beuil-Kisin modules ([27]) and the relation of Breuil–Kisin modules and Breuil–Kisin–Fargues modules explained in [5]. Hence $D_{\mathrm{pst},W(\bar{k})}(T)$ is regarded as a $W(\bar{k})$-lattice in $\hat{K}_{0,\mathrm{ur}} \otimes_{K_{0,\mathrm{ur}}} D_{\mathrm{pst}}(V)$.

This construction of the $W(\bar{k})$-lattice $D_{\mathrm{pst},W(\bar{k})}(T)$ in $\hat{K}_{0,\mathrm{ur}} \otimes_{K_{0,\mathrm{ur}}} D_{\mathrm{pst}}(V)$ is compatible with $\oplus$, $\otimes$, the dual, Sym^r, $\wedge^r$ $(r \geq 0)$, and Tate twists. Here the compatibility with Tate twists mean the following. For a de Rham representation V and for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $D_{\mathrm{pst}}(V)$ and $D_{\mathrm{pst}}(V(r))$ are identified as $K_{0,\mathrm{ur}}$-vector spaces. We have $D_{\mathrm{pst},W(\bar{k})}(T) = D_{\mathrm{pst},W(\bar{k})}(T(r))$ in $\hat{K}_{0,\mathrm{ur}} \otimes_{K_{0,\mathrm{ur}}} D_{\mathrm{pst}}(V) = \hat{K}_{0,\mathrm{ur}} \otimes_{K_{\mathrm{ur}}} D_{\mathrm{pst}}(V(r))$.

1.3.8. Geometric meanings of $D_{\mathrm{pst},W(\bar{k})}(T)$.

Let X be a proper smooth scheme over K, let $T = H^m_{et}(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and let $V = H^m_{et}(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$.

(1) Assume $X = \mathfrak{X} \otimes_{O_K} K$ for a proper smooth scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ over O_K and let $Y = X \otimes_{O_K} k$. Assume that $H^i_{\mathrm{crys}}(Y/W(k))$ are torsion free for all i. Then T is torsion free. Via the classical isomorphism $D_{\mathrm{pst}}(V) \cong K_{0,\mathrm{ur}} \otimes_{W(k)} H^m_{\mathrm{crys}}(Y/W(k))$, we have $D_{\mathrm{pst},W(\bar{k})}(T) = W(\bar{k}) \otimes_{W(k)} H^m_{\mathrm{crys}}(Y/W(k))$. This follows from [5].

(2) Assume $X = \mathfrak{X} \otimes_{O_K} K$ for a proper regular flat scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ over O_K of semistable reduction. Assume that $H^i_{\log,et}(Y/W(k))$ are torsion free for all i and assume $p - 1 > m$. Then T is torsion free. Via the classical isomorphism $D_{\mathrm{pst}}(V) \cong K_{0,\mathrm{ur}} \otimes_{W(k)} H^m_{\log\,\mathrm{crys}}(Y/W(k))$, we have $D_{\mathrm{pst},W(\bar{k})}(T) = D_{\log,\mathrm{crys}}(Y/W(k))$. This follows from the works of Faltings [11], [12] (see §3.3 of [28]).

We will not use this 1.3.8 in this paper, but we will use the integral structure of $\hat{K}_{0,\mathrm{ur}} \otimes_{K_{0,\mathrm{ur}}} D_{\mathrm{pst}}(V)$ in 1.3.7 in the definition of the height function $H_\heartsuit$ for motives in §1.8.

1.4 Height functions $H_r(M)$, $H_\clubsuit$, $H_\spadesuit$, and $H_\star$

We define the height functions for motives, H_r $(r \in \mathbb{Z})$, $H_\star$, $H_\clubsuit$ and $H_\spadesuit$.

We first define H_r $(r \in \mathbb{Z})$ in 1.4.3 after preparations in 1.4.1, 1.4.2, and then define $H_\star$, $H_\clubsuit$ and $H_\spadesuit$ in 1.4.5.

1.4.1. We give a preparation on Hodge theory.

Let $H = (H_\mathbb{R}, F)$ be a pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure of weight w ($H_\mathbb{R}$ is the $\mathbb{R}$-structure and F is the Hodge filtration on $H_\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_\mathbb{R} H_\mathbb{R}$). Consider the one-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $I = (\det_\mathbb{C} \mathrm{gr}^r H_\mathbb{C}) \otimes_\mathbb{C} (\det_C \mathrm{gr}^{w-r} H_\mathbb{C})^{-1}$. We define a metric $|\ |$ on I by

$$|a \otimes b^{-1}| := |a/\bar{b}| = |\bar{a}/b|$$

where a is a basis of $\det_\mathbb{C} \mathrm{gr}^r H_\mathbb{C}$, b is a basis of $\det_C \mathrm{gr}^{w-r} H_\mathbb{C}$, $\bar{a}$ is a basis of $\det_\mathbb{C} \mathrm{gr}^{w-r} H_\mathbb{C}$ obtained from a as below, $\bar{b}$ is a basis of $\det_\mathbb{C} \mathrm{gr}^r H_\mathbb{C}$ obtained from b as below, and we take the ratios $a/\bar{b}, \bar{a}/b \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ of two bases of one-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces and take their absolute values $|a/\bar{b}|$, $|\bar{a}/b| \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

The map $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ and $b \mapsto \bar{b}$ are given by the semi-linear isomorphism $\det_\mathbb{C} \mathrm{gr}^{w-r} H_\mathbb{C} \cong \det_\mathbb{C} \mathrm{gr}^r H_\mathbb{C}$ (semi-linearity is for the complex conjugation) induced by the composition of the bijections

(*) $\mathrm{gr}^{w-r} H_\mathbb{C} \cong H^{w-r,r} \to H^{r,w-r} \cong \mathrm{gr}^r H_\mathbb{C}$.

Here $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{p,q}$ $(p+q=w)$ denotes the component of $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ of Hodge type (p,q), and the central arrow in (*) is the semi-linear isomorphism induced by $\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\mathbb{R}}$; $z\otimes v \mapsto \bar{z}\otimes v$ $(z\in\mathbb{C},\, v\in H_{\mathbb{R}})$.

1.4.2. In 1.4.1, if a polarization p on H is given, we have the Hodge metric on $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by p, hence $\mathrm{gr}^r H_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathrm{gr}^{w-r} H_{\mathbb{C}}$ have the induced Hermitian metrics, and hence $I = (\det_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{gr}^r H_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\det_C \mathrm{gr}^{w-r} H_{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}$ has the induced metric. This metric coincides with the metric defined in 1.4.1 which was defined not using a polarization.

This can be seen as follows. Let a be a basis of $\det_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{gr}^r H_{\mathbb{C}}$ and b be a basis of $\det_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{gr}^{w-r} H_{\mathbb{C}}$, and let $|a|_p$ and $|b|_p$ be the metic defined by the Hodge metric on $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ associated to p, respectively. Write $a = z\bar{b}$ in $\det_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{gr}^r H_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $z\in\mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathrm{gr}^r H_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathrm{gr}^{w-r} H_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the non-degenerate bilinear pairing induced by p, and denote the induced bilinear pairing $\det_C \mathrm{gr}^r H_{\mathbb{C}} \times \det_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{gr}^{w-r} H_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}$ also by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. Then we have $|a|_p = |\langle a, \bar{a}\rangle|^{1/2} = |z||\langle b, \bar{b}\rangle| = |z||b|_p$ and hence $|a|_p|b|_p^{-1} = |z|$.

1.4.3. Let M be as in Case (pure) (1.2.1). Let $r\in\mathbb{Z}$ and let

$$L_r(M) := (\det_F \mathrm{gr}^r M_{\mathrm{dR}}) \otimes_F (\det_F \mathrm{gr}^{w-r} M_{\mathrm{dR}})^{-1}.$$

For each place v of F, we define a metic $|\ |_v$ on $L_r(M)$ as follows.

If v is archimedean, take a homomorphism $a : F \to \mathbb{C}$ which induces the place v and let H be the $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure $\mathbb{R}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M_{a,H}$ (1.1.2 (1)). We have $\mathbb{C}\otimes_F L_r(M) \cong I$ with I of H as above. For $x\in L_r(M)$, by using the above metric $|\ |$ on I, we define $|x|_v = |x|$ if v is a real place, and $|x|_v = |x|^2$ if v is a complex place.

Let v be a non-archimedean place and let C be the completion of an algebraic closure of F_v.

In general, for a one-dimensional C-vector space J over C endowed with an O_C-lattice J_{O_C}, we have the associated metric $|\ |$ on J defined as

$$|x| = \inf\{|a| \mid a\in C, x\in aJ_{O_C}\}$$

where $a\mapsto |a|$ is the absolute value of C whose restriction to F_v coincides with the standard absolute value of F_v.

Let p be the characteristic of the residue field of v and consider $D_{\mathrm{dR}}(M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p})$ of the representation $M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)$. We have the metric on

$$C\otimes_F L_r(M) = C\otimes_{F_v} (\det_{F_v}\mathrm{gr}^r D_{\mathrm{dR}}(M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p})) \otimes_{F_v} (\det_{F_v}\mathrm{gr}^{w-r} D_{dR}(M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p}))^{-1}$$

associated to the O_C-lattice

$$(\det_{O_C}\mathrm{gr}^r D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_p})) \otimes_{O_C} (\det_{O_C}\mathrm{gr}^{w-r} D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_p}))^{-1}$$

(1.3.5). This metric induces a metric $|\ |_v$ on $L_r(M)$.

For $x\in L_r(M)\smallsetminus\{0\}$, we have $|x|_v = 1$ for almost all places v of F. This follows from (1) of 1.3.6.

We define

$$H_r(M) := \prod_v |x|_v^{1/2}.$$

By the product formula, this is independent of x.

Proposition 1.4.4. *Let M be in Case (pure).*

(1) $H_r(M^*) = H_{-r}(M)^{-1}$. Here M^* denotes the dual of M.

(2) $H_r(M(i)) = H_{r+i}(M)$ for the i-th Tate twist.

(3) $H_r(M)H_{w-r}(M) = 1$ and $\prod_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} H_r(M) = 1$.

(4) For a finite extension F' of F, we have $H_r(M') = H_r(M)^{[F':F]}$ where M' denotes the pure motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F' induced by M.

(5) Let $n \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. Let M' be the pure motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F whose underlying pure motive with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients is the same as that of M such that $M'_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}} = n \cdot M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$. Then

$$H_r(M') = H_r(M).$$

.

(6) Let M' be in Case (pure). Then $H_r(M \oplus M') = H_r(M)H_r(M')$.

These are evident.

1.4.5. We define $H_\star$, $H_\clubsuit$, $H_\spadesuit$.

For M in Case (pure), let

$$H_\star(M) = \prod_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} H_r(M)^r.$$

This $H_\star(M)$ is a modified version of the height of M in Case (pure) given in [21] and in Koshikawa [28], [29]. The precise relation to the height by Koshikawa is given in 1.4.11 below.

For M in Case (pure), let

$$H_\clubsuit(M) = \prod_{r<0} H_r(M^* \otimes M)^{-1}$$

where M^* denotes the dual of M.

For M in Case (mixed), let

$$H_\spadesuit(M) =$$

$$\prod_{w<0,r<0} H_r(\mathrm{gr}_w^W(M^* \otimes M))^{-1} \cdot \prod_{w:\mathrm{odd},r<w} H_r(\mathrm{Sym}^2\mathrm{gr}_w^W M)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{w:\mathrm{even},r<w} H_r(\wedge^2\mathrm{gr}_w^W M)^{-1}.$$

These $H_\clubsuit$ and $H_\spadesuit$ are expressed by using H_r as in 1.4.7 below.

1.4.6. (1) For a map $h : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with finite support and for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$a(h, r) = \sum_{i<r} h(i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

(2) For a map $h : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with finite support and for $w, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $b(h, w, r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ as follows. Let $A(w, r) = \{(w', r') \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid w' < w, r' < r\}$, $B(w, r) =$

$\{(w',r') \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid w' > w, r' > r\}$, $C(w,r) = \{(w',r') \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid w' = w, r' < r, r+r' \neq w\}$. Define

$$b(h,w,r) := (\sum_{(w',r') \in A(w,r) \cup C(w,r)} h(w',r')) - (\sum_{(w',r') \in B(w,r)} h(w',r')) + e(w,r)$$

where $e(w,r) = h(w,r) - (-1)^w$ if $r > w/2$ and $e(w,r) = 0$ if $r \leq w/2$.

Proposition 1.4.7. *(1) Let M be in Case (pure). Then*

$$H_{\clubsuit}(M) = \prod_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} H_r(M)^{a(h,r)}$$

where $a(h,r)$ is as in 1.4.6 (1) with $h(r) = \dim_F \mathrm{gr}^r M_{\mathrm{dR}}$ (1.4.6 (1)).

(2) Let M be in Case (mixed). Then

$$H_{\spadesuit}(M) = \prod_{w,r \in \mathbb{Z}} H_r(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M)^{b(h,w,r)}$$

where $b(h,w,r)$ is as in 1.4.6 (2) with $h(w,r) = \dim_F \mathrm{gr}^r \mathrm{gr}^W_w(M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ (1.4.6 (2)).

This Proposition 1.4.7 will be proved in this §1.4 later.

In the rest of this §1.4, we give comments on $H_\star$ first, then on $H_{\clubsuit}$ and then on $H_{\spadesuit}$, and then give a Proposition 1.4.18 on the properties of these height functions.

Lemma 1.4.8. *Let k be a field, let $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let H be a finite dimensional k-vector space endowed with a finite decreasing filtration such that $h(r) = h(w-r)$, where $h(r) := \dim_k \mathrm{gr}^r H$, for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $L_r = (det_k\ \mathrm{gr}^r H) \otimes_k (det_k\ \mathrm{gr}^{w-r} H)^{-1}$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$(\otimes_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} (det_k\ \mathrm{gr}^r H)^{\otimes r})^{\otimes 2} \cong (\otimes_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} L_r)^{\otimes r} \otimes_k (det_k H)^{\otimes w}.$$

Proof. We have canonical isomorphisms

$$(\otimes_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathrm{det}_k \mathrm{gr}^r H)^{\otimes r})^{\otimes 2} \cong (\otimes_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathrm{det}_k \mathrm{gr}^r H)^{\otimes r}) \otimes_k (\otimes_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathrm{det}_k \mathrm{gr}^{w-r} H)^{\otimes w-r})$$

$$\cong (\otimes_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} L_r^{\otimes r}) \otimes_k (\otimes_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{det}_k \mathrm{gr}^{w-r} H) \cong (\otimes_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} L_r^{\otimes r}) \otimes_k (\mathrm{det}_k H)^{\otimes w}.$$

□

1.4.9. As a preparation for 1.4.11, we consider motives of rank one. Let M be as in Case (pure) and assume that M is of rank 1. For each place v of F, we define a metric $|\ |_v$ on the one-dimensional F-vector space M_{dR} as follows.

Assume first that v is archimedean and let $a : F \to \mathbb{C}$ be a homomorphism which induces v. For $x \in M_{\mathrm{dR}}$, denote the image of x under the isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \otimes_F M_{\mathrm{dR}} \cong \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_{a,B,\mathbb{Z}}$ as $z \otimes \gamma$ where $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and γ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $M_{a,B,\mathbb{Z}}$, Define $|x|_v = (2\pi)^{-w} z\bar{z}$ if v is a complex place and $|x|_v = (2\pi)^{-w/2}(z\bar{z})^{1/2}$ if v is a real place. .

Assume next v is non-archiemdean. Let $|\ |_v$ be the metric on M_{dR} induced by the metric on $C \otimes_F M_{\mathrm{dR}}$ associated to the O_C-lattice $D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_p})$ in $C \otimes_F M_{\mathrm{dR}}$.

Define $|M| := \prod_v |x|_v \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ for a non-zero element x of M_{dR}. By the product formula, this is independent of the choice of x.

We have

(1) $|M(r)| = |M|$ $(r \in \mathbb{Z})$ for Tate twists.

(2) If M is the pure motive $\mathbb{Z}$, $|M| = 1$.

(3) For a finite extension F' of F, $|M'| = |M|^{[F':F]}$ where M' denotes the pure motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F' induced by M.

A philosophy on motives is that there is a finite extension F' of F such that M becomes isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}(r)$ over F' for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (1), (2), (3), this philosophy tells that $|M|$ should be always equal to 1.

1.4.10. In [21], the author defined the height of M in Case (pure). The definition was improved by Koshikawa in [28]. These definitions use metrics $|\ |_v$ on the one-dimensional F-vector space

$$L := \otimes_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}(\det_F \mathrm{gr}^r M_{dR})^{\otimes r}$$

for places v of F. The height is defined as $\prod_v |x|_v$ for a non-zero element x of L.

Proposition 1.4.11. *Assume that M is in Case (pure). Let $H'_\star(M)$ be the height of M defined in [28]. Then*

$$H'_\star(M) = H_\star(M)|det(M)|^{w/2}.$$

Proof. Via the isomorphism 1.4.8 for $k = F$ and $H = M_{\mathrm{dR}}$, let $x \in L \smallsetminus \{0\}$ and denote the image of $x^{\otimes 2}$ in $\otimes_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} L_r(M)^{\otimes r} \otimes_F (\det_F(M_{\mathrm{dR}}))^{\otimes w}$ as $y \otimes z^{\otimes w}$ where y is a non-zero element of $\otimes_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} L_r(M)^{\otimes r}$ and z is a non-zero element of $\det_F(M_{\mathrm{dR}}) = (\det(M))_{\mathrm{dR}}$. The definition of $|x|_v$ in [28] shows that

$$|x|_v = |y|_v^{1/2}|z|_v^{w/2}$$

where $|y|_v$ is as in 1.4.3 and $|z|_v$ is as in 1.4.9. By taking the product for all v, we have the formula in 1.4.11. □

1.4.12. The philosophy on motives in 1.4.9 tells that $|\det(M)|$ should be 1 and hence that $H'_\star(M)$ should coincide with $H_\star(M)$.

1.4.13. The following facts concerning determinant modules are well known. Let $S = (S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ be a locally ringed space.

(1) For $i = 1, 2$, let V_i be a vector bundle over S of rank $n(i)$. Fix a bijection $(s, t) : \{1, 2, \ldots, n(1)n(2)\} \to \{1, \ldots, n(1)\} \times \{1, \ldots, n(2)\}$. Then concerning $\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(V_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} V_2)$, we have a unique isomorphism

$$(\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(V_1))^{\otimes n(2)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} (\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(V_2))^{\otimes n(1)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(V_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} V_2)$$

which sends $(\wedge_{i=1}^{n(1)} a_i)^{\otimes n(2)} \otimes (\wedge_{i=1}^{n(2)} b_i)^{\otimes n(1)}$ to $\wedge_{i=1}^{n(1)n(2)} (a_{s(i)} \otimes b_{t(i)})$ for any local sections a_i of V_1 and b_i of V_2.

(2) Let V be a vector bundle over S of rank n. Let $m = n(n+1)/2$ and fix a bijection $(s,t) : \{1,\dots,m\} \to \{(i,j) \in \{1,\dots,n\} \times \{1,\dots,n\} \mid i \le j\}$. Then concerning $\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathrm{Sym}^2(V))$, we have a unique isomorphism

$$(\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(V))^{\otimes(n+1)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathrm{Sym}^2_{\mathcal{O}_S}(V))$$

which sends $(\wedge_{i=1}^{n} a_i)^{\otimes(n+1)}$ to $\wedge_{i=1}^{m}(a_{s(i)}a_{t(i)})$ for any local sections a_i of V.

(3) Let V be a vector bundle over S of rank n. Let $m = n(n-1)/2$ and fix a bijection $(s,t) : \{1,\dots,m\} \to \{(i,j) \in \{1,\dots,n\} \times \{1,\dots,n\} \mid i < j\}$. Then concerning $\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\wedge^2_{\mathcal{O}_S}(V))$, we have a unique isomorphism

$$(\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(V))^{\otimes(n-1)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\wedge^2_{\mathcal{O}_S}(V))$$

which sends $(\wedge_{i=1}^{n} a_i)^{\otimes(n-1)}$ to $\wedge_{i=1}^{m}(a_{s(i)} \wedge a_{t(i)})$ for any local sections a_i of V.

In the following 1.4.14 and 1.4.16, we use only the case $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ for a field k (hence V_1, V_2, V are merely finite-dimensional vector spaces over k). But we will use the general case of 1.4.13 in 1.6.3.

1.4.14. We prove Proposition 1.4.7 (1).

Define

$$L^{(1)} := \otimes_{r<0} L_r(M^* \otimes M)^{-1}, \quad L^{(2)} := \otimes_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} L_r(M)^{\otimes a(h,r)}$$

where the notation L_r is as in 1.4.3. We give an isomorphism $L^{(1)} \cong L^{(2)}$ which preserves the canonical metrics at all places of F. 1.4.7 (1) will follow from it.

Since $\mathrm{gr}^r(M^* \otimes M)_{\mathrm{dR}} = \oplus_i (\mathrm{gr}^{i-r} M_{\mathrm{dR}})^* \otimes \mathrm{gr}^i M_{\mathrm{dR}}$, we have by 1.4.13 (1)

$$L_r(M^* \otimes M) \cong \otimes_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} L_i(M)^{\otimes n(r,i)}$$

where $n(r,i) = \dim_F \mathrm{gr}^{i-r} M_{\mathrm{dR}} - \dim_F \mathrm{gr}^{i+r} M_{dR}$. This isomorphism preserves the metrics at all places of F. These isomorphisms for $r < 0$ give the isomorphism $L^{(1)} \cong L^{(2)}$ which preserves the metrics at all places of F.

1.4.15. We give comments on $H_{\clubsuit}$. Let M be in Case (pure) and let $L = \det_F((\mathrm{End}_F M_{\mathrm{dR}})/F^0)^{-1}$.

(1) For each place v of F, we have a canonical metric $|\ |_v$ on L as follows, and we have

$$H_{\clubsuit}(M) = \prod_v |x|_v \quad \text{for} \quad x \in L \smallsetminus \{0\}.$$

Since $\mathrm{gr}^r(M^* \otimes M)_{\mathrm{dR}} = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_F(\mathrm{gr}^i M_{dR}, \mathrm{gr}^{i+r} M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ and $\mathrm{gr}^{-r}(M^* \otimes M)_{dR} = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_F(\mathrm{gr}^{i+r} M_{\mathrm{dR}}, \mathrm{gr}^i M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ are dual F-vector spaces of each other, we have a canonical isomorphism $(\det_F(\mathrm{gr}^r(M^* \otimes M)_{\mathrm{dR}}))^{\otimes 2} \cong L_r(M^* \otimes M)$. Hence we have

$$L^{\otimes 2} \cong L^{(1)}.$$

Hence the metric on $L^{(1)}$ at v induces the metric $|\ |_v$ on L.

(2) For a non-archimdean place v of F, the above metric $|\ \ |_v$ on L coincides with the metric induced from the O_C-lattice in $C \otimes_F L$, where C is the completion of an algebraic closure of F_v, which is induced from the O_C-lattice in $C \otimes_F \mathrm{End}_F(M_{\mathrm{dR}})/F^0$ defined as the image of the O_C-lattice $D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_p})$ in $D_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p})) = C \otimes_F \mathrm{End}_F(M_{\mathrm{dR}})$. Here p is the characteristic of the residue field of v and D_{dR} is defined by the local field F_v.

1.4.16. We prove Proposition 1.4.7 (2). Let

$$L^{(1)} := P \otimes_F Q \otimes_F R \quad \text{where} \quad P = \otimes_{w<0,r<0} L_r(\mathrm{gr}^W_w(M^* \otimes M))^{-1},$$

$$Q = \otimes_{w:\mathrm{odd},r<w} L_r(\mathrm{Sym}^2\mathrm{gr}^W_w M)^{-1}, \quad R = \otimes_{w:\mathrm{even},r<w} L_r(\wedge^2\mathrm{gr}^W_w M)^{-1},$$

$$L^{(2)} := \otimes_{w,r} L_r(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M)^{\otimes b(h,w,r)}.$$

We give an isomorphism $L^{(1)} \cong L^{(2)}$ which preserves the canonical metrics at all places of v. 1.4.7 (2) will follow from it.

This isomorphism comes from the following isomorphisms (1) and (2) which preserve canonical metrics at all places of F.

(1) $\otimes_{w<0r<0} L_r(\mathrm{gr}^r\mathrm{gr}^W_w(M^* \otimes M))^{-1} \cong \otimes_{w,r\in\mathbb{Z}} L_r(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M)^{\otimes s(w,r)}$ where $s(w,r) = (\sum_{(w',r')\in A(w,r)} h(w',r')) - (\sum_{(w',r')\in B(w,r)} h(w',r'))$.

(2) $\otimes_{r<w} L_r(\mathrm{Sym}^2\mathrm{gr}^W_w M)^{-1}$ (resp. $\otimes_{r<w} H_r(\wedge^2\mathrm{gr}^W_w M)^{-1}$) $\cong \otimes_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} L_r(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M)^{\otimes t(w,r)}$ in the case w is odd (resp. even) where $t(w,r) = (\sum_{(w',r')\in C(w,r)} h(w',r')) + e(w,r)$.

Here $A(w,r), B(w,r), C(w,r)$ are as in 1.4.6.

We prove (1). By 1.4.13 (1), we have an isomorphism

$$L_r((\mathrm{gr}^W_{w_1}M)^* \otimes \mathrm{gr}^W_{w_2}M)\otimes \cong (\otimes_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} L_i(\mathrm{gr}^W_{w_2}M)^{\otimes m(i)}) \otimes_F (\otimes_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} L_i(\mathrm{gr}^W_{w_1}M)^{\otimes n(i)})$$

where $m(i) = \dim_F \mathrm{gr}^{i-r}\mathrm{gr}^W_{w_1}M$ and $n(i) = -\dim_F \mathrm{gr}^{i+r}\mathrm{gr}^W_{w_2}M_{\mathrm{dR}}$. This isomorphism preserves the metrics $|\ |_v$ for any v. Hence

$$H_r((\mathrm{gr}^W_{w_1}M)^* \otimes \mathrm{gr}^W_{w_2}M) = \prod_i H_i(\mathrm{gr}^W_{w_2}M)^{m(i)} \cdot \prod_i H_r(\mathrm{gr}^W_{w_1})^{n(i)}.$$

Since $gr^W_w(M^* \otimes M) = \oplus_{w_2-w_1=w}(\mathrm{gr}^W_{w_1}M)^* \otimes \mathrm{gr}^W_{w_2}M)$, this gives (1).

(2) for w odd (resp. even) is obtained in the similar way by using 1.4.13 (2) (resp. 1.4.13 (3)) instead of 1.4.13 (1).

1.4.17. We give comments on $H_\spadesuit$.

Let M be in Case (mixed-pol) and let $L = \det_F((\mathrm{End}_{F,W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}M_{\mathrm{dR}})/F^0)^{-1}$.

(1) For each place v of F, we have a canonical metric $|\ \ |_v$ on L as follows, and we have

$$H_\spadesuit(M) = \prod_v |x|_v \quad \text{for} \quad x \in L \smallsetminus \{0\}.$$

This metric $|\ \ |_v$ is induced by the following isomorphism $L^{\otimes 2} \cong L^{(1)}$ with $L^{(1)}$ as in 1.4.16.

We have

$$L^{-1} \cong (\otimes_{w<0,r<0} R_{w,r}) \otimes (\otimes_{w\in\mathbb{Z},r<0} S_{w,r}) \quad \text{where}$$

$$R_{w,r} = \det_F(\mathrm{gr}^r \mathrm{gr}^W_w((M^* \otimes M)_{\mathrm{dR}})), \quad S_{w,r} = \det_F(\mathrm{gr}^r \mathrm{End}_{F,\langle ;,\rangle}(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}})).$$

Since the polarization gives the duality between $\mathrm{gr}^r\mathrm{gr}^W_w((M^*\otimes M)_{\mathrm{dR}})$ and $\mathrm{gr}^{w-r}gr^W_w((M^*\otimes M)_{\mathrm{dR}})$, we have an isomorphism

(1.1) $R^{\otimes 2}_{w,r} \cong L_r(\mathrm{gr}^W_w(M^* \otimes M))$.

Next let w be an odd integer. We have an isomorphism $\mathrm{Sym}^2_F \mathrm{gr}^W_w(M_{\mathrm{dR}}) \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathrm{End}_{F,\langle,\rangle_w}(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ which sends $xy \in \mathrm{Sym}^2_F \mathrm{gr}^W_w(M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ $(x, y \in \mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ to $f \in \mathrm{End}_{F,\langle,\rangle_w}(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ defined by $f(z) = \langle z, x\rangle_w y + \langle z, y\rangle_w x$. Here $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w$ is the pairing defined by the polarization of $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M$. It induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{gr}^{w+r}\mathrm{Sym}^2_F \mathrm{gr}^W_w(M_{\mathrm{dR}}) \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathrm{gr}^r \mathrm{End}_{F,\langle,\rangle_w}(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}})$. Since $\mathrm{gr}^r\mathrm{End}_{F,\langle,\rangle_w}(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ and $\mathrm{gr}^{-r}\mathrm{End}_{F,\langle,\rangle_w}(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ are dual of each other, we obtain a canonical isomorphism

(1.2) $S^{\otimes 2}_{w,r} \cong L_{r+w}(\mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathrm{gr}^W_w M)$ for w odd.

Similarly for w even, we have an isomorphism $\wedge^2_F \mathrm{gr}^W_w(M_{\mathrm{dR}}) \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathrm{End}_{F,\langle,\rangle_w}(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ which sends $x \wedge y \in \wedge^2_F \mathrm{gr}^W_w(M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ $(x, y \in \mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ to $f \in \mathrm{End}_{F,\langle,\rangle_w}(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ defined by $f(z) = \langle z, x\rangle_w y - \langle z, y\rangle_w x$. It induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{gr}^{w+r} \wedge^2_F \mathrm{gr}^W_w(M_{\mathrm{dR}}) \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathrm{gr}^r \mathrm{End}_{F,\langle,\rangle_w}(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}})$. Since $\mathrm{gr}^r\mathrm{End}_{F,\langle,\rangle_w}(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ and $\mathrm{gr}^{-r}\mathrm{End}_{F,\langle,\rangle_w}(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}})$ are dual of each other, we obtain a canonical isomorphism

(1.3) $S^{\otimes 2}_{w,r} \cong L_{r+w}(\wedge^2 \mathrm{gr}^W_w M)$ for w even.

The canonical metrics on the right hand side of (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) induce a canonical metric on L.

(2) For an archimedean place v of F, the metric $|\ |_v$ on L defined in (1) coincides with the Hodge metric defined by the polarizations of $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M$. This fact follows from 1.4.2 and from the fact that the isomorphism $L^{\otimes 2} \cong L^{(1)}$ preserves the Hodge metrics at v defined by the polarizations as is easily seen.

(3) However, for a non-archimedean place v of F, the metric $|\ \ |_v$ on L defined in (1) need not coincide with the metric $|\ \ |'_v$ induced from the O_C-lattice in $C \otimes_F L$, where C is the completion of an algebraic closure of F_v, which is induced from the O_C-lattice in $C \otimes_F \mathrm{End}_{F,W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}(M_{\mathrm{dR}})/F^0$ defined as the image of the O_C-lattice $D_{\mathrm{dR},O_C}(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p,W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}(M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_p}))$ in $C \otimes_{F_v} D_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_p,W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}(M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p})) = C \otimes_F \mathrm{End}_{F,W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}(M_{\mathrm{dR}})$. Here p is the characteristic of the residue field of v and D_{dR} is defined by the local field F_v. See the example below.

(4) We can define another height function $H'_{\spadesuit}(M)$ for M in Case (mixed-pol) by using the above metric $|\ \ |'_v$ for non-archimedean places v and the metric $|\ \ |_v$ for archimedean places of v. $H'_{\spadesuit}$ has good properties like $H_{\spadesuit}$, for example, the results on $H_{\spadesuit}$ in 1.4.18 below hold also for $H'_{\spadesuit}$. In this paper, we use $H_{\spadesuit}$, not $H'_{\spadesuit}$, because the former is independent of the choices of polarizations on $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M$ and expressed by using H_r and hence the arguments become simpler.

Example. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}$, $M = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(1)$, take $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, and consider the polarization on $\mathrm{gr}_w^W M$ which is the direct sum of a times the evident morphism $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}$ for $w = 0$ and b times the evident morphism $\mathbb{Q}(1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(1) \to \mathbb{Q}(2)$ for $w = -2$. Then L is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}$ with a canonical base e. We have $|e|_v = |a/b|_v^{1/2}$ for any place v of $\mathbb{Q}$, $|e|'_v = |e|_v$ for the archimedean place of $\mathbb{Q}$, but $|e|'_v = 1$ for any non-archimedean place v of $\mathbb{Q}$. Hence $H_\spadesuit(M) = 1$ (by the product formula), but $H'_\spadesuit(M) = (a/b)^{1/2}$.

Proposition 1.4.18. *(1) Concerning the dual, we have*

$$H_\star(M^*) = H_\star(M), \quad H_\clubsuit(M^*) = H_\clubsuit(M), \quad H_\spadesuit(M^*) = H_\spadesuit(M)$$

for M in Case (pure), Case (pure), Case (mixed), respectively.

(2) Concerning Tate twists, we have

$$H_\star(M(r)) = H_\star(M), \quad H_\clubsuit(M(r)) = H_\clubsuit(M), \quad H_\spadesuit(M(r)) = H_\spadesuit(M)$$

for M in Case (pure), Case (pure), and Case (mixed), respectively.

(3) For a finite extension F' of F, we have

$$H_\star(M') = H_\star(M)^{[F':F]}, \quad H_\clubsuit(M') = H_\clubsuit(M)^{[F':F]}, \quad H_\spadesuit(M') = H_\star(M)^{[F':F]}$$

for M in Case (pure), Case (pure), Case (mixed), respectively, where M' denotes the motive over F' induced by M.

(4) Let $n \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. For M in Case (pure) (resp. Case (mixed)), if M' is obtained from M by changing $M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ as $M'_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}} = n \cdot M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ (but M' with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients is the same as M with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients), then $H_\star(M') = H_\star(M)$ and $H_\clubsuit(M') = H_\clubsuit(M)$ (resp. $H_\spadesuit(M') = H_\spadesuit(M)$).

(5) For direct sums, for M and M' in Case (pure), $H_\star(M \oplus M') = H_\star(M) H_\star(M')$.

Proof. This follows from the result 1.4.4 on the height function H_r. □

Remark 1.4.19. This is a remark related to the above (4) in 1.4.18.

Koshikawa proved that the set

$$\{H_\star(M') \mid M' \text{ is isogenous to } M\}$$

is finite. The author expects that his method works to have the same result for H_r and hence for $H_\clubsuit$ and $H_\spadesuit$.

1.4.20. Example. Let A be an abelian variety of dimension g over a number field F and let M be the pure motive $H^1(A)$ with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F. Then $H_\star(M)$ is the usual height of A of Faltings if A is of semi-stable reduction. We have

$$H_1(M) = H_\star(M), \quad H_0(M) = H_\star(M)^{-1}, \quad H_r(M) = 1 \text{ for } r \neq 0, 1,$$

$$H_\clubsuit(M) = H_\star(M)^{2g}, \quad H_\spadesuit(M) = H_\star(M)^{g+1}.$$

1.5 Reviews on relative monodromy filtrations and the splittings of Deligne

We review relative monodromy filtrations and related subjects.

1.5.1. Let A be a ring and let Υ be an A-module endowed with a finite increasing filtration W by A-submodules, and let $N : \Upsilon \to \Upsilon$ be a nilpotent A-homomorphism such that $NW_w \subset W_w$ for any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. A finite increasing filtration $\mathcal{W}$ on Υ by A-submodules is called *a relative monodromy filtration of N with respect to W* if it satisfies the following two conditions (i) and (ii).

(i) $N\mathcal{W}_w \subset \mathcal{W}_{w-2}$ for any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) For any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any integer $m \geq 0$, we have an isomorphism $N^m : \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w+m}\mathrm{gr}^W_w \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w-m}\mathrm{gr}^W_w$.

A relative monodromy filtration $\mathcal{W}$ of N with respect to W is unique if it exists ([9] 1.6.13).

1.5.2. If the relative monodromy filtration $\mathcal{W}$ exists, the filtration on $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon, \Upsilon)$ induced by $\mathcal{W}$ is a relative monodromy filtration of $\mathrm{Ad}(N) : h \mapsto Nh - hN$ with respect to the filtration on $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon, \Upsilon)$ induced by W.

1.5.3. The relative monodromy filtration exists if the filtration W is pure, that is, if $W_w = \Upsilon$ and $W_{w-1} = 0$ for some $w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Hence in general, the relative monodromy filtration $\mathcal{W}$ on gr^W_w exists and we can discuss $\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_m\mathrm{gr}^W_w$.

For $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for any integer $m \geq 0$, we have a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w+m}\mathrm{gr}^W_w = (\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w+m}\mathrm{gr}^W_w)_{\mathrm{prim}} \oplus N(\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w+m+2}\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_w)$$

where $(\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w+m}\mathrm{gr}^W_w)_{\mathrm{prim}}$ is defined as the kernel of $N^{m+1} : \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w+m}\mathrm{gr}^W_w \to \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w-m-2}\mathrm{gr}^W_w$ and is called the primitive part of $\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w+m}\mathrm{gr}^W_w$ ([9]).

1.5.4. We now assume the following (1) and (2).

(1) The relative monodromy filtration $\mathcal{W}$ exists.

(2) There is a decomposition

$$\Upsilon = \oplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\Upsilon_m$$

as an A-module satisfying the following conditions (i)–(iii).

(i) $\mathcal{W}_m = \oplus_{i\leq m}\Upsilon_i$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

(ii) $N(\Upsilon_m) \subset \Upsilon_{m-2}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(iii) $W_w = \oplus_m W_w \cap \Upsilon_m$ for any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then for $d \geq 2$, we have a canonically defined element

$$N_d \in (\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon, \Upsilon))_{\mathrm{prim}}.$$

It is defined by 1.5.6 below.

1.5.5. Assume (1) (2) in 1.5.4. For a decomposition of Υ as in (2) in 1.5.4, by the theory of Deligne splitting in [41], there is a unique decomposition $\Upsilon = \oplus_{i,j}\Upsilon_{i,j}$ as an A-module having the following properties (i)–(iii).

(i) $\Upsilon_m = \oplus_i \Upsilon_{i,m}$ for any m.

(ii) $W_w = \oplus_{i,m,i\le w}\Upsilon_{i,m}$ for any w.

(iii) In the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon,\Upsilon) \cong \oplus_{w,m}\, \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_m \mathrm{gr}^W_w \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon,\Upsilon)$$

given by this decomposition $(\Upsilon_{i,j})_{i,j}$ of Υ, write the image of $N \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon,\Upsilon)$ in $\oplus_{w,m}\, \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_m \mathrm{gr}^W_w \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon,\Upsilon)$ as $\sum_{d\ge 0} N_d$ with $N_d \in \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon,\Upsilon)$. Then $N_1 = 0$ and $N_d \in (\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon,\Upsilon))_{\mathrm{prim}}$ for $d \ge 2$.

Proposition 1.5.6. *This element N_d of $(\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon,\Upsilon))_{\mathrm{prim}}$ is independent of the choice of the decomposition of Υ as in (2) of 1.5.4.*

Proof. Assume we are given another decomposition $\Upsilon = \oplus_i \Upsilon'_i$ as in (2) of 1.5.4. Let g be the unique automorphism of $(\Upsilon,\mathcal{W})$ which induces the identity map on $\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}$ such that $g\Upsilon_i = \Upsilon'_i$ for all i. Then $gW_w = W_w$ for all w and $gN = Ng$. For the Deligne's splitting $\Upsilon = \oplus_{i,j}\Upsilon'_{i,j}$ associated to $(\Upsilon'_i)_i$, we have $\Upsilon'_{i,j} = g\Upsilon_{i,j}$. If N'_d denotes the element of $(\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon,\Upsilon))_{\mathrm{prim}}$ defined by this $(\Upsilon'_i)_i$, we have $N'_d = \mathrm{Ad}(g)N_d$, but $\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ acts on $\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon,\Upsilon)$ trivially. Hence $N'_d = N_d$. □

This $(N_d)_{d\ge 2}$ tells how the pair (W,N) is far from being a direct sum of pure objects:

Lemma 1.5.7. *Assume (1) and (2) in 1.5.4 and assume that A is a field. Then $N_d = 0$ for all $d \ge 2$ if and only if there is an A-linear splitting $\Upsilon \cong \mathrm{gr}^W$ of W via which N corresponds to $(\mathrm{gr}^W_w(N)_w$ on gr^W.*

This is seen easily

1.6 Hodge analogues

In this §1.6, we consider the analogy between the following two subjects.

(i) A mixed motive M over a number field.

(ii) A variation $\mathcal{H}$ of mixed Hodge structure on a smooth curve over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$.

The Hodge analogue $h_\star(\mathcal{H})$ of the height $H_\star(M)$ was considered in an old paper Griffiths [17]. In this §1.6, we explain it and a generalization $h_{\star\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H})$ of it to $\mathcal{H}$ mixed. We also discuss Hodge analogues $h_r(\mathcal{H})$ $(r \in \mathbb{Z})$, $h_\clubsuit(\mathcal{H})$ and $h_\spadesuit(\mathcal{H})$ of the height functions $H_r(M)$ $(r \in \mathbb{Z})$, $H_\clubsuit(M)$ and $H_\spadesuit(M)$, respectively.

1.6.1. Let C be a proper smooth connected curve over $\mathbb{C}$ considered analytically and let $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}, W, F)$ be a variation of pure $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure on $U = C \setminus R$ where R is a finite subset of C. Here $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the local system on U with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients, W is the weight filtration, and F is the Hodge filtration.

We assume the following (i)–(iii).

(i) The pure graded quotients $\mathrm{gr}_w^W \mathcal{H}$ are polarizable for any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) Let $x \in R$. Then the local monodromy of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ at x is unipotent. Furthermore, the local monodromy logarithm at $x \in R$ has a relative monodromy filtration with respect to W.

More precisely, let $x \in C$ and take an open neighborhood Δ of x in C such that $\Delta \cong \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$ and such that $\Delta^* = \Delta \setminus \{0\} \subset U$, and denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x}$the stalk of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ at a point y of Δ^*. Then the local monodromy group $\pi_1(\Delta^*)$ at x, which is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}(1) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot 2\pi i$, acts on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x}$. $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x}$ depends on the choice of y but the stalk at y and that at $y' \in \Delta^*$ are isomorphic via an isomorphism which is canonical modulo the action of the local monodromy group at x. The first part of (ii) says that this action of the local monodromy group at x on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x}$ is unipotent. Let $N'_x : H_{\mathbb{Q},x} \to H_{\mathbb{Q},x}$ be the logarithm of the action of the canonical generator of the local monodromy group at x. Then the second part of (ii) says that the relative monodromy filtration of N'_x with respect to the weight filtration W on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x}$ (1.5.1) exists.

Note that by the unipotence of the local monodromy in the condition (i), by the theory of canonical extension of Deligne, we have a vector bundle $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ on C which extends the vector bundle $\mathcal{O}_U \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on U canonically.

(iii) The Hodge filtration on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}|_U$ extends to subbundles $F^p = F^p\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ of $H_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Remark 1.6.2. (1) It is known that variations of mixed Hodge structures on U of geometric origin satisfy these conditions (i)–(iii) if the unipotence in (ii) is replaced by quasi-unipotence. The unipotence at any x is similar to the semi-stability 1.1.5 of a motive over a number field. The reason why we put the condition of the unipotence, not quasi-unipotence, will be explained in 1.6.15 (2).

(2) Everything in this §1.6 works for variations of $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure. But we consider $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure, for it is nearer to motives.

1.6.3. If $\mathcal{H}$ is pure,

$$h_r(\mathcal{H}) := \deg(\mathrm{gr}^r\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\deg(\mathrm{gr}^r\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}) - \deg(\mathrm{gr}^{w-r}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}})) \quad (r \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$h_\star(\mathcal{H}) := \sum_r r \cdot h_r(\mathcal{H}) = \deg(\otimes_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}(\det_{\mathcal{O}_C}\mathrm{gr}^r\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}})^{\otimes r}) = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} \deg F^r\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}},$$

$$h_\clubsuit(\mathcal{H}) := -\deg(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}})/F^0)$$

are similar to $H_r(M)$, $H_\star(M)$ and $H_\clubsuit(M)$ for M in Case (pure), respectively. Here the second $=$ in the definition of $h_r(\mathcal{H})$ is due to the perfect duality $\mathrm{gr}^r\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}} \times \mathrm{gr}^{w-r}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}} \to \mathcal{O}_C$ given by a polarization of $\mathcal{H}$.

If $\mathrm{gr}_w^W\mathcal{H}$ ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$) are polarized,

$$h_{\spadesuit}(\mathcal{H}) := -\deg(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_C,W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}})/F^0).$$

is similar to $H_{\spadesuit}(M)$ of Case (mixed).

The height functions $h_{\clubsuit}$, and $h_{\spadesuit}$ are expressed by using h_r as

$$h_{\clubsuit}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_r a(h,r)h_r(\mathcal{H}),$$

$$h_{\spadesuit}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{w,r} b(h,w,r)h_r(\mathrm{gr}_w^W\mathcal{H}),$$

where $a(h,r)$ is defined as in 1.4.6 (1) with $h(r) = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{O}_C}\mathrm{gr}^r H_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $b(h,w,r)$ is defined as in 1.4.6 (2) with $h(w,r) = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{O}_C}\mathrm{gr}^r\mathrm{gr}_w^W\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$. These formulas are shown using the isomorphisms (1), (2), (3) in 1.4.13.

In particular, $h_{\spadesuit}(\mathcal{H})$ is independent of the choices of the polarizations of $\mathrm{gr}_w^W\mathcal{H}$ ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Remark 1.6.4. In Griffiths [17], the line bundle $\otimes_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}\det_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\mathrm{gr}^r\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}})^{\otimes r}$ is called the canonical bundle. When the author wrote the paper [21], he did not know that his definition of the height of a pure motive in [21] was similar to the above $h_\star(\mathcal{H})$ and regrets that he did not refer to [17] in [21]. The author learned the analogy from Koshikawa as is written in [22].

1.6.5. If the pure graded quotients of $\mathcal{H}$ are polarized, the Hodge analogue $h_{\star\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H})$ of $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M)$ with M mixed (§1.7) is defined as

$$h_{\star\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H}) = (\sum_{w\in\mathbb{Z}} h(\mathrm{gr}_w^W\mathcal{H})) + h_{\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H})$$

where $h_{\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H})$ tells, roughly speaking, how $\mathcal{H}$ is far from being the direct sum of $\mathrm{gr}_w^W\mathcal{H}$ ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$). This $h_{\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H})$ has the shape

$$h_{\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{d\geq 1} h_{\diamondsuit,d}(\mathcal{H}),$$

$$h_{\diamondsuit,1}(\mathcal{H}) = \langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle,$$

and for $d \geq 2$,

$$h_{\diamondsuit,d}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{x\in C} h_{\diamondsuit,d,x}(\mathcal{H}), \quad h_{\diamondsuit,d,x}(\mathcal{H}) = (\langle N_{x,d}, N_{x,d}\rangle_{N_{x,0}})^{1/d}.$$

We will define each term of $h_{\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H})$. A rough explanation is as follows: $\alpha := (\alpha_w)_{w\in\mathbb{Z}}$ where α_w is the extension class of the exact sequence $0 \to \mathrm{gr}_{w-1}^W\mathcal{H} \to W_w\mathcal{H}/W_{w-2}\mathcal{H} \to \mathrm{gr}_w^W\mathcal{H} \to 0$, $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is the height pairing in Beilinson [4], and we have $\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$. On the other hand, for $d \geq 2$, $h_{\diamondsuit,d,x}(\mathcal{H})$ is, roughly speaking, the "length" of the weight $-d$ component the monodromy logarithm at x.

We give the definition of $h_{\diamondsuit,d,x}(\mathcal{H})$ for $d \geq 2$ in 1.6.9 after preparations 1.6.6–1.6.8. We give the definition of $\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle$ in 1.6.13 after preparations in 1.6.10–1.6.12.

1.6.6. We recall the theory of degeneration of Hodge structure. (See [8], [19], [38], [42].)

Assume $\mathcal{H}$ is pure of weight w, and let $x \in C$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the relative monodromy filtration of $N'_x : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x} \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x}$ (1.6.1) with respect to W. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}(x)$ be the fiber of the vector bundle $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ at x and let $F(x)$ be the Hodge filtration on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}(x)$.

The theory of nilpotent orbit in degeneration ([38]) shows that we have an isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x} \cong \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}(x)$ which is canonical modulo the actions of $\exp(zN'_x)$ ($z \in \mathbb{C}$). When we identify these vector spaces by this isomorphism, we have

(i) $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x}, \mathcal{W}, F(x))$ is a mixed $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure.

Furthermore, $N'_x F^p(x) \subset F^{p-1}(x)$ for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence we have a homomorphism

$$N_x := (2\pi i)^{-1} N'_x \ : \ (\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x}, \mathcal{W}, F(x)) \to (\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x}, \mathcal{W}, F(x))(-1)$$

of mixed $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structures.

The induced map $N_x : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}(x) \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}(x)$ coincides with the composition $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}(x) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}(x) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Omega^1_C(x) \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}(x)$ where the first arrow is induced by the connection ∇ and the second arrow is the residue map.

1.6.7. In 1.6.6, assume further that $\mathcal{H}$ is polarized. Let $m \geq 0$. Then the polarization $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbb{Q}(-w)$ induces a non-degenerate $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinear form $\langle \ , \ \rangle : \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w+m}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x} \otimes \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w-m}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x} \to \mathbb{Q}(-w)$. It induces a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$\langle \ , \ \rangle_{N_x} : \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w+m}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x} \times \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w+m}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x} \to \mathbb{Q}(-w-m),$$
$$\langle a, b\rangle_{N_x} := \langle N_x^m a, b\rangle_w$$

By [38] Theorem 6.16, the restriction of this to

$$\langle \ , \ \rangle_{N_x} : (\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w+m}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x})_{\mathrm{prim}} \times (\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w+m}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x})_{\mathrm{prim}} \to \mathbb{Q}(-w-m),$$

gives a polarization of the Hodge structure $(\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{w+m}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x})_{\mathrm{prim}}$ of weight $w+m$.

1.6.8. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be as in 1.6.1 and assume that the pure graded quotients of $\mathcal{H}$ are polarized. Let $d \geq 2$.

Let

$$\mathcal{H}' = \oplus_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathrm{gr}^W_w \mathcal{H})^* \otimes \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-d}\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}(\mathcal{H}^* \otimes \mathcal{H})$$

whose underlying $\mathbb{Q}$-local system is $\mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then $\mathcal{H}'$ is pure of weight $-d$ and is polarized. Let

$$P = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{HS}}(\mathbb{Q}, (\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathcal{H}')_{\mathrm{prim}}(-1)) = (\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathcal{H}'_{\mathbb{Q},x})_{\mathrm{prim}}(-1) \cap F^0((\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathcal{H}'_{\mathbb{C},x})_{\mathrm{prim}}(-1))$$
$$\subset \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x})_{\mathrm{prim}}(-1)$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{HS}}$ denotes the set of homomorphisms of Hodge structures. Then the restriction

$$\langle \ , \ \rangle_{N_{x,0}} : P \times P \to \mathbb{Q}$$

of $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{N_{x,0}}$ in 1.6.7 (we take $\mathcal{H}'$ as the $\mathcal{H}$ in 1.6.7 and denote $\mathrm{gr}^W(N_x)$ by $N_{x,0}$) is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form because this restriction coincides with the restriction of the positive definite Hodge metric in 1.6.7.

1.6.9. By [8] and [19], the condition (2) in 1.5.4 is satisfied by $\Upsilon = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x}$ with the weight filtration W and $N = N'_x$ (1.6.1). Hence

$$N_{x,d} = (2\pi i)^{-1}N'_{x,d} :\in P \subset (\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathcal{H}'_{\mathbb{Q},s})_{\mathrm{prim}}(-1) = (\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x},\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x}))_{\mathrm{prim}}(-1)$$

is defined. Hence $\langle N_{x,d}, N_{x,d}\rangle_{N_{x,0}} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ is defined. It is 0 if and only if $N_{x,d} = 0$ (since the form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{N_{x,0}}$ on P is positive definite).

Define

$$h_{\diamondsuit,d,x}(\mathcal{H}) := (\langle N_{x,d}, N_{x,d}\rangle_{N_{x,0}})^{1/d}.$$

(It may seem strange to take the d-th root here. The reason of this will be explained in 1.6.15 (1).) We have

(i) $h_{\heartsuit,d,x}(\mathcal{H}) = 0$ if and only if $N_{x,d} = 0$.

For $x \in U$, we have $N_x = 0$ and we have $h_{\diamondsuit,d,x}(\mathcal{H}) = 0$.

1.6.10. We give a preparation for the definition of $h_{\diamondsuit,1}(\mathcal{H})$.

Let $(C,\mathcal{H})$ be as in 1.6.1 and assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is pure of weight -1. Let $j : U \overset{\subset}{\to} C$ be the inclusion map. By Zucker [46], $H^1(C, j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is endowed with a structure of a pure Hodge structure of weight 0.

The Hodge filtration on $\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H^1(C, j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is given as follows ([46]). Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C}\Omega^1_C$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ be the inverse image of $\mathcal{G}$ under the connection $\nabla : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}} \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C}\Omega^1_C(\log R)$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a vector bundle. We have an exact sequence $0 \to j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{F} \overset{\nabla}{\to} \mathcal{G} \to 0$ of sheaves on C and thus $\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H^1(C, j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}) = H^1(C, [\mathcal{F} \overset{\nabla}{\to} \mathcal{G}])$. (Here $H^1(C,[\dots])$ denotes the hyper-cohomology of the complex $[\dots]$.) Let $F^p\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}\cap F^p\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $F^p\mathcal{G} := F^{p-1}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C}\Omega^1_C$. Then the Hodge filtration in question is given by $F^p(\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H^1(C, j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}})) = H^1(C, [F^p\mathcal{F} \overset{\nabla}{\to} F^p\mathcal{G}])$.

1.6.11. Let the notation be as in 1.6.10.

Let $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q},\mathcal{H})$ be the abelian group of extension classes in the category of all objects in 1.6.1 (C is fixed but U moves). We have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q},\mathcal{H}) \cong H^0(C, [j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}\backslash\mathcal{F}/F^0\mathcal{F} \overset{\nabla}{\to} \mathcal{G}/F^0\mathcal{G}])$$

where ∇ is the connection. For an element a of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q},\mathcal{H})$, we have an element of the right hand side of this isomorphism as follows. Let $0 \to \mathcal{H} \to \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \to \mathbb{Q} \to 0$ be the exact sequence corresponding to a on some $U' = U \smallsetminus R'$ with R' a finite subset of U. Locally on U', lift $1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ to a local section a_1 of $F^0\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and also to a local section a_2 of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then $a_1 - a_2$ gives a well defined section on U' of the sheaf $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}\backslash\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}/F^0$. By using the Griffiths transversality and the condition (ii) in 1.6.1 for $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, we see that this section belongs to the right hand side of the above isomorphism.

Consider the long exact sequence of cohomology groups associated to the exact sequence of complexes of sheaves on C

$$0 \to j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to [\mathcal{F}/F^0\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}/F^0\mathcal{G}] \to [j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}\backslash\mathcal{F}/F^0\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}/F^0\mathcal{G}] \to 0.$$

Since $H^1(C, [\mathcal{F}/F^0\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}/F^0\mathcal{G}]) = (\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H^1(C, j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}))/F^0$, the kernel of $H^1(C, j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \to H^1(C, [\mathcal{F}/F^0\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}/F^0\mathcal{G}])$ is identified with

$$P := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{HS}}(\mathbb{Q}, H^1(C, j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}})) = H^1(C, j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap F^0(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H^1(C, j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}))$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{HS}}$ denotes the set of homomorphisms of Hodge structures. Hence the long exact sequence of cohomology groups gives an exact sequence

$$(1)\ 0 \to H^0(C, j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \to H^0(C, [\mathcal{F}/F^0\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}/F^0\mathcal{G}]) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathcal{H}) \to P \to 0.$$

1.6.12. Let the notation be as in 1.6.10. Assume furthermore that $\mathcal{H}$ is polarized.

The polarization $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbb{Q}(1)$ and the cup product induce a symmetric $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinear form

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle : H^1(C, j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \times H^1(C, j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \to H^2(C, \mathbb{Q}(1)) = \mathbb{Q}.$$

On $P \subset H^1(C, j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ (1.6.11), this pairing coincides with the positive definite Hermitian Hodge metric given by the polarization of the Hodge structure of $H^1(C, j_*\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Hence this cup product

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle : P \times P \to \mathbb{Q}$$

is a positive definite symmetric $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinear form.

1.6.13. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be as in 1.6.1 and assume that the pure graded quotients of $\mathcal{H}$ are polarized.

Let $\alpha_w \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{gr}^W_w, \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-1})$ be the class of the exact sequence $0 \to \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-1} \to W_w/W_{w-2} \to \mathrm{gr}^W_w \to 0$ where Ext^1 is the abelian group of extension classes in the category of all objects in 1.6.1 (C is fixed and U varies). Let $\mathcal{H}' = \oplus_w(\mathrm{gr}^W_w\mathcal{H})^* \otimes \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-1}\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{gr}^W_{-1}(\mathcal{H}^* \otimes \mathcal{H})$. Then $\mathcal{H}'$ is pure of weight -1 and is polarized. We have $\oplus_{w\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{gr}^W_w, \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-1}) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathcal{H}')$. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathcal{H}')$ be $(\alpha_w)_w$ under this identification. Let $\beta \in P$ be the image of α under the map $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathcal{H}') \to P$ (1.6.11). Here we take $\mathcal{H}'$ as $\mathcal{H}$ in 1.6.11. We define

$$h_{\diamondsuit,1}(\mathcal{H}) = \langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle := \langle\beta, \beta\rangle \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}.$$

This is zero if and only if β is zero.

Proposition 1.6.14. *Let $C' \to C$ be the integral closure of C in a finite extension of the function field of C and let $\mathcal{H}'$ be the pullback of $\mathcal{H}$ to $C' \times_C U$. Then*

$$h_r(\mathcal{H}') = [C' : C]h_r(\mathcal{H}), \quad h_\star(\mathcal{H}') = [C' : C]h_\star(\mathcal{H}), \quad h_\clubsuit(\mathcal{H}') = [C' : C]h_\clubsuit(\mathcal{H}),$$

$$h_\spadesuit(\mathcal{H}') = [C' : C]h_\spadesuit(\mathcal{H}),$$

$$h_{\star\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H}') = [C' : C]h_{\star\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H}), \quad h_{\diamondsuit,d}(\mathcal{H}') = [C' : C]h_{\diamondsuit,d}(\mathcal{H}) \ \textit{ for } \ d \geq 1$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is pure in the first line, mixed in the second line, and mixed with polarized pure graded quotients in the third line.

Proof. All formulas except the last two are easily seen. It is sufficient to prove the last formula. The case $d = 1$ is easy. We assume $d \geq 2$. If $x' \in C'$ lies over $x \in C$ and if the ramification index of $C' \to C$ at x' is $e(x', x)$, the standard generator of the local monodromy group at x is the $e(x', x)$-th power of that at x', and hence their logarithms satisfy $N_x = e(x', x)N_{x'}$. Since $\langle N_{x,d}, N_{x,d}\rangle_{N_{x,0}} = \langle \mathrm{Ad}(N_{x,0})^{d-2}(N_{x,d}), N_{x,d}\rangle$, we have $\langle N_{x,d}, N_{x,d}\rangle_{N_{x,0}} = e(x', x)^d \cdot \langle N_{x',d}, N_{x',d}\rangle_{N_{x',0}}$, and hence $\langle N_{x,d}, N_{x,d}\rangle_{N_{x,0}}^{1/d} = e(x', x) \cdot \langle N_{x',d}, N_{x',d}\rangle_{N_{x',0}}^{1/d}$. Hence $[C' : C]h_{\diamondsuit,d,x}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{x'} e(x', x)h_{\diamondsuit,d,x}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{x'} h_{\diamondsuit,d,x'}(\mathcal{H}')$ where x' ranges over all points of C' lying over x. Hence $[C' : C]h_{\diamondsuit,d}(\mathcal{H}) = h_{\diamondsuit,d}(\mathcal{H}')$. □

Remark 1.6.15. (1) The above proof of 1.6.14 shows that to have the formulas in the third line, it is important to take the d-th root in the definition of $h_{\diamondsuit,d,x}$ for $d \geq 2$ as in 1.6.9.

(2) If we do not assume the unipotence of the local monodromy in 1.6.1 and assume only the quasi-unipotence, we still have the definition of these height functions $h_r(\mathcal{H}) = \deg(\mathrm{gr}^r\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}})$ etc., by using the canonical extension $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ of Deligne, but the above formulas in 1.6.14 do not hold.

The following 1.6.16 was proved by Griffiths ([17]) and Peters ([33]) in the case $\mathcal{H}$ is pure (the method of their proof will be sketched in §2.3).

Proposition 1.6.16. *Assume $\mathcal{H}$ is pure (resp. the pure graded quotients of $\mathcal{H}$ are polarized).*

(1) $h_\star(\mathcal{H}) \geq 0$ (resp. $h_{\star\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H}) \geq 0$).

(2) Assume $h_\star(\mathcal{H}) = 0$ (resp. $h_{\star\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H}) = 0$) and assume that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure. Then over some finite étale covering of C, the pullback of $\mathcal{H}$ to C' becomes a constant mixed Hodge structure.

In the mixed case, (1) follows from the pure case. To reduce (2) to the pure case, it is sufficient to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 1.6.17. *If $\mathrm{gr}_w^W\mathcal{H}$ ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$) are constant and $h_\diamondsuit(\mathcal{H}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{H}$ is constant.*

Proof. By the induction on the length of the weight filtration and by $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{gr}_w^W, W_{w-1}) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, (\mathrm{gr}_w^W)^* \otimes W_{w-1})$, we are reduced to the following situation. $\mathcal{H} = W_0\mathcal{H}$, $\mathrm{gr}_0^W\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{Q}$, and $W_{-1}\mathcal{H}$ is a constant variation associated to a mixed Hodge structure H'. By $H_{\diamondsuit,d}(\mathcal{H}) = 0$ for all $d \geq 2$, $N_x = 0$ for all x and hence $\mathcal{H}$ has no degeneration (we can take $U = C$). Let $\mathrm{Ext}^1_C(\mathbb{Q}, H')$ be the abelian group of extensions in the category of all $\mathcal{H}$ as in 1.6.1 with $U = C$. Then our $\mathcal{H}$ gives an element α of $\mathrm{Ext}^1_C(\mathbb{Q}, H')$. By similar arguments as in 1.6.11, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_C(\mathbb{Q}, H') \subset H^0(C, H'_{\mathbb{Q}}\backslash(\mathcal{O}_C \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (H'_{\mathbb{C}}/F^0))).$$

Consider the long exact sequence of cohomology groups associated to the exact sequence

$$0 \to H'_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathcal{O}_C \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H'_{\mathbb{C}}/F^0 \to H'_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash (\mathcal{O}_C \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (H'_{\mathbb{C}}/F^0)) \to 0$$

of sheaves on C. We have

$$H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (H'_{\mathbb{C}}/F^0)) = H'_{\mathbb{C}}/F^0,$$

Let $\beta \in H^1(C, H'_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(C, \mathbb{Q}), H'_{\mathbb{Q}})$ be the image of α under the connecting homomorphism.

Claim. β is a homomorphism $H_1(C) \to H'$ of mixed Hodge structures.

To prove this, it is sufficient to show that the image of $H^0(C, \Omega^1_C) = F^0(\mathbb{C} \otimes H_1(C, \mathbb{Q}))$ in $H'_{\mathbb{C}}$ is contained in $F^0 H'_{\mathbb{C}}$. But this follows from the fact that the image of β in $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C \otimes H'_{\mathbb{C}}/F^0) = H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \otimes H'_{\mathbb{C}}/F^0 = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(H^0(C, \Omega^1_C), H'_{\mathbb{C}}/F^0)$ is zero because β is in the image of the connecting homomorphism.

Since $H_1(C)$ is pure of weight -1, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MHS}}(H_1(C), H') \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MHS}}(H_1(C), \mathrm{gr}^W_{-1} H')$$

$$\xrightarrow{\subset} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_1(C, \mathbb{Q}), \mathrm{gr}^W_{-1} H'_{\mathbb{Q}}) = H^1(C, \mathrm{gr}^W_{-1} H'_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

Since $H_{\diamondsuit,1}(\mathcal{H}) = 0$, the image of β in $H^1(C, \mathrm{gr}^W_{-1} H'_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is 0 by the last remark in 1.6.13. Hence $\beta = 0$ and hence α comes from $H'_{\mathbb{Q}} \backslash H'_{\mathbb{C}}/F^0$. That is, $\mathcal{H}$ is constant. □

1.7 The heights $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M)$ of mixed motives

1.7.1. $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M)$ for M in Case (mixed-pol) is defined assuming some conjectures which we can check to be true in many cases. It is defined as

$$H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M) = (\prod_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} H_\star(\mathrm{gr}^W_w H)) \cdot H_\diamondsuit(M)$$

where $H_\diamondsuit(M)$ tells, roughly speaking, how M is far from being the direct sum of $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M$ ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$). The definition of $H_\diamondsuit(M)$ was given in [22]. We review it in this §1.7. It has the shape

$$H_\diamondsuit(M) = \prod_{d \geq 1} H_{\diamondsuit,d}(\mathcal{H}).$$

$H_{\diamondsuit,1}(M)$ is defined by the theory of the height pairing of Beilinson [4] , Bloch [6] and Gillet-Soulé ([15]) using the extension classes of $0 \to \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-1} M \to W_w M/W_{w-2} M \to \mathrm{gr}^W_w M \to 0$ for $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $d \geq 2$,

$$H_{\diamondsuit,d}(M) = \prod_v H_{\diamondsuit,d,v}(M),$$

where v ranges over all places of F. For v archimedean, $H_{\diamondsuit,d,v}(M)$ is closely related to Beilinson regulator in [3] as is seen in §2.1. For v non-archimedean, $H_{\diamondsuit,d,v}(M)$ is defined by using the monodromy operator at v (roughly speaking, it presents the "archimedean size" of the monodromy operator).

1.7.2. Let M be a motive with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over a number field F whose pure graded quotients $\mathrm{gr}_w^W M$ $(w \in \mathbb{Z})$ are polarized. Let $d \geq 2$ and let v be a non-archimedean place of F. We define $H_{\diamondsuit,d,v}(M)$ under the assumptions (i) and (ii) below. The Hodge analogues of these (i) and (ii) are 1.6.8 (i) and 1.6.9, respectively, which are true. We expect that the present (i) and (ii) are also true. Assuming (i), (ii), we will define

$$H_{\diamondsuit,d,v}(M) := N(v)^{l(v)} \quad \text{with} \ \ l(v) = \langle N_{v,d}, N_{v,d}\rangle_{N_{v,0}} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$$

where $N(v)$ denotes the order of the residue field of v and $\langle N_{v,d}, N_{v,d}\rangle_{N_{v,0}}$ is defined in the same way as $\langle N_{x,d}, N_{x,d}\rangle_{N_{x,0}} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ in 1.6.9 but this time assuming (i) and (ii).

Let p be the characteristic of the residue field of v.

Let ℓ be a prime number. We define $\langle N_{v,d}, N_{v,d}\rangle_{N_{v,0}} \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ for each ℓ by an ℓ-adic method assuming (i) below.

Let $A = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ if $\ell \neq p$, and let $A = F_{v,0,\mathrm{ur}}$ if $\ell = p$. Define a finite dimensional A-vector space Υ as follows. If $\ell \neq p$, let $\Upsilon = M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. If $\ell = p$, let $\Upsilon = D_{\mathrm{pst}}(M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p})$.

We have the monodromy operators $N_v' : \Upsilon \to \Upsilon$ and $N_v : \Upsilon \to \Upsilon(-1)$ defined as follows. Here in the case $\ell = p$, $\Upsilon(-1) = \Upsilon$ as an A-vector space, but the Frobenius operator φ on $\Upsilon(-1)$ is p times φ on Υ.

Assume first $\ell \neq p$. let I_v be the inertia subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)$ and let $a : I_v \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)$ be the surjective homomorphism defined as $\sigma \mapsto (\nu_n)_n$ where ν_n is an ℓ^n-th root of 1 defined by $\sigma(\varpi^{1/\ell^n}) = \nu_n \varpi^{1/\ell^n}$ with ϖ a prime element of F_v. Let σ be an element of I_v such that $a(\sigma)$ is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)$, and let $N_v' = \log(\sigma) := \frac{1}{m}\log(\sigma^m) : \Upsilon \to \Upsilon$ where $m \geq 1$ is an integer such that the action of σ^m on Υ is unipotent. This N_v' depends on σ but is independent of the choice of m. Let

$$N_v := a(\sigma)^{-1} N_v' = a(\sigma)^{-1}\log(\sigma) : \Upsilon \to \Upsilon(-1).$$

Then N_v is independent of the choice of σ. Next in the case $\ell = p$, let $N_v' : \Upsilon \to \Upsilon$ be the monodromy operator and let $N_v = N_v' : \Upsilon \to \Upsilon(-1)$.

We have $N_v' W_w\Upsilon \subset W_w\Upsilon$ for any ℓ.

We assume for any ℓ

(i) The weight monodromy conjecture is true for $\mathrm{gr}_w^W\Upsilon$ for any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

This means the following (i') is true for each $w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the relative monodromy filtration on $\mathrm{gr}_w^W\Upsilon$ for $N_v' : \mathrm{gr}_w^W\Upsilon \to \mathrm{gr}_w^W\Upsilon$. Let $\bar{k}$ be the residue field of $F_{v,\mathrm{ur}}$, which is an algebraic closure of k.

Assume $\ell \neq p$. Let σ be an element of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)$ whose image in $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ is $\bar{k} \to \bar{k}\ ;\ x \mapsto x^{1/N(v)}$.

(i') for the case $\ell \neq p$: For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the eigenvalues of the action of σ on $\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_m \mathrm{gr}^W_w \Upsilon$ are algebraic numbers whose all conjugates over $\mathbb{Q}$ have complex absolute value $N(v)^{m/2}$.

Assume $\ell = p$. Take a finite extension $F'_v \subset \bar{F}_v$ of F_v such that the inertia subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}'_v/F'_v)$ on Υ is trivial. Let $\Upsilon' = D_{\mathrm{st}}(F'_v, M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p})$ be the fixed part of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}'_v/F'_v)$ in Υ. Let k' be the residue field of F'_v and let $A' := F'_{v,0} = F'_v \cap F_{v,0,\mathrm{ur}}$ be the field of fractions of $W(k')$. Then $\Upsilon = A \otimes_{A'} \Upsilon'$. Let $d = [k' : \mathbb{F}_p]$.

(i') for the case $\ell = p$: For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the eigenvalues of the A'-linear map φ^d on $\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_m \mathrm{gr}^W_w \Upsilon'$ are algebraic numbers whose all conjugates over $\mathbb{Q}$ have complex absolute value $p^{dm/2}$.

The weught-monodromy conjecture was proved under certain (not so strong) assumption by Scholze in [32].

Assume the weight-monodromy conjecture (i). Then (1) and (2) in 1.5.4 are satisfied by (Υ, W, N'_v). In fact, if $\ell \neq p$ (resp. $\ell = p$), we have Υ_m in (2) of 1.5.4 as the part of Υ on which all eigenvalues of σ (resp. φ^d) in (i') have complex absolute values $N(v)^{m/2}$ (resp. $p^{dm/2}$). Hence $N'_{v,d} \in (\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon, \Upsilon))_{\mathrm{prim}}$ is defined. Hence we have

$$N_{v,d} \in (\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon, \Upsilon))_{\mathrm{prim}}(-1).$$

We have a non-degenerate symmetric A-bilinear form

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{N_{v,0}} : (\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon, \Upsilon))_{\mathrm{prim}} \times (\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}\mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Upsilon, \Upsilon))_{\mathrm{prim}} \to A\ ;$$

$$(a, b) \mapsto \langle \mathrm{Ad}(N_{v,0})^{d-2}(a), b\rangle$$

where $N_{v,0} = \mathrm{gr}^W(N_v)$. Thus we obtain $\langle N_{v,d}, N_{v,d}\rangle_{N_{v,0}} \in A$. If $\ell = p$, $\langle N_{v,d}, N_{v,d}\rangle_{N_{v,0}}$ belongs to the part in A fixed by φ, which is $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Following the analogy with 1.6.9, we conjecture that the following (ii) is true.

(ii) $\langle N_{v,d}, N_{v,d}\rangle_{N_{v,0}} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and is independent of ℓ. It is zero if and only if $N_{v,d} = 0$.

1.7.3. For $d \geq 2$ and for v non-archimedean, assuming (i) and (ii), we define

$$H_{\diamondsuit,d,v} = N(v)^{l(v)} \geq 1 \quad \text{where } l(v) = (\langle N_{v,d}, N_{v,d}\rangle_{N_{v,0}})^{1/d}.$$

The reason why we take the d-th root here is to have the result for a base change F'/F in 1.7.12 (2) below, the same as the case of Hodge analogue 1.6.15 (2).

1.7.4. The independence of ℓ in (ii) in 1.7.2 is explained by some philosophy on motives as in 1.7.5 below. We give a preparation for motives over an algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of a finite field k of characteristic p.

We define the category of motives with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over $\bar{k}$ to be the category of Grothendieck motives with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over $\bar{k}$ modulo homological equivalence,

which he defined using projective smooth schemes over k. Here, modulo homological equivalence means that a morphism is regarded as 0 if it induces zero homomorphisms on the ℓ-adic étale cohomology for all $\ell \neq p$ and on the crystalline cohomology. (It is conjectured that this homological equivalence is the same as the rational equivalence, and also the same as the numerical equivalence.)

Note that by Weil conjecture proved by Deligne, for any motive M with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over $\bar{k}$, we have a unique direct sum decomposition $M = \oplus_w M_w$ where M_w is of weight w.

For a motive M with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over $\bar{k}$, let M_{crys} be the crystalline realization of M.

1.7.5. A philosophy on motives is that in 1.7.2, the following holds always:

For each $w, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a motive $M_w(v)_m$ with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over the algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of the residue field k of v of weight m, having the following properties:

For a prime number ℓ, if $\ell \neq p$ (resp. $\ell = p$), $\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_m \mathrm{gr}^W_w \Upsilon$ is identified with $M_w(v)_{m,et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ (resp. $M_w(v)_{m,\mathrm{crys}}$) and $N_v : \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_m \mathrm{gr}^W_w \Upsilon \to (\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{m-2} \mathrm{gr}^W_w \Upsilon)(-1)$ comes from a morphism $N_v : M_w(v)_m \to M_w(v)_{m-2}(-1)$ of motives which is independent of ℓ. Let $\mathfrak{P} := (\oplus_w (\oplus_m M_w(v)^*_m \otimes M_{w-d}(v)_{m-2})_{\mathrm{prim}})(-1)$, which is a motive of weight 0, and let $P = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathfrak{P})$ (the set of morphisms of motives). We should have a symmetric $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinear form $P \times P \to \mathbb{Q}$ which induces $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{N_{v,0}}$ for all ℓ and we should have $N_{v,d} \in P$ which induces $N_{v,d}$ for all ℓ. Thus tell that we have an element $\langle N_{v,d}, N_{v,d} \rangle_{N_{v,0}} \in \mathbb{Q}$ which induces this element defined ℓ-adically for any ℓ.

1.7.6. Now we consider an Archimedean place v. We define $H_{\diamondsuit,d,v}(M)$ for $d \geq 2$ (not using any conjecture). We give first Hodge theoretic preparations.

Let H be a mixed $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure. We review a homomorphism $\delta : \mathrm{gr}^W H_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{gr}^W H_{\mathbb{R}}$.

As is explained in [8] 2.20, there is a unique pair (s, δ) where s is a splitting $\mathrm{gr}^W H_{\mathbb{R}} \cong H_{\mathbb{R}}$ of W and δ is a nilpotent $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $\mathrm{gr}^W H_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{gr}^W H_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that the original Hodge filtration F of H is expressed as $F = s(\exp(i\delta)\mathrm{gr}^W(F))$ and such that the Hodge (p,q)-component $\delta_{p,q}$ of δ with respect to $\mathrm{gr}^W(F)$ is zero unless $p < 0$ and $q < 0$.

1.7.7. Let v be an archimedean place of F. Let $d \geq 2$.

Take a homomorphism $a : F \to \mathbb{C}$ which induces v. Let $\delta_v : \mathrm{gr}^W M_{a,B,\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{gr}^W M_{a,B,\mathbb{R}}$ (here $M_{a,B,\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M_{a,B}$) be the δ (1.7.6) of the mixed $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M_{a,H}$. Let $H' = \oplus_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{a,H})^* \otimes \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-d} M_{a,H} = \mathrm{gr}^W_{-d}(M^*_{a,H} \otimes M_{a,H})$ which is a polarized Hodge structure of weight $-d$. Let $\delta_{v,d} \in H'_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the weight $-d$ component of δ_v. The Hodge metric $(\ ,\ )$ of H' defines $(\delta_{v,d}, \delta_{v,d}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Let

$$H_{\diamondsuit,d,v}(M) = \exp(2(\delta_{v,d}, \delta_{v,d})^{1/d}) \quad \text{if } v \text{ is a complex place,}$$

$$H_{\diamondsuit,d,v}(M) = \exp((\delta_{v,d}, \delta_{v,d})^{1/d}) \quad \text{if } v \text{ is a real place.}$$

The reason why we take here the d-th root is that we are following the analogy between N_v (v non-archimedean) and δ_v in Hodge theory.

1.7.8. Example. Let $a \in F^\times$. We have the mixed motive M with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F associated to a having an exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{Z}(1) \to M \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$. In this case, (i) and (ii) in 1.7.2 are satisfied at all non-archimedean places of F. We have

$$H_{\diamondsuit,2,v}(M) = \max(|a|_v, |a|_v^{-1})$$

for all places v of F, and $H_\diamondsuit(M) = H_{\diamondsuit,2}(M) = \prod_v H_{\diamondsuit,2,v}(M)$. If $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and if $a = b/c$ with $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(b, c) = 1$, we have $H_\diamondsuit(M) = \max(|b|^2, |c|^2)$.

In fact, $N_{v,2} = \mathrm{ord}_v(a)$ for v non-archimedean, $\delta_{v,2} = -i\log(|a|_v) \in \mathbb{R}(1) = \mathbb{R}\cdot 2\pi i$ if v is real, and $\delta_{v,2} = -2^{-1}i\log(|a|_v) \in \mathbb{R}(1) = \mathbb{R}\cdot 2\pi i$ if v is complex. The above result on $H_{\diamondsuit,2,v}(M)$ follows from this.

1.7.9. To definition of $H_{\diamondsuit,1}$ in 1.7.10 below is a simple application of the theory of height parings of Beilinson, Bloch and Gillet-Soulé.

Let M be a pure motive of weight -1 with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over a number field F. Let

$$E(M) := \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, M)$$

be the extension group in the category of mixed motives with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F. If $M = H^{2r-1}(X)(r)$ for a projective smooth scheme X over F with $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we expect that

$$E(M) \cong (CH^r(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q})_0$$

where $(\ )_0$ denotes the part homologically equivalent to 0.

In Beilinson [4], Bloch [6] and Gillet-Soulé [15], the height paring

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle : E(M) \times E(M^*(1)) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

is defined. There are several methods in these papers to define this pairing, but any of them need some conjectures. We describe one method following Scholl [40].

Let $a \in E(M)$, $b \in E(M^*(1))$. Then a corresponds to a mixed motive S_a with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F such that $W_0S_a = S_a$, $W_{-2}S_a = 0$, $\mathrm{gr}_0^W S_a = \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathrm{gr}_{-1}^W S_a = M$, and since $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, M^*(1)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(M, \mathbb{Q}(1))$ (by duality), b corresponds to a mixed motive S_b with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F such that $W_{-1}S_b = S_b$, $W_{-3}S_b = 0$, $\mathrm{gr}_{-1}^W S_b = M$, $\mathrm{gr}_{-2}^W S_b = \mathbb{Q}(1)$.

We assume the following (i) is true (a philosophy of motives explained below tells that (i) should be always true.)

(i) There is a mixed motive S with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F such that

$$W_0S = S, \quad W_{-3}S = 0, \quad S/W_{-2}S = S_a, \quad W_{-1}S = S_b.$$

The group $F^\times \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ acts on the set of isomorphism classes of S as in (i) as follows. For S as in (i) and for $c \in F^\times \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, c sends the class of S to the class of S' where S' is obtained from S and c as follows: Let E_c be the mixed motive with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients

over F corresponding to c with an exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{Q}(1) \to E_c \to \mathbb{Q} \to 0$. Take the fiber product J of $S \oplus E_c \to \mathbb{Q}^2 \leftarrow \mathbb{Q}$ and then take the pushout S' of $\mathbb{Q}(1) \leftarrow \mathbb{Q}(1)^2 \to J$. Here the morphism $\mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}^2$ is $x \mapsto (x, x)$ and the morphism $\mathbb{Q}(1)^2 \to \mathbb{Q}(1)$ is $(x, y) \mapsto x - y$. As is explained below, we have

(1) The action of $F^\times \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ on the set of isomorphism classes of S as in (i) is transitive.

We also assume

(ii) M satisfies the weight-monodromy conjecture at each non-archimedean place of F,

By (ii), for each non-archimedean place v of F and for each prime number ℓ, the representation $M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)$ gives

$$N_{v,2} \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell.$$

(In the case $\ell = p$, $N_{v,2}$ belongs to the fixed part of the Frobenius φ in $D_{\mathrm{pst}}(\mathbb{Q}_p(1))(-1)$ which is $\mathbb{Q}_p$.) We assume that

(iii) This $N_{v,2}$ belongs to $\mathbb{Q}$ and is independent of ℓ.

On the other hand, for each archimedean place v of F, we have

$$\delta_{v,2} \text{ of } S \ \in \mathbb{R}(1) = \mathbb{R} \cdot 2\pi i.$$

Define $t(v) = N(v)^{N_{v,2}}$ if v is non-archimedean, $t(v) = \exp(i\delta_{v,2})$ if v is a real place, and $t(v) = \exp(2i\delta_{v,2})$ if v is a complex place. Define

$$\langle a, b \rangle := \prod_v t(v)$$

where v ranges over all places of F. If S is replaced by its modification S' obtained by using $c \in F^\times$ as in the explanation of the condition (ii), $t(v)$ for any place v is replaced by $t(v)|c|_v$ for any place v of F. By product formula, $\langle a, b \rangle$ is independent of the choice of S as in (i).

If we have a polarization $p : M \to M^*(1)$ of M, we have a pairing

(*) $\quad \langle\ ,\ \rangle : E(M) \times E(M) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0} \quad (a, b) \mapsto \langle a, p(b) \rangle$.

It is a symmetric pairing. It is expected that the following (iv) is true.

(iv) The logarithm of the above paring $\log\langle\ ,\ \rangle : E(M) \times E(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ is positive definite.

The philosophical reasons of (i) is as follows. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, S_b) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, M) \to \mathrm{Ext}^2(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(1))$$

associated to the exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{Q}(1) \to S_b \to M \to 0$ of mixed motives. Since $\mathrm{Ext}^2(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(1)) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathrm{Pic}(F) = 0$ should be true, we see that there is an element s

of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, S_2)$ whose image in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, M)$ coincides with the class of S_a and this s is determined modulo the image of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(1)) = F^\times \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then s gives S in (i). The above exact sequence proves (1).

1.7.10. We define $H_{\Diamond,1}$.

Let M be a mixed motive with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over a number field F whose pure graded quotients $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M$ ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$) are polarized. Let $M' = \oplus_{w\in\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M)^* \otimes \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-1} M = \mathrm{gr}^W_{-1}(M^* \otimes M)$. This is a polarized pure motive of weight -1. We assume that the assumptions (i)–(iii) in 1.7.9 are true if we take this M' as M in 1.7.9.

The extension classes α_w of $0 \to \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-1} M \to W_w M/W_{w-2}M \to \mathrm{gr}^W_w M \to 0$ for $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ give an element of $\alpha = (\alpha_w)_w \in \oplus_w \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M, \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-1} M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, M')$. We define

$$H_{\Diamond,1}(M) = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$$

by using the pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ in (*) of 1.7.9. If we assume (iv) of 1.7.9, $H_{\Diamond,1}(M) \geq 1$, and we have $H_{\Diamond,1}(M) = 1$ if and only of $\alpha = 0$.

Remark 1.7.11. In the case $M = H^{2r-1}(X)(r)$, under certain assumptions, the rationality (iii) in 1.7.9 is proved by understanding $N_{v,2}$ as an intersection number on the special fiber at v of a proper regular integral models over O_F. See [4], [6], [15].)

Proposition 1.7.12. *Let M be in Case (mixed-pol). Assume (i) and (ii) in 1.7.2 and (i)–(iii) in 1.7.10.*

(1) For Tate twists and for the dual, we have $H_\Diamond(M(r)) = H_\Diamond(M)$ and $H_\Diamond(M^*) = H_\Diamond(M)$, and similar formulas for $H_{\Diamond,1}$ and $H_{\Diamond,d,v}$ for $d \geq 2$ and for each place v of F.

(2) Let F' be a finite extension of F, and let M' be the motive over F induced by M. We have $H_\Diamond(M') = H_\Diamond(M)^{[F':F]}$ and similar formulas for $H_{\Diamond,1}$ and $H_{\Diamond,d,v}$ for $d \geq 2$ and for each place v of F.

Remark 1.7.13. (1) If we like to define $H_{\Diamond,d,v}$ for $d \geq 2$ and for v non-archimedean only under the assumption (i) in 1.7.2 not assuming the rationality (ii) in 1.7.2, we can do as follows. Choose a prime number ℓ and a field homomorphism $\mathbb{Q}_\ell \to \mathbb{C}$ and define $l(v)$ as the absolute value of the the image of $\langle N_{v,d}, N_{v,d}\rangle_{N_{v,0}} \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ (which is defined ℓ-adically) in $\mathbb{C}$. Then define $H_{\Diamond,d,v}(M)$ to be $N(v)^{l(v)}$.

(2) If we like to define $H_{\Diamond,1}$ assuming only (i) and (ii) in 1.7.9 not assuming the rationality (iii), we can do as follows. For each non-archimedean place v of F, choose a prime number ℓ and a field homomorphism $\mathbb{Q}_\ell \to \mathbb{C}$ and let $\mathrm{Re}(N_{v,2})$ be the real part of the image of $N_{v,2} \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ (which is defined ℓ-adically) in $\mathbb{C}$. Then define $t(v)$ in 1.7.9 to be $N(v)^{\mathrm{Re}(N_{v,2})}$.

With the definitions in these (1) and (2), we still have the result in the above 1.7.12.

1.8 The height functions $h_\heartsuit$ and $H_\heartsuit$

1.8.1. Let C and $\mathcal{H}$ be as in 1.6.1. Assume that $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{Q}$ is given a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{Z}$. Define

$$h_\heartsuit(\mathcal{H}) := \sum_{x \in C} h_{\heartsuit,x}(\mathcal{H}), \quad h_{\heartsuit,x}(\mathcal{H}) := e(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

where $e(x)$ is as follows. Let $N'_x : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x} \to \mathcal{H}_{Q,x}$ be the local monodromy operator (1.6.1) at x. If $N'_x = 0$, $e(x) = 0$. if $N'_x \neq 0$, $e(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is defined by

$$\{b \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \exp(bN'_x)\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},x} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},x}\} = e(x)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}.$$

1.8.2. Let F be a number field and let M be a mixed motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F. Let S be a finite set of places of F which contains all archimedean places of F.

We define $H_{\heartsuit,S}(M) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, assuming that M satisfies the following condition $C(v, M)$ for any non-archimdean place v of F which does not belong to S. (We expect that this condition is satisfied always.)

$C(v, M)$: Either the following (i) or (ii) holds. Let p be the characteristic of the residue field k of v.

(i) $N'_v : M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \to M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ is zero for all prime numbers $\ell \neq p$, and $N'_v : D_{\mathrm{pst}}(M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p}) \to D_{\mathrm{pst}}(M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p})$ is zero.

(ii) Let I be the set of all $b \in \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying $\exp(bN'_v)M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_\ell} = M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ for any prime number $\ell \neq p$ and $\exp(bN'_v)D_{\mathrm{pst},W(\bar{k})}(M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_p}) = D_{\mathrm{pst},W(\bar{k})}(M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_p})$. Here $D_{\mathrm{pst},W(\bar{k})}$ is defined with respect to the local field $K = F_v$ as in 1.3.7. Then $I \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Here N'_v is the local monodromy operator at v (1.7.2).

Assuming $C(v, M)$ for all $v \notin S$, define

$$H_{\heartsuit,S}(M) = \prod_{v \notin S} H_{\heartsuit,v}(M), \quad H_{\heartsuit,v}(M) = N(v)^{e(v)}$$

where $e(v) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ is as follows. In the case (i), $e(v) = 0$. In the case (ii), $e(v)$ is defined by $I = \mathbb{Z}e(v)^{-1}$.

We expect that we have always $e(v) \in \mathbb{Z}$ (that is, $\mathbb{Z} \subset I$ in (ii)). In the situation (ii), it is easy to see that $e(v) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$.

In the case where S is the set of all archimedean places of F, we denote $H_{\heartsuit,S}(M)$ simply by $H_\heartsuit(M)$.

Remark 1.8.3. We can show that the condition $C(v, M)$ is satisfied if the philosophy in 1.7.4 is correct and if the category of motives over the algebraic closure of a finite field is a semi-simple abelian category.

1.8.4. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. We define the height functions $h_{\heartsuit,n}(\mathcal{H})$ and $H_{\heartsuit,S,n}(M)$ for $\mathcal{H}$ and M which have full level n structures. We will have $h_\heartsuit = h_{\heartsuit,1}$, $H_{\heartsuit,S} = H_{\heartsuit,S,1}$

Let $(C, \mathcal{H})$ be as in 1.8.1 and assume that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}/n\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a constant sheaf. Define

$$h_{\heartsuit,n}(\mathcal{H}) := \sum_{x \in C} h_{\heartsuit,n,x}(\mathcal{H}), \quad h_{\heartsuit,n,x}(\mathcal{H}) = e(n,x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

where $e(n,x)$ is as follows. If $N'_x = 0$, $e(n,x) = 0$. Assume $N'_x \neq 0$, and let I_n is the set of all $b \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\exp(bN'_x)\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},x} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},x}$ and such that $\exp(bN'_x)$ induces the identity map on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},x}/n\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},x}$. Then $\mathbb{Z} \subset I_n$ and $I_n \cong \mathbb{Z}$. We define $e(n,,x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ by $I_n = \mathbb{Z}e(n,x)^{-1}$.

Let M and S be as in 1.8.2 and assume that M has a full n level structure. Assume that the condition $C(v,M)$ is satisfied by any place $v \notin S$ of F.

We define

$$H_{\heartsuit,S,n} := \prod_{v \notin S} H_{\heartsuit,S,n,v}(M), \quad H_{\heartsuit,S,n,v} = N(v)^{e(n,v)}$$

where $e(n,v) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ is as follows. In the case (i) in 1.8.2, let $e(n,v) = 0$. In the case (ii) in 1.8.2, let I_n be the subset of the set I in 1.8.2 consisting of all b such that $\exp(bN'_v)$ induces the identity map on $M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_\ell}/nM_{et,\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ for any prime number $\ell \neq p$, and such that $\exp(bN'_v)$ induces the identity map on $D_{\mathrm{pst},W(\bar{k})}(M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_p})/nD_{\mathrm{pst},W(\bar{k})}(M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_p})$. We define $e(n,v) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ by $I_n = \mathbb{Z}e(n,v)^{-1}$.

We expect that $e(n,v) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The proof of the following Proposition is easy.

Proposition 1.8.5. *(1) Let $\mathcal{H}$ and n be as in 1.8.4. Then $h_{\heartsuit,n}(\mathcal{H}^*) = h_{\heartsuit,n}(\mathcal{H})$ for the dual, $h_{\heartsuit,n}(\mathcal{H}(r)) = h_{\heartsuit,n}(\mathcal{H})$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ for Tate twists, and $h_{\heartsuit,n}(\mathcal{H}') = [C' : C]h_{\heartsuit,n}(\mathcal{H})$ if C' is the integral closure of C in a finite extension of the function field of C and $(C', \mathcal{H}')$ is induced by $(C, \mathcal{H})$.*

(2) Let M, S, and n be as in 1.8.4 and assume that $C(v,M)$ is satisfied for any place $v \notin S$ of F. Then $H_{\heartsuit,S,n}(M^*) = H_{\heartsuit,S,n}(M)$ for the dual, $H_{\heartsuit,S,n}(M(r)) = H_{\heartsuit,S,n}(M)$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ for Tate twists, and $H_{\heartsuit,S',n}(M') = [C' : C]H_{\heartsuit,S,n}(M)$ if F' is a finite extension of F and M' over F' induced by M where S' denotes the set of all places of F' lying over S.

2 Speculations

In this §2, we present our speculations on motives.

In §2.1, we consider how the height function $H_\diamondsuit$ of mixed motives with fixed pure graded quotients are related to the papers [3] of Beilinson and [7] of Bloch and the author on motives. The main things of §2.1 are presented in 2.1.17 and 2.1.24.

In §2.2, we review period domains and their toroidal partial compactifications, and show that the height functions $h_\spadesuit$ and $h_\heartsuit$ in §1.6 are equal to the degrees of the pullback of $''K + D''$ and $''D''$ of the toroidal partial compactification of the period

domain, respectively, via the period map. This §2.2 gives us philosophies how to compare (1)–(4) in Introduction.

Basing on these philosophies, we present our speculations on the relation between curvature forms on Griffiths period domains and motives in §2.3, on the motive version of Vojta conjectures in §2.4, and on the motive version of Manin-Batyrev conjectures in §2.5.

2.1 Mixed motives with fixed pure graded quotients

2.1.1. In this §2.1, we discuss the relations between the following three subjects.

(a) The finiteness of the number of mixed motives of bounded height with fixed pure graded quotients.

(b) The conjectural Mordell-Weil theorems for K-groups of algebraic varieties over number fields.

(c) Some conjectures in the works [3] of Beilinson and in [7] of Bloch and the author.

Assuming conjectures which appeared in the definition of $H_\diamondsuit$ in §1.7, we will have (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) (2.1.17, 2.1.24).

The more precise meanings of (a), (b), (c) are explained in 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.1.4 below, respectively.

2.1.2. We explain (a) in 2.1.1.

Let F be a number field. Assume that we are given a polarized pure motive M_w of weight w with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F for each $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $M_w = 0$ for almost all w.

We expect that the following (1) is true.

(1) If $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of mixed motives M with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F such that $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M = M_w$ for all $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ and such that $H_\diamondsuit(M) \le C$.

This is regarded as a motivic version of 1.6.17 in Hodge theory.

2.1.3. We explain (b) in 2.1.1.

Let $d \ge 1$ be an integer. Let M be a pure motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F of weight $-d$, and let $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, M)$ be the group of extension classes of $0 \to M \to \tilde{M} \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$ where $\tilde{M}$ is a mixed motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F. The following is expected in the case $M = H^m(X)(r)$ for a smooth projective scheme X over F with $d = 2r - m$.

(1) If $d \ge 2$,

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, M) \cong \mathrm{gr}^r_\gamma(\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_{d-1}(X))$$

where γ is the Gamma-filtration.

(2) If $d = 1$, as in 1.7.9, $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, M) \cong (\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} CH^r(X))_0$.

We expect that the following (1) and (2) are true.

(1) If $d \neq 2$, $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, M)$ is finitely generated as an abelian group.

(2) If $d = 2$, if we fix a finite set S of places of F which contains all archimedean places of F, the subgroup of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, M)$ consisting of classes of mixed motives which are of good reduction outside S is finitely generated as an abelian group.

This is related to the subject (a) because $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, M)$ is classifying mixed motives $\tilde{M}$ with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F such that $\mathrm{gr}^W_w \tilde{M}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ if $w = 0$, M if $w = -d$, and 0 for other w.

2.1.4. The subject (c) in 2.1.1 is about the conjectures in [3] and [7] concerning $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, M)$ or concerning $\mathbb{Q} \otimes$ the K-group in 2.1.3, which we review in 2.1.15 below. We will consider how these conjectures are related to the subject (a). These conjectures are not in the parts of [3] and [7] concerning zeta functions, but in the more basic parts. The parts concerning zeta functions in the works [3] [7] should be related to height functions of motives as in Remark 2.5.14.

In 2.1.5–2.1.8, we give preliminaries on Galois cohomology of local fields.

2.1.5. Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Let ℓ be a prime number and let V be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-vector space endowed with a continuous action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. Then we have $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-subspaces

$$H^1_e(K, V) \subset H^1_f(K, V) \subset H^1_g(K, V)$$

([7]) of the finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-vector space $H^1(K, V) = H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K), V)$. We review basic things on these subspaces.

If $\ell \neq p$, $H^1_e(K, V) := 0$, $H^1_g(K, V) := H^1(K, V)$, and $H^1_f(K, V)$ is defined as the kernel of $H^1(K, V) \to H^1(K_{\mathrm{ur}}, V)$. If $\ell = p$, $H^1_e(K, V)$, $H^1_f(K, V)$, and $H^1_g(K, V)$ are defined as the kernels of the canonical maps from $H^1(K, V)$ to $H^1(K, B^{\varphi=1}_{\mathrm{crys}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)$, $H^1(K, B_{\mathrm{crys}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)$, and $H^1(K, B_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)$, respectively.

Let $a \in H^1(K, V)$ and let $0 \to V \to E_a \to \mathbb{Q}_\ell \to 0$ be the corresponding exact sequence of continuous representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. If $\ell \neq p$ and V is unramified, $a \in H^1_f(K, V)$ if and only if E_a is unramified. If $\ell = p$ and V is a de Rham representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$, $a \in H^1_g(K, V)$ if and only if E_a is de Rham. If $\ell = p$ and V is crystalline, $a \in H^1_f(K, V)$ if and only if E_a is crystalline.

In the Tate duality $H^1(K, V) \times H^1(K, V^*(1)) \to \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, if $\ell \neq p$ (resp. $\ell = p$ and V is de Rham), $H^1_e(K, V)$, $H^1_f(K, V)$, $H^1_g(K, V)$ coincide with the annihilators of $H^1_g(K, V^*(1))$, $H^1_f(K, V^*(1))$, $H^1_e(K, V^*(1))$, respectively.

2.1.6. Let the notation be as in 2.1.5. In the case $\ell = p$, we assume that V is a de Rham representation. For $a \in H^1(K, V)$ and for the corresponding exact sequence $0 \to V \to E_a \to \mathbb{Q}_\ell \to 0$, we review the relation of the monodromy operator of E_a and the image of a in $H^1_g(K, V)/H^1_f(K, V)$.

(1) Assume first $\ell \neq p$ and that the action of the inertia subgroup I of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ on V is unipotent. Then we have canonical isomorphisms

$$H^1_g(K,V)/H^1_f(K,V) \overset{\cong}{\to} H^1(K_{ur},V)^{\sigma=1} \cong (V(-1)/NV)^{\sigma=1},$$

where σ is the canonical generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathrm{ur}}/K)$, N denotes the monodromy operator $V \to V(-1)$ of V, and $\sigma = 1$ denotes the fixed part of σ. This isomorphism sends $a \in H^1(K,V)$ to the class of the monodromy operator $N_a : E_a \to E_a(-1)$. Here the class of N_a is defined as the class of $N_a(e)$ where e is any lifting of $1 \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ to E_a.

If $d \geq 1$ is an integer and if V satisfies the weight-monodromy conjecture for the weight filtration W of pure weight $-d$ (this means that W is the increasing filtration defined by $W_{-d}V = V$ and $W_{-d-1}V = 0$), then since

$$((\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}V)_{\mathrm{prim}}(-1))^{\sigma=1} \cong (V(-1)/NV)^{\sigma=1},$$

we have a canonical isomorphism

$$H^1_g(K,V)/H^1_f(K,V) \cong ((\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}V)_{\mathrm{prim}}(-1))^{\sigma=1}.$$

This isomorphism sends a to $N_{a,d}$ (§1.5).

(2) Assume next $\ell = p$ and that V is semi-stable. Then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$H^1_g(K,V)/H^1_f(K,V) \cong (D_{\mathrm{st}}(V)(-1)/ND_{\mathrm{st}}(V))^{\varphi=1}$$

which sends $a \in H^1_g(K,V)$ to the class of the monodromy operator $N_a : D_{\mathrm{st}}(E_a) \to D_{\mathrm{st}}(E_a)(-1)$ (note that E_a is semi-stable by the assumption V is semi-stable and $a \in H^1_g(K,V)$).

If $d \geq 1$ is an integer and if $D_{\mathrm{st}}(V)$ satisfies the weight-monodromy conjecture for the weight filtration W of pure weight $-d$, since

$$(\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}D_{\mathrm{st}}V)_{\mathrm{prim}}(-1))^{\varphi=1} \cong (D_{\mathrm{st}}(V)(-1)/ND_{\mathrm{st}}(V))^{\varphi=1},$$

we have a canonical isomorphism

$$H^1_g(K,V)/H^1_f(K,V) \cong ((\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}D_{\mathrm{st}}(V))_{\mathrm{prim}}(-1))^{\varphi=1}.$$

This isomorphism sends $a \in H^1_g(K,V)$ to $N_{a,d}$ (§1.5).

(3) In general, if $\ell \neq p$ (resp. $\ell = p$), the action of I on V is quasi-unipotent (resp. V is potentially semi-stable). Hence by the above (1) (resp. (2)) for a finite extension K' of K over which we have a unipotent action of the inertia group (resp. semi-stable representation), if $d \geq 1$ and if the weight-monodromy conjecture in (1) (resp. (2)) over K' is true, we have a canonical injective homomorphism

$$\iota : H^1_g(K,V)/H_f(K,V) \to \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}(V)_{\mathrm{prim}}(-1)$$

$$(\text{resp. } \iota : H^1_g(K,V)/H^1_f(K,V) \to \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{-2}D_{\mathrm{pst}}(V)_{\mathrm{prim}}(-1))$$

which sends $a \in H^1_g(K,V)$ to $N_{a,d}$.

2.1.7. Let the notation be as in 2.1.5 and let T be a $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$-stable $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-lattice in V. Then $H^1(K,T) = H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K), T)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module. By $H^1_e(K,T)$, $H^1_f(K,T)$, $H^1_g(K,T)$, we denote the inverse image of $H^1_e(K,V)$, $H^1_f(K,V)$, $H^1_g(K,V)$ in $H^1(K,T)$, respectively.

Lemma 2.1.8. *Let the notation be as in 2.1.5. Let T be a $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$-stable $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-lattice in V.*

(1) Assume $\ell \neq p$. Assume V is unramified. Then the image of $H^1_g(K,T)/H^1_f(K,T) \to V(-1)^{\sigma=1}$ is contained in $T(-1)$.

(2) Assume $\ell = p$. Assume V is crystalline, p is a prime element in K, and there is $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $D^r_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) = D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$ and $D^{r+p-1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{FL}(T) \subset D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V)$ be the Fontaine-Laffaille module [13] corresponding to T. Then the image of $H^1_g(K,T)/H^1_f(K,T) \to D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V)(-1)^{\varphi=1}$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{FL}(T)(-1)$.

Proof. (1) is clear. We prove (2). The isomorphism $H^1_g(K,V)/H^1_f(K,V) \overset{\cong}{\to} D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V)(-1)^{\varphi=1}$ is the dual of $H^1_f(K,V^*(1))/H^1_e(K,V^*(1)) \cong D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V^*(1))/(1-\varphi)D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V^*(1))$ which comes from a canonical isomorphism $H^1_f(K,T^*(1)) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FL}}(T^*(1))/(1-\varphi)\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{FL}}(T^*(1))$ ([7] Lemma 4.5). Hence by duality, the homomorphism $H^1_g(K,T) \to D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V)(-1)$ comes from the composition $H^1_g(K,T) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(H^1(K,T^*(1)),\mathbb{Z}_p) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FL}}(T^*(1)),\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FL}}(T)$. □

2.1.9. We consider the corresponding archimedean theory. Let $d \geq 1$ and let H be a pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure of weight $-d$. Then the group $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{R}\mathrm{MHS}}(\mathbb{R},H)$ of extensions in the category of $\mathbb{R}$-mixed Hodge structures is isomorphic to $H_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash H_{\mathbb{C}}/F^0H_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let $H^{\leq 1,\leq 1}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the intersection $H_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \oplus_{p\leq -1,q\leq -1,p+q=-d} H^{p,q}_{\mathbb{C}}$ where $H^{p,q}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the component of $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ of Hodge type (p,q). Then we have an isomorphism

$$H^{\leq -1,\leq -1}_{\mathbb{R}} \overset{\cong}{\to} H_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash H_{\mathbb{C}}/F^0H_{\mathbb{C}} \quad \delta \mapsto i\delta.$$

Hence we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\delta : \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{R}\mathrm{MHS}}(\mathbb{R},H) \overset{\cong}{\to} H^{\leq -1,\leq -1}_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

If $a \in \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{R}\mathrm{MHS}}(\mathbb{R},H)$ and if $0 \to H \to E_a \to \mathbb{R} \to 0$ is the corresponding exact sequence of of $\mathbb{R}$ mixed Hodge structures, the last isomorphism sends a to the δ of E_a.

2.1.10. In 2.1.10–2.1.22, let F be a number field, let $d \geq 2$, and let M be a pure motive with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F of weight $-d$.

Let S be a finite set of places of F containing all archimedean places. Let $H^1_{g,S}(F,T)$ be the subgroup of $H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F), M_{et})$ consisting of all elements a whose images $a_{v,\ell}$ in $H^1(F_v, M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ for non-archimedean places v of F and prime numbers ℓ satisfy the following (i) and (ii).

(i) $a_{v,\ell} \in H^1_g(F_v, M_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ for all v and ℓ.

(ii) $a_{v,\ell} \in H^1_f(F_v, M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ for all ℓ if $v \notin S$.

Let

$$H^1_g(F, M_{et}) = \cup_S \, H^1_{g,S}(F, M_{et}) \subset H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F), M_{et}).$$

We also introduce notation for archimedean theory. For an archimdean place v of F, let $E_v^{\mathbb{R}}$ be the following $\mathbb{R}$-vector space. Let $a : F \to \mathbb{C}$ be a homomorphism which induces v. If v is a complex place, let $E_v^{\mathbb{R}} = M_{a,B,\mathbb{R}} \cap M_{a,H,\mathbb{C}}^{\leq -1, \leq -1}$ where $M_{a,H,\mathbb{C}}^{\leq -1, \leq -1}$ is the part of $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M_{a,B}$ of Hodge type $(\leq -1, \leq -1)$. In the case v is a real place, let $E_v^{\mathbb{R}}$ be $M_{a,B,\mathbb{R}}^{\sigma=-1} \cap M_{a,H,\mathbb{C}}^{\leq -1, \leq -1}$ where $M_{a,B,\mathbb{R}}^{\sigma=-1}$ denotes the part of $M_{a,B,\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M_{a,B}$ on which the complex conjugation σ on $M_{a,B}$ acts by -1.

Proposition 2.1.11. *If $d \neq 2$, we have $H^1_g(F, M_{et}) = H^1_{g,S}(F, M_{et})$ if S is large enough.*

Proof. If S is large enough, by Weil conjecture proved by Deligne, 2.1.6 shows that $H^1_g(F_v, M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell})/H^1_f(F_v, M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) = 0$ for any $v \notin S$ and any ℓ. □

2.1.12. Let the notation be as in 2.1.10.

We assume that we are given a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space E endowed with a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map

$$E \to (\oplus_{v|\infty} E_v^{\mathbb{R}}) \oplus H^1_g(F, M_{et}).$$

For a finite set S of places of F containing all archimedean places, let $E_S \subset E$ be the inverse image of $H^1_{g,S}(F, M_{et})$ under the map $E \to H^1_g(F, M_{et})$. By 2.1.11, if $d \neq 2$, we have $E = E_S$ for a sufficiently large S.

We are interested in the following two situations.

(Situation I). $E := \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, M)$.

(Situation II). Let X be a projective smooth scheme over F, fix $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d = 2r - m$, let M be the mixed motive $H^m(X)(r)$ with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F of weight $-d$, and let $E := \mathrm{gr}^r_\gamma(\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_{d-1}(X))$.

We expect that we have $E = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, M)$ also in the situation II, but we like to discuss finiteness concerning the K-group without assuming it in the situation II.

In the situation I (resp. the situation II), the map $E \to E_v^{\mathbb{R}}$ is obtained by taking the associated Hodge structures and using 2.1.9 (resp. is the Beilinson regulator map). In the situation I (resp. situation II), the map $E \to H^1_g(F, M_{et})$ is obtained by the étale realization of extensions (resp. by the Chern class map). In the situation II, these maps should coincide with the maps in the situation I via the identification $E = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, M)$.

2.1.13. Assume now that M is endowed with a structure of $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients. Let $E^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the fiber product of

$$E \to H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F), M_{et}) \leftarrow H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F), M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}).$$

Since $G := \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ is compact, we have $H^1(G, M_{et}) = \varinjlim_n H^1(G, \frac{1}{n}M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes H^1(G, M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}})$. Hence we have

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes E^{\mathbb{Z}} = E.$$

In the situation I, we have $E^{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, M)$ (2.1.3).

For a finite set S of places of F which contains all archimedean places, let $E_S^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset E^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the inverse image of E_S under the map $E^{\mathbb{Z}} \to E$. By 2.1.11, if $d \neq 2$, we have $E_S^{\mathbb{Z}} = E^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for a sufficiently large S.

Proposition 2.1.14. *For any $n \geq 1$, $E_S^{\mathbb{Z}}/nE_S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a finite group.*

Proof. This quotient group is embedded in $\prod_{\ell|n} B_\ell/nB_\ell$ where ℓ ranges over all prime divisors of n, and $B_\ell := H^1_{et}(\mathrm{Spec}(O_{F,S'}), M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_\ell}) = H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Gal}(F_{S'}/F), M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_\ell})$ for a finite set S' of places of F which contains S, all prime divisors of n in F, and all non-archimedean places at which M is of bad reduction. Here $O_{F,S'}$ denotes the ring of S'-integers in F, H^1_{et} denotes the étale cohomology, and $F_{S'}$ denotes the largest Galois extension of F in $\bar{F}$ in which all places of F outside S' are unramified. As is well known, B_ℓ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module. □

2.1.15. Now assume that M is endowed with a polarization. For each non-archimedean place v of F, let E_v be the image of

$$E \to \prod_\ell H^1_g(F_v, M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell})/H^1_f(F_v, M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$$

where ℓ ranges over all prime numbers. Consider the following condition $C(v)$.

$C(v)$: M satisfies the weight-monodromy conjecture at v. Furthermore, for any $a \in E_v$, the element $\langle \mathrm{Ad}(N_{v,0}^{d-2})(\iota_v(a)), \iota_v(a)\rangle$ of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ (ι_v is the ι in 2.1.6 for the local field F_v), where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is the paring defined by the polarization, is contained in $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ for any prime number ℓ and it is independent of ℓ, and it is 0 if and only if $a = 0$.

In the situation I, this condition is the same as the following: For any $a \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, M)$, the corresponding mixed motive M_a with the exact sequence $0 \to M \to M_a \to \mathbb{Q} \to 0$ satisfies (i) and (ii) in 1.7.2 at v.

Under the assumption that $C(v)$ is satisfied for all non-archimedean places v of F, we define $H(a) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ as follows. For a non-archimedean place v of F, let $H_v(a) := N(v)^{l(v)}$ where $l(v) = \langle \mathrm{Ad}(N_{v,0}^{d-2})(\iota_v(a)), \iota_v(a)\rangle^{1/d}$. If v is archimedean, let $H_v(a) := \exp(2(\delta_v(a), \delta_v(a))^{1/d})$ if v is a complex place, and let $H_v(a) := \exp((\delta_v(a), \delta_v(a))^{1/d})$ if v is a real place. Here $(\ ,\ )$ is the Hodge metric. Define $H(a) := \prod_v H_v(a)$ where v ranges over all places of F. In the situation I, $H_v(a) = H_{\diamondsuit,d,v}(M_a)$ and $H(a) = H_{\diamondsuit,d}(M_a)$ where M_a is the extension $0 \to M \to M_a \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$ corresponding to a.

2.1.16. We consider relations between the following statements $S(a)$, $S(b)$, $S(c)$ concerning the subjects (a), (b), (c) in 2.1.1, respectively, and a stronger version $S(b)^*$ of $S(b)$. In these statements, S denotes a finite set of places of F containing all archimedean places.

$S(a)$: (Here we assume that the condition $C(v)$ is satisfied for any non-archimedean place v of F.) For any $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, there are only finitely many $a \in E^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $H(a) \leq C$.

$S(b)$: $E_S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is finitely generated as an abelian group for any S.

$S(b)^*$: $E_S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is finitely generated as an abelian group for any S. Furthermore, the map

$$\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_{S_\infty} \to \oplus_{v|\infty} E_v^{\mathbb{R}}$$

is injective. Here S_∞ denotes the set of all archimedean places of F.

$S(c)$: The map $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_{S_\infty} \to \oplus_{v|\infty} E_v^{\mathbb{R}}$ is injective. Furthermore, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^f \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_S \stackrel{\cong}{\to} H^1_{g,S}(F, M_{et})$$

for any S.

In the situation II, the injectivity of $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_{S_\infty} \to \oplus_{v|\infty} E_v^{\mathbb{R}}$ is conjectured in [3], the bijectivity of it in the case $d \geq 3$ is conjectured in [3], and the bijectivity of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^f \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_S \stackrel{\cong}{\to} H^1_{g,S}(F, M_{et})$ is conjectured in [7].

Proposition 2.1.17. *Let F be a number field, let M be a polarized pure motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F of weight $-d$ with $d \geq 2$, and let E be as in 2.1.12.*

(1) Assume that $C(v)$ is satisfied at any non-archimedean place v of F. Then we have the equivelence

$$S(a) \Leftrightarrow S(b)^*.$$

(2) We have the implication

$$S(c) \Rightarrow S(b)^*.$$

2.1.18. This is a preparation for the proof of $S(a) \Rightarrow S(b)^*$ in (1) of Prop. 2.1.17.

Recall that the proof of Mordell-Weil theorem for abelian varieties by Weil is based on the following fact.

Let Γ be an abelian group and assume the following (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Then Γ is finitely generated.

(i) For some integer $n \geq 2$, the quotient group $\Gamma/n\Gamma$ is finite.

(ii) There is a symmetric $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle \geq 0$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and such that for any $C \geq 0$, the set $\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle \leq C\}$ is finite.

2.1.19. We prove $S(a) \Rightarrow S(b)^*$ in (1) in Proposition 2.1.17. We assume $S(a)$ holds.

For $\Gamma = E_S^{\mathbb{Z}}$, (i) in 2.1.18 is satisfied by 2.1.14, and the pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ in (ii) is given by the symmetric bilinear form on $(\oplus_{v|\infty} E_v^{\mathbb{R}}) \oplus (\oplus_{v \in S \smallsetminus S_\infty} E_v)$. The condition (ii) is

exactly the finiteness of the number of elements of $E_S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of bounded height. Hence we have the implication $S(a) \Rightarrow S(b)$.

We prove that the map $f : V_1 := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_{S_\infty} \to V_2 := \oplus_{v|\infty} E_v^{\mathbb{R}}$ is injective. Let $L = E_{S_\infty}^{\mathbb{Z}}/(\text{torsion})$. $S(a)$ shows that the restriction of f to L is injective and $f(L)$ is discrete in V_2. Since $f(L)$ is a discrete subgroup of $f(V_1)$, we have $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} f(L) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} f(V_1)$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} f(V_1) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_1$ and hence f is injective.

2.1.20. This is a preparation for the proof of $S(b)^* \Rightarrow S(a)$.

Assume $d = 2$. We show that for $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $\{a \in E^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid H(a) \leq C\} \subset E_S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for some finite set S of non-archimedean places of F.

Let c be the unique element of $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that the image of the homomorphism $M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(-1) \otimes M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(-1) \to \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^f$ induced by the polarization of M coincides with $c \cdot \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^f$. We show that if S satisfies the following (i)–(iii), then $\{a \in E^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid H(a) \leq C\} \subset E_S^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

(i) M is of good reduction outside S. (ii) If v is a place of F outside S, then $N(v) > C^{1/\sqrt{c}}$. (iii) If v is a place of F outside S and if p denotes the characteristic of the residue field of v, there is $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $F^r M_{\mathrm{dR}} = M_{\mathrm{dR}}$ and $F^{r+p-1} M_{\mathrm{dR}} = 0$.

Let $a \in E^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and assume $H(a) \leq C$. Let v be a place of F outside S. We prove that for any prime number ℓ, the image of a in $H_g^1(F_v, M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ belongs to $H_f^1(F_v, M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$. It is sufficient to prove that $\iota_v(a) = 0$. If $\ell \neq p$, $\langle \iota_v(a), \iota_v(a) \rangle$ defined by using ℓ belongs to $c \cdot \mathbb{Z}_\ell$. Assume $\ell = p$. By the conditions (i) and (iii), we have the Fontaine-Laffaille module $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FL}}(M_{\text{ét},\mathbb{Z}_p})$ and the polarization of M induces $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FL}}(M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_p})(-1) \times \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FL}}(M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_p})(-1) \to c\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FL}}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = c \cdot W(k)$ where k denotes the residue field of v, and hence $\langle \iota_v(a), \iota_v(a) \rangle$ defined by using $\ell = p$ belongs to $c \cdot W(k)$. Since we assume that $\langle \iota_v(a), \iota_v(a) \rangle$ does not depend on ℓ and belongs to $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, we have $\langle \iota_v(a), \iota_v(a) \rangle \in c \cdot \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Hence if $\iota_v(a) \neq 0$, we have $H_v(a) \geq N(v)^{c^{1/2}}$. But $C \geq H(a) \geq H_v(a)$, and this would imply $C^{1/\sqrt{c}} \geq N(v)$, a contradiction.

2.1.21. We prove the implication $S(b)^* \Rightarrow S(a)$ in 2.1.17 (1). Assume $S(b)^*$. We show that for $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the set $\{a \in E^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid H(a) \leq C\}$ is finite. By 2.1.11 and 2.1.20, it is sufficient to prove that the set $\{a \in E_S^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid H(a) \leq C\}$ is finite.

Let v be a non-archimedean place v of F. By the assumption, E_v is of finite dimension over $\mathbb{Q}$. The positive definite symmetric bilinear form on E_v induces a positive definite symmetric bilinear form $E_v^{\mathbb{R}} \times E_v^{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$ over $\mathbb{R}$ where $E_v^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_v$.

$E_S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a finitely generate $\mathbb{Z}$-module, we have an embedding of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} E_S^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \oplus_{v \in S} E_v^{\mathbb{R}}$, and we have a positive definite symmetric bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $\oplus_{v \in S} E_v^{\mathbb{R}}$. If $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, there is $C' \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $H(a) \leq C$ for $a \in E_S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ implies $\langle a, a \rangle \leq C'$, and hence there are only finitely many such a.

2.1.22. We prove (2) of 2.1.17. Assume that $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^f \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_S \to H_{g,S}^1(F, M_{et})$ is an isomorphism. We show that $E_S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is finitely generated as an abelian group. Since the $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-component of $H_{g,S}^1(F, M_{et})$ is contained in the finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-vector space $H_{et}^1(\mathrm{Spec}(O_{F,S'}), M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ for a finite set S' of places of F which contains S and all

prime divisors of ℓ in F such that M is of good reduction outside S', we have that E_S is of finite dimension over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\hat{L}$ be the fiber product of

$$H^1_{g,S}(F, M_{et}) \to H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F), M_{et}) \leftarrow H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F), M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}).$$

Then $\mathbb{Q}\otimes\hat{L} = H^1_{g,S}(F, M_{et})$. The torsion part of $\hat{L}$ is isomorphic to $H^0(F, M_{et}/M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}})$ which is finite by Weil conjecture proved by Deligne. Each ℓ-adic component of $\hat{L}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module because it is contained in the finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module $H^1_{et}(\mathrm{Spec}(O_{F,S'}), M_{et,\mathbb{Z}_\ell})$ for S' as above. These prove that $\hat{L}/(\text{torsion})$ is identified with a $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-lattice in $\mathbf{A}^f_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_S$ and these prove that $E_S^{\mathbb{Z}}$, which is the fiber product of $E_S \to \mathbf{A}^f_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_S = H^1_{g,S}(F, M_{et}) \leftarrow \hat{L}$, is finitely generated as an abelian group.

2.1.23. Let F be a number field and let M be a polarized pure motive with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over F of weight -1. Let E be a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space and assume that we are given a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $E \to H^1_g(F, M_{et})$. We are interested in the following two situations I, II. In the situation I, $E = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, M)$ and the above map is given by étale realizations. In the situation II, $E = (\mathbb{Q} \otimes CH^r(X))_0$ assuming M is $H^{2r-1}(X)(r)$ for a projective smooth scheme X over F and for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the above map is given by the Chern class map. We expect that E is $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, M)$ also in the situation II and the map coincides with the map of the situation I, but we like to discuss the situation II not assuming these are true. Assume that we are given a positive definite symmetric $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinear form $E \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ and a structure of $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients of M. Let $E^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the fiber product of $E \to H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(F, M_{et}) \leftarrow H^1_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F), M_{et,\hat{Z}})$. Then by analogous arguments as in the proof of 2.1.17, we have the implications

$$S(a) \Leftrightarrow S(b) \Leftarrow S(c)$$

between the following statements $S(a)$, $S(b)$, $S(c)$. (In the situation II, this S(c) is conjectured in [7] like S(c) in 2.1.16.)

S(a): The set $\{a \in E^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid \langle a, a\rangle \le C\}$ is finite for any $C \in \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$.
S(b): $E^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is finitely generated as an abelian group.
S(c): $\mathbf{A}^f_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E \overset{\cong}{\to} H^1_g(F, M_{et})$.

2.1.24. Assume that the conjectures (i) and (ii) in 1.7.2 and the conjectures (i)–(iv) in 1.7.9 (which appeared when we discussed the definition of the height function $H_\diamondsuit$) are true. Assume that $S(c)$ in 2.1.16 and 2.1.23 are true in the situations I.

Then we can prove (1) in 2.1.2, that is, the finiteness of the number of isomorphism classes of mixed motives with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F with fixed pure graded quotients and of bounded height, as follows.

By induction on the length of the weight filtration of M, we may assume that $W_wM = M$, $W_{w-d-1}M = 0$ ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \ge 1$ are fixed), and the subquotients $W_wM/W_{w-d}M$ and $W_{w-1}M$ of M are fixed. Then if such M exists, isomorphism

classes of such M form a torsor over $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M, \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-d} M) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, M')$ where $M' = (\mathrm{gr}^W_w M)^* \otimes \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-d} M$. This can be seen from the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M, \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-d} M) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M, W_{w-1} M) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{gr}^W_w, M/W_{w-d} M)$$

in which the last arrow sends the class of various M to the class of the fixed $M/W_{w-d}M$. Write the action of $a \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, M')$ on the set of isomorphism classes of such M as $M \mapsto M + a$. Then there are constants $c, c' \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $H_{\diamondsuit,d}(a) \le c' H_\diamondsuit(M)^c H_\diamondsuit(M+a)^c$ for a fixed M and for any $a \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, M')$, where $H_{\diamondsuit,d}(a)$ denotes $H_\diamondsuit(M'_a)$ for the extension $0 \to M' \to M'_a \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$ given by a. This shows that if $H_\diamondsuit(M)$ for such M are bounded, then $H_{\diamondsuit,d}(a)$ is bounded, and hence by 2.1.17 and 2.1.23, we have the finiteness of the classes of such M.

2.2 Period domains and height functions

We review period domains which classify Hodge structures and their toroidal partial compactifications, and discuss how they are related to the height functions in this paper.

2.2.1. Fix

$$\Phi = ((h(w,r))_{w,r\in\mathbb{Z}}, H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}, W, (\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w)_{w\in\mathbb{Z}})$$

where $h(w,r)$ are integers ≥ 0 given for $w, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h(w,r) = 0$ for almost all (w,r) and such that $h(w, w-r) = h(w,r)$ for all (w,r), $H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank $\sum_{w,r} h(w,r)$, W is an increasing filtration on $H_{0,\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{gr}^W_w) = \sum_r h(w,r)$ for any w, $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w : \mathrm{gr}^W_w \times \mathrm{gr}^W_w \to \mathbb{Q}(-w) = \mathbb{Q} \cdot (2\pi i)^{-w}$ is a non-degenerate bilinear form for each $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is symmetric if w is even and anti-symmetric if w is odd.

2.2.2. Let $\check{D}$ be the set of all decreasing filtrations F on $H_{0,\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying the following (1).

(1) $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{gr}^r \mathrm{gr}^W_w H_{0,\mathbb{C}} = h(w,r)$ for any $w, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the annihilator of $F^r \mathrm{gr}^W_w H_{0,\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w$ is $F^{w+1-r} \mathrm{gr}^W_w H_{0,\mathbb{C}}$ for any $w, r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $D \subset \check{D}$ be the subset consisting of all $F \in \check{D}$ satisfying the following (2).

(2) For any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, the triple $(\mathrm{gr}^W_w H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle_w, \mathrm{gr}^W_w(F))$ is a polarized Hodge structure.

Then D is an open set of the complex analytic manifold $\check{D}$ and hence is also a complex analytic manifold.

If the weight filtration W is pure, D is the classical period domain of Griffiths [16]. In general, D is the generalization of Griffiths period domain to mixed Hodge structures in Usui [43].

2.2.3. We review toroidal partial compactifications of period domains in [25] and in [23] Part III.

Let G be the algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$ of automorphisms of $H_{0,\mathbb{Q}}$ which respect W and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w$ $(w \in \mathbb{Z})$, We identify $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ with $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q},W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}(H_{0,\mathbb{Q}})$. Let

$$G_{\mathbb{Z}} := \{g \in G(\mathbb{Q}) \mid gH_{0,\mathbb{Z}} = H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}\},$$

$$\Gamma(n) := \mathrm{Ker}(G_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathrm{Aut}(H_0/nH_0)) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Let Ξ be the set of all cones in $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ of the form $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}N$ where N ranges over all elements of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ which are nilpotent as linear operators on $H_{0,\mathbb{Q}}$. We have the set $D_\Xi \supset D$ defined as follows. D_Ξ is the set of all pairs (σ, Z) where $\sigma \in \Xi$ and Z is a non-empty subset of $\check{D}$ satisfying the following conditions (i)–(iii). Write $\sigma = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}N$ with $N \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)$, and let $F \in Z$.

(i) $Z = \exp(\mathbb{C}N)F$.
(ii) $NF^p \subset F^{p-1}$ for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(iii) If $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathrm{Im}(z)$ is sufficiently large, $\exp(zN)F \in D$.

A point F of D is identified with $(\{0\}, \{F\}) \in D_\Xi$

For a subgroup Γ of $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of finite index, the quotient $\Gamma\backslash D_\Xi$ is our toroidal partial compactification of the quotient space $\Gamma\backslash D$ which we consider in this paper. This is a special case of toroidal partial compactifications in [25] and [23] Part III. Here we use only cones $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}N$ of rank ≤ 1. In general, cones of high rank can appear.

2.2.4. A subgroup Γ of $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is said to be neat if for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ generated by eigenvalues of $\gamma : H_{0,\mathbb{C}} \to H_{0,\mathbb{C}}$ is torsion free. For example, the group $\Gamma(n)$ is neat if $n \geq 3$.

Let Γ be a neat subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of fine index and let

$$S := \Gamma\backslash D \subset \mathfrak{S} := \Gamma\backslash D_\Xi.$$

Then by [25] and [23] Part III, $\mathfrak{S}$ has a structure of a log manifold in the sense of [25] 3.5.7 which contains S as a dense open subspace. It has the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ of holomorphic functions which extends the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_S$ of holomorphic functions of S, and has a log structure. With this structure, $\mathfrak{S}$ is a fine moduli space of log mixed Hodge structures. See [25], [23] Part III.

Let $I_{\mathfrak{S}}$ be the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ consisting of holomorphic functions which have values 0 on the boundary $\mathfrak{S} \smallsetminus S$. Then $I_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is an invertible ideal, and local generators of $I_{\mathfrak{S}}$ generate the log structure of $\mathfrak{S}$.

We have the sheaf $\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{S}}(\log)$ of holomorphic differential forms on $\mathfrak{S}$ with (at worst) log poles at the boundary $\mathfrak{S} \smallsetminus S$. It is a vector bundle on $\mathfrak{S}$.

If Γ' is a subgroup of Γ of finite index, we have a morphism $\mathfrak{S}' := \Gamma'\backslash D_\Xi \to \mathfrak{S} = \Gamma\backslash D_\Xi$ of log manifolds. This morphism is log étale, and this implies that the pullback of $\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{S}}(\log)$ on $\mathfrak{S}'$ coincides with $\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{S}'}(\log)$.

The pullback of the ideal $I_{\mathfrak{S}}$ on $\mathfrak{S}'$ need not coincide with $I_{\mathfrak{S}'}$.

2.2.5. Let the notation be as in 2.2.4.

The universal family of mixed Hodge structure $\mathcal{H}_S$ on S extends to the universal family $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ of log mixed Hodge structure on $\mathfrak{S}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{S,\mathbb{Z}}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-local system of $\mathcal{H}_S$ on S. Then the universal Hodge bundle $\mathcal{H}_{S,\mathcal{O}} := \mathcal{O}_S \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_{S,\mathbb{Z}}$ on S extends canonically to a vector bundle $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{S},\mathcal{O}}$ on $\mathfrak{S}$.

We have

(1) The vector bundle $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}},W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{S},\mathcal{O}})/F^0$ and $\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{S}}(\log)$ are canonically the dual vector bundles of each other. In other words, $\mathcal{E}$ is canonically isomorphic to the log tangent bundle of $\mathfrak{S}$.

In the pure case, this (1) is [25] Proposition 4.4.3. The mixed case can be proved in a similar way.

By restricting to S, $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_{S,W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}}(\mathcal{H}_{S,\mathcal{O}})/F^0$ is identified with the tangent bundle of S.

2.2.6. Recall that $\Phi = ((h(w,r))_{w,r\in\mathbb{Z}}, H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}, W, (\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w)_{w\in\mathbb{Z}})$.

(1) For a number field F and for a mixed motive M over F in Case (mixed) (1.2.1), we say M is of type $\Phi_\emptyset$ if $\dim_F \mathrm{gr}^r\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{\mathrm{dR}} = h(w,r)$ for all (w,r). For $(C,\mathcal{H})$ as in 1.6.1, we say $\mathcal{H}$ is of type $\Phi_\emptyset$ if $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{O}_C}\mathrm{gr}^r\mathrm{gr}^W_w\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}} = h(w,r)$ for all (w,r).

(2) Let F be a number field and let M over F be in Case (mixed-pol).

(2.1) We say M is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ if M is of type $\Phi_\emptyset$ and there are a homomorphism $a : F \to \mathbb{C}$ and an isomorphism $\mathbb{R}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{a,B} \cong \mathbb{R}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathrm{gr}^W_w H_{0,\mathbb{Q}}$ of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces for each $w\in\mathbb{Z}$ via which the pairing $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{a,B}\times\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{a,B}\to\mathbb{Q}(-w)$ of the polarization is compatible with the paring $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w$.

(2.2) We say M is of type $\Phi_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ if M is of type $\Phi_\emptyset$ and there is an isomorphism $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}} \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{gr}^W_w H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}$ of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-modules for each $w\in\mathbb{Z}$ via which the pairing $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}\times\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{et,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}\to\mathbf{A}^f_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of the polarization is compatible with $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w$.

(2.3) We say M is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ if M is both of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ and of type $\Phi_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$.

(3) Let $(C,\mathcal{H},U)$ be as in 1.6.1 and assume that $\mathrm{gr}^W_w\mathcal{H}$ are polarized for all $w\in\mathbb{Z}$,.

(3.1) We say $\mathcal{H}$ is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ if there are $b\in U$ and an isomorphism $\mathbb{R}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathrm{gr}^W_w\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},b}\cong\mathbb{R}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathrm{gr}^W_w H_{0,\mathbb{Q}}$ of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces for each $w\in\mathbb{Z}$ via which the pairing $\mathrm{gr}^W_w\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},b}\times\mathrm{gr}^W_w\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},b}\to\mathbb{Q}(-w)$ of the polarization is compatible with $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w$.

(3.2) Assume that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is given a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We say $\mathcal{H}$ is of type $\Phi_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ if there are $b\in U$ and an isomorphism $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{gr}^W_w\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},b}\cong\hat{\mathbb{Z}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{gr}^W_w H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}$ of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-modules for each $w\in\mathbb{Z}$ via which the pairing $\mathrm{gr}^W_w\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},b}\times\mathrm{gr}^W_w\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},b}\to\mathbb{Q}(-w)$ of the polarization is compatible with $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w$.

(3.3) Assume that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is given a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We say $\mathcal{H}$ is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ if $\mathcal{H}$ is both of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ and of type $\Phi_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$.

(3.4) Assume that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is given a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We say $\mathcal{H}$ is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{Z}}$ if there are $b\in U$ and an isomorphism $\mathrm{gr}^W_w\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},b}\cong\mathrm{gr}^W_w H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules for each $w\in\mathbb{Z}$ via

which the pairing $\mathrm{gr}_w^W\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},b}\times\mathrm{gr}_w^W\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},b}\to\mathbb{Q}(-w)$ of the polarization is compatible with $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w$.

Of course, if $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and if $\mathcal{H}$ is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{Z}}$, then it is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$. The converse is not necessarily true.

(4) For a number field F and for M over F in Case (mixed), we say M is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ if there are polarizations on gr_w^WM for $w\in\mathbb{Z}$ with which M is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$. For $(C,\mathcal{H})$ as in 1.6.1, we say $\mathcal{H}$ is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ if there are polarizations on $\mathrm{gr}_w^W\mathcal{H}$ for $w\in\mathbb{Z}$ with which $\mathcal{H}$ is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$.

2.2.7. The height function $h_{\spadesuit}$ in §1.6 is related to the above period domain as follows.

Let C, $\mathcal{H}$, U be as in 1.6.1, assume that $\mathrm{gr}_w^W\mathcal{H}$ are polarized for all $w\in\mathbb{Z}$, and assume that the local system $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (2.2.6 (3.4)). Fix $b\in U$ and an isomorphism $H_{\mathbb{Z},b}\cong H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules preserving the weight filtrations whose induced isomorphisms $\mathrm{gr}_w^WH_{\mathbb{Z},b}\cong\mathrm{gr}_w^WH_{0,\mathbb{Z}}$ for $w\in\mathbb{Z}$ have the properties stated in 2.2.6 (3.4). The monodromy action of $\pi_1(U,b)$ on $H_{\mathbb{Z},x}$ gives a homomorphism $\pi_1(U,b)\to G_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

(1) Assume that the image of $\pi_1(U,b)\to G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is contained in a neat subgroup Γ of $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of finite index. Then by [25] and [23] Part III, we have a morphism

$$f:C\to\mathfrak{S}:=\Gamma\backslash D_{\Xi}$$

of log manifold associated to $\mathcal{H}$ (called the extended period map) which extends the classical period map $U\to\Gamma\backslash D$ associated to $\mathcal{H}|_U$.

We have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}\cong f^*\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{S},\mathcal{O}},$$
$$\begin{aligned}\det_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_C,W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}})/F^0)^{-1}&\cong f^*\det_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}},W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{S},\mathcal{O}})/F^0)^{-1}\\&\cong f^*\det_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{S}}(\log)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$h_{\spadesuit}(\mathcal{H})=\deg(f^*\det_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{S}}(\log))).$$

(2) In general, for some finite extension of the function field of C, if C' denotes the integral closure of C in this extension and U' denotes the inverse image of U in C', and if b' is a point of U' lying over b, the image of $\pi_1(U',b')\to G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is contained in a neat subgroup Γ of $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of finite index. We have the extended period map $f':C'\to\mathfrak{S}=\Gamma\backslash D_{\Xi}$ associated to the pull back of $\mathcal{H}$ to U', and we have

$$h_{\spadesuit}(\mathcal{H})=[C':C]^{-1}\deg((f')^*\det_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{S}}(\log))).$$

Thus $h_{\spadesuit}(\mathcal{H})$ comes from $\det_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{S}}(\log))$.

2.2.8. Let S and $\mathfrak{S}$ be as in 2.2.4. In 2.2.8–2.2.10, we consider a canonical metric on $\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\Omega^1_S)$, a canonical measure on S, and their relations to the height function $H_{\spadesuit}$.

Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}},W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{S},\mathcal{O}})/F^0$ as in 2.2.5. The Hodge metrics of $\mathrm{gr}^W_w\mathcal{H}|_S$ ($w \in \mathbb{Z}$) give a Hodge metric on $\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{E}|_S)$. By the duality 2.2.5, this gives a canonical Hermitian C^∞ metric on $\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\Omega^1_S)$. (Here a metric $|\ \ |$ on a line bundle of a complex analytic manifold is said to be C^∞ if for a local base e of the line bundle, $|e|$ is a C^∞-function.) It gives a canonical measure on S.

This canonical measure on S is actually a classical object. If we denote by G_u the kernel of the map from G to the automorphism group of $\mathrm{gr}^W H_{0,\mathbb{Q}}$, $G(\mathbb{R})G_u(\mathbb{C})$ acts transitively on D ([16], [43]), and this measure is a $G(\mathbb{R})G_u(\mathbb{C})$-invariant measure on D (which is unique modulo $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$-multiple). Hence it is a classical object.

By using the theory of degenerations of Hodge metrics as in [8], [KNU] Part II, etc., we can show that this canonical C^∞ metric on $\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\Omega^1_S)$ extends to a metic of $\det_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{S}}(\log))$ with (at worst) log singularities at the boundary.

2.2.9. Example. Consider the case where $h(w,r) = 1$ if $(w,r) = (1,0)$ or $(1,1)$, and $h(w,r) = 0$ for other (w,r), $H_{0,\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}e_1 + \mathbb{Z}e_2$, $W_1 = H_{0,\mathbb{Q}}$, $W_0 = 0$, and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_1$ is the anti-symmetric pairing such that $\langle e_2, e_1\rangle_1 = (2\pi i)^{-1}$. Then D is canonically identified with the upper half plane $\mathfrak{H}$: $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ corresponds to $F \in D$ defined by

$$F^0 = H_{0,\mathbb{C}} \supset F^1 = \mathbb{C} \cdot (ze_1 + e_2) \supset F^2 = 0.$$

The canonical metric $|\ \ |$ on $\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\Omega^1_S)$ is characterized by the property that $|(2y)^{-1}dz| = 1$ where $y = \mathrm{Im}(z)$. In the toroidal compactification $\mathfrak{S}$ of S, at a boundary at which $y \to \infty$, if q denotes $\exp(2\pi i z)$ (so $q \to 0$ at this boundary), dq/q is a basis of $\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{S}}(\log) = \det_{\mathfrak{S}}(\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{S}}(\log))$ at this boundary, and $|(4\pi y)^{-1}dq/q| = 1$. Thus the metric on $\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{S}}(\log)$ has a log singularity $4\pi y$ at the boundary. The corresponding measure on S is the Poincaré measure $y^{-2}dxdy$.

2.2.10. The height function $H_\spadesuit$ is related to the above period domain as follows. For a mixed motive M in Case (mixed-pol) over a number field F, if v is an archimedean place of F induced by a homomorphism $a : F \to \mathbb{C}$, the Hodge structure $M_{a,H}$ gives a point of the above period domain D for some Φ, and the canonical metric $|\ \ |_v$ on $\det_F(\mathrm{End}_{F,W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}M_{\mathrm{dR}})/F^0)^{-1}$ in §1.4 used in the definition of $H_\spadesuit$ is identified with the pullback of the canonical metric on $\det_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Omega^1_D)$ which corresponds to the classical canonical measure on D.

Remark 2.2.11. The author plans to discuss non-archimedean versions of these stories elsewhere by using p-adic period domains ([35], [20]) and using toroidal partial compactifications of p-adic period domains in [20]. For F and M as in 2.2.10 and for a non-archimedean place v of F, the canonical metric $|\ \ |_v$ on $\det_F(\mathrm{End}_{F,W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}M_{\mathrm{dR}})/F^0)^{-1}$ in §1.4 also comes from a metric on $\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\Omega^1_S)$ for a v-adic period domain S which extends to a metric of $\det_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{S}}(\log))$ on a toroidal partial compactification $\mathfrak{S}$ of S.

2.2.12. If V is an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{Q}$, we have the associated complex analytic space V^{an}. In the world of imagination, consider the moduli space X of motives

whose set of F-points for a number field F is the set of isomorphism classes of the following objects:

M over F in Case (mixed-pol) of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ with full level n structure.

Though we do not know how to formulate this moduli space X nicely, our philosophy is that $\Gamma(n)\backslash D$ should be an open and closed subset of the complex analytic space X^{an} associated to X.

From the above observations on period domains and their toroidal partial compactifications, we think that in the analogy between (1), (2) and (3) in 0.1, the height functions $H_{\spadesuit}$ in (1) and $h_{\spadesuit}$ in (3) are like the height function H_{K+D} in (2).

2.2.13. We next describe the relation of the height function $h_{\heartsuit,n}$ to the period domain.

Let $C, \mathcal{H}, U$ be as in 1.6.1, assume $\mathrm{gr}_w^W\mathcal{H}$ are polarized for all $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, and assume that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}/n\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a constant sheaf. Assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and fix $b \in U$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},b} \cong H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}$ as in 2.2.7. We first assume $n \geq 3$. The cases $n = 1, 2$ will be described in 2.2.14.

The image of $\pi_1(C, b) \to G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is contained in $\Gamma(n)$. Let $f : C \to \mathfrak{S} = \Gamma(n)\backslash D_{\Xi}$ be the extended period map. Then $h_{\heartsuit,n}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{x\in C} h_{\heartsuit,n,v}(\mathcal{H})$ where $h_{\heartsuit,n,v}$ is the integer $e(n, x) \geq 0$ determined by $(f^*I_{\mathfrak{S}})_x = m_x^{e(n,x)}$. Here m_x denotes the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{C,x}$.

2.2.14. We describe the relation of $h_{\heartsuit,n}(\mathcal{H})$ with the period domain including the case $n = 1, 2$.

For any subgroup Γ of $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of finite index which need not be neat, we have the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ of holomorphic functions on $\mathfrak{S} := \Gamma\backslash D_{\Xi}$ defined as follows. Take a subgroup Γ' of Γ of finite index which is neat and let $\mathfrak{S}' = \Gamma'\backslash D_{\Xi}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ be the sheaf of $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on $\mathfrak{S}$ consisting of those whose pullbacks to $\mathfrak{S}'$ belong to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}'}$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is independent of the choice of Γ'. If Γ' is normal in Γ, for any open set U of $\mathfrak{S}$, if U' denotes the inverse image of U in $\mathfrak{S}'$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}(U)$ is identified with the fixed part of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}'}(U')$ under the natural action of Γ/Γ'.

Let $S := \Gamma\backslash D$ and let $I_{\mathfrak{S}}$ be the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ consisting of functions which have values 0 on the boundary $\mathfrak{S} \smallsetminus S$. Then we have an invertible ideal J of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}'}$ associated to $I_{\mathfrak{S}}$ characterized by the following property (i).

(i) For any point p of $\mathfrak{S}'$ and for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}',p}$ of height one, the ideal of the local ring $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}',p})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ generated by J_p coincides with the pullback of the ideal $I_{\mathfrak{S}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}$.

This ideal J of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}'}$ is described as in (ii) and (iii) below.

(ii) The restriction of J to $S' := \Gamma'\backslash D$ coincides with $\mathcal{O}_{S'}$.

(iii) Let (σ, Z) be an element of D_{Ξ} which does not belong to D and let p be its class in $\mathfrak{S}'$. Let $\Gamma(\sigma)^{\mathrm{gp}}$ (resp. $\Gamma'(\sigma)^{\mathrm{gp}}$) be the subgroup of Γ (resp. Γ') consisting of all unipotent elements γ such that $\log(\gamma)$ belongs to the $\mathbb{R}$-subspace of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by σ. Then both $\Gamma(\sigma)^{\mathrm{gp}}$ and $\Gamma'(\sigma)^{\mathrm{gp}}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\Gamma'(\sigma)^{\mathrm{gp}}$ is of finite index in $\Gamma(\sigma)^{\mathrm{gp}}$. Let $e = [\Gamma(\sigma)^{\mathrm{gp}} : \Gamma'(\sigma)^{\mathrm{gp}}]$. Then $J_p = I^e_{\mathfrak{S}',p}$.

Let $C, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be as in 2.2.13. Take a neat subgroup Γ' of $\Gamma := \Gamma(n)$ of finite index, and take C' such that we have the extended period map $f' : C' \to \mathfrak{S}' := \Gamma' \backslash D_{\Xi}$. We have $h_{\heartsuit,n}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{x \in C} h_{\heartsuit,n,x}(\mathcal{H})$ with $h_{\heartsuit,x}(\mathcal{H}) = [C' : C]^{-1} \sum_y e(n,y)$ where y ranges over all points of C' lying over x and $e(n,y) \geq 0$ is the integer such that $((f')^* J)_y = m_y^{e(n,y)}$.

Our definition of $H_{\heartsuit,S,n}(M)$ is the arithmetic analogue of the definition of $h_{\heartsuit,n}(\mathcal{H})$. Thus $H_{\heartsuit,S,n}(M)$ is like the height function, which lacks the part of places in S, associated to the boundary of the moduli space of motives with full level n structure.

2.2.15. Like $H_{\spadesuit}$, the height function $H_{\clubsuit}$ is also related to differential forms and measures on some period domain.

Fix an integer w and integers $h(r) \geq 0$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$) such that $h(r) = 0$ for almost all r and $h(w-r) = h(r)$ for all r. We fix an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $H_{0,\mathbb{R}}$ of dimension $\sum_r h(r)$. Let $H_{0,\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H_{0,\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\check{D}$ be the set of all decreasing filtrations F on $H_{0,\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying the following (i).

(i) $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{gr}^r H_{0,\mathbb{C}} = h(r)$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $D \subset \check{D}$ be the subset consisting of all $F \in \check{D}$ satisfying the following (ii).

(ii) $(H_{0,\mathbb{R}}, F)$ is a pure $\mathbb{R}$-Hodge structure of weight w.

Then D is an open set of the complex analytic manifold $\check{D}$ and hence is also a complex analytic manifold. If $\mathcal{H}_{D,\mathcal{O}}$ denotes the universal Hodge bundle on D, $\mathcal{E} := \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\mathcal{H}_{D,\mathcal{O}})/F^0$ and Ω^1_D are canonically dual vector bundles of each other. By the method of §1.4, we have a canonical C^∞ metrics on $\det_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\mathcal{E})^{-1} \cong \det_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Omega^1_D)$, whose fibers give the metrics used in the definition of $H_{\clubsuit}$ in §1.4. It corresponds to a canonical measure on D.

2.2.16. We have the following philosophy on the height function $H_{\star\diamondsuit}$.

The positivity of its Hodge theoretic analogue $h_{\star\diamondsuit}$ (Proposition 1.6.16) and the finiteness in 2.1.24 suggest that, in the comparison of (1) and (2) of 0.1, the height function $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M)$ is similar to the height function H_A on $V(F)$ associated to an ample line bundle A on $\bar{V}$.

2.3 Speculations on curvatures and motives

In 2.3.2–2.3.11, we review a work of Griffiths (2.3.6) on curvature forms on Griffiths domains, works of Griffiths and Peters on variations of Hodge structure on curves, and give complements.

In 2.3.12–2.3.17, we present questions about the relation of the curvature forms on the Griffiths domains and motives over number fields.

2.3.1. In this §2.3, we fix $\Phi = ((h(w,r))_{w,r\in\mathbb{Z}}, H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}, W, (\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w)_{w\in\mathbb{Z}})$ as in 2.2.1.

Except in 2.3.17, we assume that Φ is pure of weight w (that is, $W_w = H_{0,\mathbb{Q}}$ and $W_{w-1} = 0$. So, $h(w',r) = 0$ if $w' \neq w$. Let $h(r) := h(w,r)$.

Let D be the associated Griffiths domain (2.3.2).

2.3.2. Let S be a complex analytic manifold. For a holomorphic line bundle L on S with a C^∞ metric $|\ |$ (2.2.8), the associated curvature form κ (called also the Chern form), which is a $(1,1)$-form on S, is defined as follows. Let e be a local base of L, and let $\kappa = \bar\partial\partial \log(|e|)$. Then this is independent of e, and defined globally.

It gives an Hermitian form on the tangent bundle T_S of S.

2.3.3. Let $\mathcal{H}_D$ be the universal family of Hodge structure on the Griffiths period domain D. It has the universal Hodge filtration and polarization. (This family $\mathcal{H}_D$ is not necessarily a variation of Hodge structure, that is, it need not satisfy Griffiths transversality.)

Let $T_D = \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\mathcal{H}_{D,\mathcal{O}})/F^0$ be the tangent bundle of D (2.2.5), and consider the sub bundle

$$T_{D,h} = \{(f_r)_r \in \oplus_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}\, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\mathrm{gr}^r\mathcal{H}_{D,\mathcal{O}}, \mathrm{gr}^{r-1}\mathcal{H}_{D,\mathcal{O}}) \mid f_{w+1-r} = -{}^t f_r\ (\forall r)\} \subset T_D$$

called the *horizontal tangent bundle*. Here ${}^t f_r$ denotes the transpose of f_r with respect to $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w$. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we will denote by $T_{D,h,r}$ the direct summand $\{(f_i)_i \in T_{D,h} \mid f_i = 0 \text{ for } i \neq r, w+1-r\}$. We have $T_{D,h,r} = T_{D,h,w+1-r}$.

2.3.4. Let κ_r for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the restriction to $T_{D,h}$ of the curvature form of the canonical Hodge metric of $\det_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\mathrm{gr}^r\mathcal{H}_{D,\mathcal{O}})$.

These curvature forms κ_r are $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant where $G = \mathrm{Aut}(H_{0,\mathbb{Q}}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle_w)$. Since $G(\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on D, these κ_r are determined by its fiber at any point of D.

We have

$$\kappa_{w-r} = -\kappa_r$$

since $\mathrm{gr}^r\mathcal{H}_{D,\mathcal{O}}$ and $\mathrm{gr}^{w-r}\mathcal{H}_{D,\mathcal{O}}$ are dual vector bundles with dual metrics by the polarization. Hence $2\kappa_r = \kappa_r - \kappa_{w-r}$, and this $\kappa_r - \kappa_{w-r}$ is the curvature form of the canonical metric on $\det_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\mathrm{gr}^r\mathcal{H}_{D,\mathcal{O}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_D} \det_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\mathrm{gr}^{w-r}\mathcal{H}_{D,\mathcal{O}})^{-1}$ defined in 1.4.1 without using polarization. Hence κ_r can be defined without using the polarization of $\mathcal{H}_D$.

2.3.5. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\kappa_{\geq r} := \sum_{i\geq r} \kappa_i$$

This is the restriction to $T_{D,h}$ of the curvature form of the Hodge metric of $\det_{\mathcal{O}_D}(F^r\mathcal{H}_{D,\mathcal{O}})$.

From $\kappa_{w-r} = -\kappa_r$ $(r \in \mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$\kappa_{\geq r} = \kappa_{\geq w+1-r} \quad \text{for any } r, \quad \kappa_{\geq r} = 0 \quad \text{for almost all } r.$$

2.3.6. The following was proved by Griffiths ([17]).

Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the Hermitian form $\kappa_{\geq r}$ on the horizontal tangent bundle $T_{D,h}$ is positive semi-definite. Its restriction to the component $T_{D,h,r}$ of $T_{D,h}$ (2.3.3) is positive definite.

In particular, the Hermitian form $\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} \kappa_{\geq r} = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} r\kappa_r$ on $T_{D,h}$ is positive definite.

2.3.7. Here we review roughly how Griffiths ([17]) and Peters ([33]) proved the case $\mathcal{H}$ is pure of Proposition 1.6.16.

Let C, $\mathcal{H}$, and U be as in 1.6.1 and assume $\mathcal{H}$ is pure. Fix a polarization of $\mathcal{H}$, and assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ (2.2.6 (3.1)).

Let $c(r) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(1) Assume $\sum_r c(r)\kappa_r$ is positive semi-definite as an Hermitian form on $T_{D,h}$. Then

$$\sum_r c(r)\deg(\mathrm{gr}^r\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}) \geq 0.$$

(2) Assume $\sum_r c(r)\kappa_r$ is positive definite as an Hermitian form on $T_{D,h}$ and assume $\sum_r c(r)\deg(\mathrm{gr}^r\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}) = 0$. Then if $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure, $\mathcal{H}$ becomes a constant pure Hodge structure on some finite étale covering of C.

By 2.3.6, taking $c(r) = r$, this (1) (resp. (2)) proves the case $\mathcal{H}$ is pure of 1.6.16 (1) (resp. (2).

The above (1) and (2) are proved, roughly speaking, as follows. Let $\tilde{U}$ be the universal covering of U. We have the period map $f : \tilde{U} \to D$ associated to $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{H}$, and the pullback of $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{H}$ to $\tilde{U}$ coincides with the pullback of the universal object $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_D$ on D via the period map. Hence the pullback of the curvature form of $\det_{\mathcal{O}_C}\mathrm{gr}_F^r H_{\mathcal{O}}$ on $\tilde{U}$ coincides with the pullback of κ_r under the period map. On the other hand,

(3) $\deg(\mathrm{gr}_F^r\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}})$ is the integration of the curvature form of $\det_{\mathcal{O}_C}\mathrm{gr}_F^r H_{\mathcal{O}}$ over the curve C.

(4) For the period map $f : \tilde{U} \to D$, the induced map $T_{\tilde{U}} \to T_D$ of the tangent bundles factors through the horizontal tangent bundle $T_{D,h} \subset T_D$.

(1) follows from these. If the assumption of (2) is satisfied, these prove that the map $T_{\tilde{U}} \to T_D$ of the tangent bundles is trivial, and hence the period map f is a constant map. Hence the pullback of $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{H}$ to $\tilde{U}$ is constant. Since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure and since $\mathcal{H}$ is polarizable, the global monodromy group of $\mathcal{H}$ is finite, and hence $\mathcal{H}$ becomes constant over a finite étale covering of C.

2.3.8. The assumption in (1) (resp. (2)) of 2.3.7 depends only on $h(r)$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$) and the $\mathbb{R}$-isomorphism class of $(H_{0,\mathbb{R}}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle_w)$. That is, if we replace $(H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle_w)$ in Φ by $(H'_{0,\mathbb{Z}}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle'_w)$ such that there is an $\mathbb{R}$-isomorphism $g : \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_{0,\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H'_{0,\mathbb{Z}}$ via which $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w$ and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle'_w$ correspond, the assumption in (1) (resp. (2)) for the new period domain D' is equivalent to that for D. In fact, g induces an isomorphism $D \to D'$ via which κ_r for D' corresponds to κ_r for D'.

2.3.9. Let

$$\kappa_\star = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} \kappa_{\geq r}, \quad \kappa_\clubsuit = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} a(h,r)\kappa_r, \quad \kappa_\spadesuit = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} b(h,w,r)\kappa_r$$

where $a(h,r)$ (resp. $b(h,w,r)$) is as in 1.4.6 (1) (resp. (2)).

Lemma 2.3.10. *Let $S = \{r \in \mathbb{Z} \mid h(r) \neq 0\}$ and let $S^* \subset S$ be the complement of the smallest element of S. For $s \in S^*$, let s' be the largest element of S such that $s > s'$.*

(1)

$$\kappa_\star = \sum_{s \in S^*} (s - s')\kappa_{\geq s}, \quad \kappa_\clubsuit = 2 \sum_{s \in S^*} h(s)\kappa_{\geq s}.$$

(2) Let m be the minimal element of S^* such that $m > w/2$ where w is the weight. Then

$$\kappa_\spadesuit = (\sum_{s \in S^*} h(s)\kappa_{\geq s}) - (-1)^w \kappa_{\geq m},$$

Proof. This can be obtained by elementary computation. □

Recall that $\kappa_\star$ is positive definite (2.3.6).

Proposition 2.3.11. *$\kappa_\clubsuit$ and $\kappa_\spadesuit$ are positive definite.*

Proof. For $\kappa_\clubsuit$, this follows from (1) of 2.3.10. If w is odd, $\kappa_\spadesuit \geq \frac{1}{2}\kappa_\clubsuit$ by (2) of 2.3.10 and hence $\kappa_\spadesuit$ is positive definite. Assume w is even. If $h(m-1) = 0$, the component $T_{D,h,m}$ of $T_{D,h}$ (2.3.3) is trivial and hence by (2) of 2.3.10 and by the result 2.3.6 of Griffiths, $\kappa_\spadesuit$ is positive definite. Assume $h(m-1) \neq 0$. Then by the definition of m, we have $m - 1 = w/2$ and $w/2 \in S^*$. Since $\kappa_{\geq m} = \kappa_{\geq w/2}$ (2.3.5),

$$\kappa_\spadesuit = (\sum_{s \in S^* \smallsetminus \{m, w/2\}} h(s)\kappa_{\geq s}) + \frac{1}{2}h(w/2)\kappa_{\geq m} + \frac{1}{2}h(w/2)\kappa_{\geq w/2}.$$

By the result of Griffiths 2.3.6, this proves that $\kappa_\spadesuit$ is positive definite. □

As Koshikawa shows in [28], [29], we can often deduce properties of a height function for motives from corresponding properties of the Hodge analogues. The above Hodge analogues lead to the following questions.

2.3.12. Let $c(r) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Question 1. Are the following three conditions (i)–(iii) equivalent?

(i) The Hermitian form $\sum_r c(r)\kappa_r$ on the horizontal tangent bundle $T_{D,h}$ of D is positive semi-definite.

(ii) There exists $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\prod_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} H_r(M)^{c(r)} \geq C^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]}$$

for any number field F and for any M over F in Case (pure) of type $\Phi_\mathbb{R}$ (2.2.6 (4)).

(iii) $\sum_r c(r)\deg(\mathrm{gr}^r \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{O}) \geq 0$ for any $(C, \mathcal{H})$ as in 1.6.1 of type $\Phi_\mathbb{R}$ (2.2.6 (4)).

By the works of Griffiths and Peters, (i) ⇒ (iii) is true.

Question 2. Are the following (i)–(iii) equivalent?

(i) The Hermitian form $\sum_r c(r)\kappa_r$ on the horizontal tangent bundle $T_{D,h}$ of D is positive definite.

(ii) If we fix an integer $d \geq 1$ and $C > \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, there are only finitely many stable isomorphism classes of (F, M) where F is a number field such that $[F : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d$ and M over F is in Case (pure) of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$\prod_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} H_r(M)^{c(r)} \leq C.$$

(iii) If $(C, \mathcal{H})$ is as in 1.6.1 of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\sum_r c(r)\deg(\mathrm{gr}^r\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}) \leq 0$ and if $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure, $\mathcal{H}$ becomes constant over some finite étale covering of C.

By the works of Griffiths and Peters, the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is true.

2.3.13. In the above, the stable isomorphism class is defined as follows. An object over a number field F_1 and an object over a number field F_2 are said to be stably isomorphic if there is a number field F_3 and homomorphisms $F_1 \to F_3$ and $F_2 \to F_3$ such that the two objects become isomorphic over F_3.

Remark 2.3.14. The above Questions 1 and 2 are based on the analogous known results (1) and (2) on usual height functions, respectively. Let V and $\bar{V}$ be as in (2) in 0.1.

(1) Let E be an effective divisor on $\bar{V}$ and let H be a height function associated to E. Then there is a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $H(x) \geq C^{[F':F]}$ for any finite extension F' of F and for any $x \in V(F')$.

(2) Let A be an ample line bundle on $\bar{V}$ and let H be an associated height function. Then for an integer $d \geq 1$ and for $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the number of pairs (F', x) where F' is a finite extension of F in a fixed algebraic closure $\bar{F}$ of F such that $[F' : F] \leq d$ and x is an element of $V(F')$ such that $H(x) \leq C$, is finite.

If the answers to the above questions are Yes, it gives a very strong consequence in number theory.

Lemma 2.3.15. *Assume that the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in the above Question 1 and the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in Question 2 are true. Let $k \geq 4$ be an even integer. Then modulo quadratic twists, there are only finitely many new forms of weight k (in the usual sense) without complex multiplication whose Fourier expansions are with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients.*

(We consider here only even k because any new form of odd weight whose Fourier expansion is with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients has complex multiplication by Ribet [36].)

Proof. Consider the case $h(w, r) = 1$ for $(w, r) = (1, 0), (1, k-1)$ and $h(w, r) = 0$ for all other (w, r). In this case, the horizontal tangent bundle of the Griffiths domain

is trivial. Hence $\kappa_{\geq r} = 0$ for any r there, and hence $-\kappa_\star \geq 0$. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in Question 1 tells that there is $C > 0$ such that $H_\star(M)^{-1} \geq C$ (that is, $H_\star(M) \leq C^{-1}$) for any pure motive M with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over $\mathbb{Q}$ of type $\Phi_\emptyset$. But the number of stable isomorphism classes of such M is finite if (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in Question 2 is true. For two new forms without complex multiplication, if they are stably isomorphic but not isomorphic, they are the quadratic twists of the other. □

Remark 2.3.16. The work of Robert [37] suggests that the surprising finiteness in 2.3.15 may be true.

The author thanks T. Koshikawa for informing the importance of this paper of Robert for the subject of this paper.

2.3.17. We consider the mixed motive version of the above Question 2, which is stronger than the finiteness statement considered in §2.1. (The number field F was fixed in §2.1 but F moves below.) Here we do not assume Φ is pure.

Question 3. Is the following true?

Fix $d \geq 1$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then there are only finitely many stable isomorphism classes of (F, M) where F is a number field such that $[F : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d$ and M over F is in Case (mix-pol) of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ (2.2.6 (2.3)) such that $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M) \leq C$.

If the answer of Question 1 in 2.3.12 is Yes, 2.3.11 shows that we can replace $H_{\star\diamondsuit}$ in Question 3 by $H_{\spadesuit\diamondsuit}(M) := (\prod_w H_\spadesuit(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M)) \cdot H_\diamondsuit(M)$, and also by $H_{\clubsuit\diamondsuit}(M) := (\prod_w H_\clubsuit(\mathrm{gr}^W_w M)) \cdot H_\diamondsuit(M)$, to get equivalent questions.

2.4 Speculations on Vojta conjectures

In this §2.4, we think how to formulate Vojta conjectures for motives. In 2.4.7, we give a related comment on Shafarevich conjecture for motives.

We fix $\Phi = ((h(w,r))_{w,r\in\mathbb{Z}}, H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}, W, (\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w)_{w\in\mathbb{Z}})$ as in 2.2.1.

2.4.1. Let M be a pure motive of $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients over a number field F. We say M is generic if for some $a : F \to \mathbb{C}$, for some polarizations $M_w \otimes M_w \to \mathbb{Q}(-w)$ of M_w for $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, and for some prime number p, the following (*) is satisfied.

Let $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}_{W,\langle\ ,\ \rangle}$ be the linear algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$ of automorphisms g of $M_{a,B}$ such that g respects the weight filtration W and such that for some $c(g) \in \mathbf{G}_m$, we have $\langle gx, gy\rangle_w = c(g)^w \langle gx, gy\rangle$ for any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $x, y \in \mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{a,B}$, where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w$ denotes the pairing $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{a,B} \times \mathrm{gr}^W_w M_{a,\mathbb{B}} \to \mathbb{Q}(-w)$ induced by the polarization of M_w.

(*) There is no algebraic subgroup H of $\tilde{G}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\dim(H) < \dim(\tilde{G})$ and such that the image of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ in $\tilde{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ defined by the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ on $M_{et,\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is contained in $H(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

(The philosophy of Mumford-Tate groups tells that the above condition (*) should be independent of the choices of $a : F \to \mathbb{C}$, the polarizations on $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M$, and the prime number p.)

The part of the following Question 4 concerning (1) (resp. (2)) asks whether a motive version of Conjecture 24.1 (b) (resp. 24.3 (b)) of Vojta in [45] is true.

2.4.2. Question 4. Is the following statement true?

Fix integers $d \geq 1$, $n \geq 3$, a finite set of places S_0 of $\mathbb{Q}$ which contains the archimedean place of $\mathbb{Q}$, and fix $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then there exist $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

(1) $H_{\heartsuit,S,n}(M) \cdot |D_F| \geq C \cdot H_{\spadesuit}(M) \cdot H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M)^{-\epsilon}$

(resp. (2) $(\prod_{v \in \Sigma_S(M)} N(v)) \cdot |D_F| \geq C \cdot H_{\spadesuit}(M) \cdot H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M)^{-\epsilon}$)

for any number field F such that $[F : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d$ and for any mixed motive M over F in Case (mixed-pol) of type $\Phi_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ which is generic (2.4.1) and which has a full level n structure.

Here S denotes the set of all places of F lying over S_0, $\Sigma_S(M)$ denotes the set of all non-archimedean places of F which do not belong to S and at which M has bad reduction, $N(v)$ denotes as usual the order of the residue field of v, and D_F denotes the discriminant of F.

Remark 2.4.3. (1) In the original conjecture of Vojta, an exceptional set is removed from the algebraic variety. The author hopes that putting the condition M is generic corresponds to it. A remark on this point is put in 2.5.13 (3).

(2) Vojta proved that his conjectures 24.1 (b) and 24.3 (b) in [45] are equivalent ([44]) though the latter seems stronger than the former.

In our motivic version, the answer Yes to the part (2) of Question 4 implies the answer Yes to the part (1) if the condition $C(v, M)$ (1.8.2) is satisfied and $e(n, v) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any v which does not lie over S_0.

In the pure case, basing on 2.3.11, we present the following question.

2.4.4. Question 5. Is the following statement true?

Fix integers d, n, S_0 and ϵ as in Question 4, and assume Φ is pure. Is there $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

(1) $H_{\heartsuit,S,n}(M) \cdot |D_F| \geq C \cdot H_{\spadesuit}(M)^{1-\epsilon}$

(resp. (2) $(\prod_{v \in \Sigma_S(M)} N(v)) \cdot |D_F| \geq C \cdot H_{\spadesuit}(M)^{1-\epsilon}$)

for any number field F such that $[F : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d$ and any M over F in Case (pure) of type $\Phi_{\emptyset}$ (2.2.6 (1)) which is generic (2.4.1) and which has a full level n structure.

Remark 2.4.5. Assume $h(w, r) = 1$ for $(w, r) = (1, 0), (1, 1)$ and $h(w, r) = 0$ for other (w, r). In this case, the moduli space of motives exists as an algebraic variety. It is the modular curve V which classifies elliptic curves with full level n structure. The toroidal compactification $\bar{V}$ of it is the compactified modular curve with cusps. In this case, the answer Yes to Question 1 is essentially equivalent to the case of Vojta conjectures 24.1 (b), 24.3 (b) of [45] for this V. The preprint [31] of Mochizuki proves this case of Vojta conjectures. As far as the author knows, the long referee

work on this preprint is not finished yet. In [31] and in the related work [30], Mochizuki studies heights of elliptic curves. Are his methods useful in the study of height functions for motives and Questions in this paper?

2.4.6. We do not put the condition generic in the following question.

Question 6. Assume Φ is pure. Is there $b \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfying the following?

If we fix d, n, S_0 as in Question 4, there is $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

(1) $H_{\heartsuit,S,n}(M) \cdot |D_F| \geq C \cdot H_\star(M)^b$

(resp. (2) $(\prod_{v \in \Sigma_S(M)} N(v)) \cdot |D_F| \geq C \cdot H_\star(M)^b$)

for any number field F such that $[F : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d$ and for any M over F of Case (pure) of type $\Phi_\emptyset$ which has a full level n structure.

2.4.7. The following is a natural generalization to motives, of Shafarevich conjecture for abelian varieties proved by Faltings.

Conjecture: Let F be a number field and let S be a finite set of non-archimdean places of F. Then there are only finitely many stable isomorphism classes (2.3.13) of pure motives M with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F of type $\Phi_\emptyset$ (2.2.6 (1)) such that M is of good reduction outside S.

This conjecture should follow if the part (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in Question 2 (2.3.12) is true and if the answer to either the part (1) or (2) of Question 6 is Yes.

2.5 Speculations on the number of motives of bounded height

2.5.1. Let V, $\bar{V}$ and $D = \bar{V} \smallsetminus D$ be as in (2) in 0.1 and let A be an ample line bundle on $\bar{V}$ and let $H = H_A$ be a height function on $V(F)$ associated to A. For $B \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, let

$$N(H, B) = \sharp\{x \in V(F) \mid H(x) \leq B\} < \infty.$$

Then it is known that in many cases, we have

$$N(H, B) = cB^a \log(B)^b (1 + o(1))$$

for some constants $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, $b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ (Manin, Batyrev,Tschhinkel, Peyre, and other people).

We wonder whether we have analogues things for motives.

2.5.2. We fix $\Phi = ((h(w,r))_{w,r\in\mathbb{Z}}, H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}, W, (\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w)_{w\in\mathbb{Z}})$ as in 2.2.1.

We fix $c(w,r) \in \mathbb{Q}$ $(w, r \in \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying the following condition (*).

For each $w \in \mathbb{D}$, consider the Griffiths period domain D_w associated to $\Phi_w = (h', \mathrm{gr}^W_w H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}, W', \langle\ ,\ \rangle_w)$ where $h'(w', r) = h(w, r)$ if $w' = w$ and $h(w', r) = 0$ if $w' \neq w$, $W'_w = \mathrm{gr}^W_w H_{0,\mathbb{Q}}$ and $W'_{w-1} = 0$. For $w, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\kappa_{w,r}$ be the curvature form κ_r (2.3.4) on D_w.

(*) For each w, $\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} c(w,r)\kappa_{w,r}$ is positive definite.

We also fix $t(d) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ for $d \geq 1$. We define the height functions H and h as follows.

For (F, M) in Case (mixed-pol), define

$$H(M) := (\prod_{w,r\in\mathbb{Z}} H_r(\mathrm{gr}_w^W M)^{c(w,r)}) \cdot (\prod_{d\geq 1} H_{\diamondsuit,d}(M)^{t(d)}).$$

For $(C, \mathcal{H})$ in 1.6.1 such that $\mathrm{gr}_w^W \mathcal{H}$ are polarized for all w, define

$$h(\mathcal{H}) := (\sum_{w,r\in\mathbb{Z}} c(w,r) h_r(\mathrm{gr}_w^W \mathcal{H})) + (\sum_{d\geq 1} t(d) h_{\diamondsuit,d}(\mathcal{H})).$$

For example, if we take $c(w,r) = r$ for all w, r and $t(d) = 1$ for all $d \geq 1$, the condition (*) is satisfied and

$$H(M) = H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M), \quad h(\mathcal{H}) = h_{\star\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H}).$$

2.5.3. Fix a number field F. For $B \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, let $N(H, B)$ be the number of stable isomorphism classes (2.3.13) of M over F in Case (mixed-pol) of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ (2.2.6 (2.3)) which is of semi-stable reduction such that $H(M) \leq B$.

Define $N_{\mathrm{gen}}(H, B)$ in the same way as $N(H, B)$ but counting only M which is generic in the definition of $N(H, B)$.

We expect that these numbers are finite.

2.5.4. Question 7. Do we have

$$N(H, B) = cB^a \log(B)^b (1 + o(1)), \quad N_{\mathrm{gen}}(H, B) = c' B^{a'} \log(B)^{b'} (1 + o(1))$$

for some constants $a, a' \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, $b, b' \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, $c, c' \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$?

See 2.5.13 (1) for the reason why we assume M is semi-stable in the definition in 2.5.3.

2.5.5. Example. Take an odd integer $r \geq 3$, and consider the case where $h(w, i) = 1$ if (w, i) is $(0, 0)$ or $(-2r, -r)$, and $h(w, i) = 0$ for other (w, i), $H_{0,\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}e + \mathbb{Z}e'$, $W_{-2r-1} = 0 \subset \mathbb{Q}e' = W_{-2r} = W_{-1} \subset W_0 = H_{0,\mathbb{Q}}$, $\langle e, e\rangle_0 = 1$, $\langle e', e'\rangle = (2\pi i)^{2r}$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}$. We define the height function H (2.5.2) by taking $t(2r) = 1$. That is, $H = H_{\star\diamondsuit} = H_\diamondsuit = H_{\diamondsuit,2r}$.

Assume that the usual philosophy $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(r)) \cong K_{2r-1}(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ on mixed motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ is true. We have $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}(r)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. There is a generator of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}(r))$ modulo torsion whose regulator in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{R}\mathrm{MHS}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}(r)) \cong \mathbb{R}$ is $e \cdot \zeta(r)$ for some $e \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ where $\zeta(s)$ is Riemann zeta function. (§6 of [7] and Vandiver conjecture suggest that this $e \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ is 1.) For the mixed motive M with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over $\mathbb{Q}$ with an exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{Z}(r) \to M \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$ whose class in $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is (n, m) where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we have $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M) = H_{\diamondsuit,2r}(M) = \exp((en\zeta(r))^{1/r})$. Hence $H(M) \leq B$ is equivalent to $e\zeta(r)|n| \leq \log(B)^r$. M is generic if and only of $n \neq 0$. From this, we have that both $N(H, B)$ and $N_{\mathrm{gen}}(H, B)$ have the form

$$c \cdot \log(B)^r (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{with } c = 4e^{-1}\zeta(r)^{-1}.$$

2.5.6. Define $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ as

$$\beta := \limsup \frac{\log(N_{\mathrm{gen}}(H,B))}{\log(B)} \quad (B \to \infty).$$

This β should be a' in 2.5.4.

In conjectures in [1], the constant a in 2.5.1 (defined using lim sup as above, and denoted by β in [1]) is related to a geometric invariant α which is defined by using a relation between the ample divisor A and the canonical divisor K of $\bar{V}$. Following the analogy, we will define a geometric invariant α_{Hodge} using the height functions $h_{\spadesuit}$ and $h_{\heartsuit}$ for variations of Hodge structure, and compare it in Question 8 with the above β. In the analogy, our height function $h_{\spadesuit}$ plays the role of H_{K+D}, and our height function $h_{\heartsuit}$ plays the role of H_D. Thus $h_{\spadesuit} - h_{\heartsuit}$ plays the role of $H_K = H_{K+D}H_D^{-1}$.

We also define an invariant α_{mot} which is similar to α_{Hodge} but which is defined by using motives.

2.5.7. Define $\alpha_{\mathrm{Hodge}} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ to be the inf of all $s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying the following condition (i).

(i) For any $(C, \mathcal{H})$ as in 1.6.1 such that $\mathrm{gr}_w^W \mathcal{H}$ are polarized for all $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is endowed with a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ which is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ (2.2.6 (3.3)), we have

$$sh(\mathcal{H}) + h_{\spadesuit}(\mathcal{H}) \geq h_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{H}).$$

(α_{Hodge} is defined to be ∞ if such s does not exist).

Define $\alpha_{\mathrm{mot}} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ to be the inf of all $s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying the following condition (ii).

(ii) There is $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for any number field F and for any M over F in Case (mixed-pol) of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$, we have

$$H(M)^s H_{\spadesuit}(M) \geq C^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]} \cdot H_{\heartsuit}(M).$$

(α_{mot} is defined to be ∞ if such s does not exist).

Question 8. Do we have $\alpha_{\mathrm{Hodge}}, \alpha_{\mathrm{mot}}, \beta < \infty$ always? Do we have

$$\alpha_{\mathrm{Hodge}} = \alpha_{\mathrm{mot},S} = \beta?$$

For the reason why we consider only generic motives in Question 8, see 2.5.13 (2). A remark on this point is put in 2.5.13 (3).

2.5.8. Example. Let $n \geq 1$, and consider the case $h(0,0) = 1$, $h(-2,-1) = n$, and $h(w,r) = 0$ for other (w,r). Let e_i $(0 \leq i \leq n)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-base of $H_{0,\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $W_{-2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Q}e_i$, and define $\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle = 1$, and define $\langle e_j, e_k \rangle_{-2}$ $(1 \leq j \leq n,$ $1 \leq k \leq n)$ to be $(2\pi i)^2$ if $j = k$ and 0 if $j \neq k$. We define the height function H (2.5.2) by taking $t(2) = 1$. That is, $H = H_{\star\diamondsuit} = H_{\diamondsuit} = H_{\diamondsuit,2}$.

The philosophy of motives tells that the set of stable isomorphism classes of M over F in Case (mixed-pol) of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ which is of semi-stable reduction is identified with the product $(F^\times)^n$ of n copies of $F^\times$. We assume this is true. For $a = (a_i)_{1\le i\le n}$ with $a_i \in F^\times$, let M_a be the corresponding mixed motive with the exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{Z}(1)^n \to M_a \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$. Then

$$H(M) = \exp(\sum_v (\sum_{i=1}^n \log(|a_i|_v)^2)^{1/2})$$

where v ranges over all places of F. M_a is generic if and only if $a_1, \dots, a_n$ are linearly independent in the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $F^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. We prove

$$\alpha_{\text{Hodge}} = \alpha_{\text{mot}} = \beta = 1.$$

First we prove $\alpha_{\text{Hodge}} = 1$. If $(C, \mathcal{H})$ is as in 1.6.1 with polarized $\mathrm{gr}_w^W \mathcal{H}$ $(w \in \mathbb{Z})$ and with $\mathbb{Z}$-structure $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and is of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$, then $\mathcal{H}$ is the extension $0 \to \mathbb{Z}(1)^n \to \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$ defined by a family $(f_i)_{1\le i\le n}$ of non-zero elements f_i of the function field of C, and

$$h_{\star\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{x\in C} (\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{ord}_x(f_i)^2)^{1/2},$$

$$h_{\spadesuit}(\mathcal{H}) = 0,$$

$$h_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{x\in C} \mathrm{GCD}\{|\mathrm{ord}_x(f_i)| \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$$

where GCD is the greatest common divisor. Hence $sh_{\star\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H}) + h_{\spadesuit}(\mathcal{H}) \ge h_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{H})$ means $sh_{\star\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H}) \ge h_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{H})$. We have clearly $h_{\star\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H}) \ge h_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{H})$. On the other hand, if we take $f_i = 1$ for $2 \le i \le n$, we have $h_{\star\diamondsuit}(\mathcal{H}) = h_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{x\in C} |\mathrm{ord}_x(f_1)|$. These prove $\alpha_{\text{Hodge}} = 1$.

Next we prove $\alpha_{\text{mot}} = 1$. Let M be the mixed motive with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F in Case (mixed-pol) of type $\Phi_{\mathbb{R},\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ given by an element $a = (a_i)_{1\le i\le n}$ of $(F^\times)^n$. Then $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M) = \exp(\sum_v (\sum_{i=1}^n \log(|a_i|_v)^2)^{1/2})$ where v ranges over all places of F, $H_{\spadesuit}(M) = 1$, and $H_{\heartsuit}(M) = \prod_v N(v)^{\mathrm{GCD}\{|\mathrm{ord}_v(a_i)| \mid 1\le i\le n\}}$ where v ranges over all non-archimedean places of F. We have clearly $H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M) \ge H_{\heartsuit}(M)$. On the other hand, if we take $a_i = 1$ for $i \ge 2$,

$$\frac{\log(H_{\star\diamondsuit}(M))}{\log(H_{\heartsuit}(M))} - 1 = \frac{\log(\prod_{v|\infty} \max(|a_1|_v, |a_1|_v^{-1}))}{\log(\prod_{v|\not\infty} \max(|a_1|_v, |a_1|_v^{-1}))}$$

can converge to 0 when we move a_1 suitably. These prove $\alpha_{\text{mot}} = 1$.

Finally we prove $\beta = 1$. For $a = (a_i)_{1\le i\le n} \in (F^\times)^n$, we compare our height function $H(a) = \exp(\sum_v (\sum_{i=1}^n \log(|a_i|_v)^2)^{1/2}$ with the height functions

$$H_1(a) = \exp(\sum_v \sum_{i=1}^n |\log(|a_i|_v)|), \quad H_2(a) = \exp(\sum_v \max\{|\log(|a_i|_v)| \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$$

where v ranges over all places of F.

We will deduce the following Claim from the work [2].

Claim. For $i = 1, 2$, we have $\limsup \log(N(H_i, B))/\log(B) = 1$.

Since $H_1 \geq H \geq H_2$, Claim shows $\limsup \log(N(H, B))/\log(B) = 1$. We can obtain $\limsup \log(N_{\mathrm{gen}}(H, B))/\log(B) = 1$ from it easily.

Proof of Claim. Let $V := \mathbf{G}_m^n$, $\bar{V}_1 = (\mathbf{P}^1)^n$, and let D_1 be the divisor $\bar{V}_1 \smallsetminus V$ with simple normal crossings. Let $\bar{V}_2$ be the blowing-up of $\bar{V}_1$ along all intersections of two irreducible components of D_1 (i.e. the bowing-up of $\bar{V}_1$ by the product ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{V}_1}$ of the ideals which define intersections of two irreducible components of D_1). Let D_2 be the divisor $\bar{V}_2 \smallsetminus V$ on $\bar{V}_2$ with simple normal crossings. Then for $i = 1, 2$, H_i is a height function associated to the divisor D_i on $\bar{V}_i$. Hence by the work [2] on Main-Batyrev conjecture for toric varieties, $\limsup \log(N(H_i, B))/\log(B)$ coincides with the inf of all $s \geq 0$ such that $sD_i + K_i \geq 0$ where K_i is a canonical class of $\bar{V}_i$ and ≥ 0 means that the left hand side is rationally equivalent to an effective divisor. We have $\Omega^1_{\bar{V}_1}(\log D_1) \cong \mathcal{O}^n_{\bar{V}_1}$ and $\Omega^1_{\bar{V}_2}(\log D_2)$ is the pul back of $\Omega^1_{\bar{V}_1}(\log D_1)$ on $\bar{V}_2$ and hence for $i = 1, 2$, $K_i + D_i$ are rationally equivalent to 0. Hence $sD_i + K_i \geq 0$ is rewritten as $sD_i \geq D_i$, which means $s \geq 1$.

2.5.9. The following Question 9 is a refined and generalized version of Question 8. In Question 9, we consider the type of monodromy. In other words, we specify the intersection with the boundary of the period domain (or philosophically, the intersection with the boundary of the moduli space of motives).

For $(C, \mathcal{H})$ as in 1.6.1 and for $x \in C$, let

$$\psi_{\mathcal{H},x} : \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{Z}$$

be the following map. $\psi(w, m, d)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-dimension of the image of $N'^{\,d}_x : \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_m \mathrm{gr}^W_w \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x} \to \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{m-2} \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-d} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},x}$ where N'_x is the local monodromy operator at x defined in 1.6.1, $\mathcal{W}$ is the relative monodromy filtration, and $N'^{\,d}_x$ is as in 1.6.9.

Similarly, for a number field F and for M over F in Case (mixed) and for a non-archimedean place v of F, let

$$\psi_{M,v} : \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{Z}$$

be the following map. $\psi(w, m, d)$ is the dimension of the image of $N'^{\,d}_v : \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_m \mathrm{gr}^W_w \to \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{W}}_{m-2} \mathrm{gr}^W_{w-d}$.

Let Ψ be a subset of the set of all maps $\psi : \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let S be a finite set of places of F containing all archimedean places of F. We define the height functions $h_{\heartsuit,\Psi}(\mathcal{H})$, $H_{\heartsuit,S,\Psi}(M)$ and the counting function $N_{\mathrm{gen},S,\Psi}(H, B)$ which take the shapes of the monodromy operators into account. if Ψ is the set of all maps $\mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we will have $h_{\heartsuit,\Psi} = h_{\heartsuit}$, $H_{\heartsuit,S,\Psi} = H_{\heartsuit,S}$, and $N_{\mathrm{gen},S,\Psi}(H, B) = N_{\mathrm{gen}}(H, B)$.

For $(C, \mathcal{H})$ be as in 1.6.1 such that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is given a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure, define $h_{\heartsuit,\Psi}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_x h_{\heartsuit,x}(\mathcal{H}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ where x ranges over all points of C such that $\psi_{\mathcal{H},x} \in \Psi$.

Define $H_{\heartsuit,\Psi}(M) = \prod_v H_{\heartsuit,v}(M)$ where v ranges over all places of F outside S such that $\psi_{M,v} \in \Psi$.

Let $N_{\mathrm{gen},S,\Psi}(H,B)$ be the number of all stable isomorphism classes of M which appeared in the definition of $N_{\mathrm{gen}}(H,B)$ such that $\psi_{M,v} \in \Psi$ for any place $v \notin S$ of F.

2.5.10. The above $h_{\heartsuit,\Psi}$ can be expressed in the same form

$$h_{\heartsuit,\Psi}(\mathcal{H}) = [C' : C]^{-1} \sum_{x \in C'} e(x), \quad ((f')^* J_\Psi)_x = m_x^{e(x)}$$

as in the case $n = 1$ of 2.2.14, by using a part $[\Psi]$ of the boundary of the period domain $\mathfrak{S} = G_{\mathbb{Z}} \backslash D_\Xi$. Here J_Ψ is the invertible ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}'}$ associated to the following ideal $I_{\mathfrak{S},\Psi}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ (in the same way as J in 2.2.14 was associated to $I_{\mathfrak{S}}$). Let $S = G_{\mathbb{Z}} \backslash D \subset \mathfrak{S}$. Then we have a connected component $[\Psi]$ of $\mathfrak{S} \setminus S$ consisting of all classes of $(\sigma, Z) \in D_\Xi$ with $\sigma = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} N$, $N \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)$, $N \neq 0$, such that (W, N) has a relative monodromy filtration $\mathcal{W}$ and such that the map

$$(w,m,d) \mapsto \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{Image}(N_{w,m,d} : \mathrm{gr}_m^{\mathcal{W}} \mathrm{gr}_w^W H_{0,\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{gr}_{m-2}^{sW} \mathrm{gr}_{w-d}^W H_{0,\mathbb{Q}}))$$

belongs to Ψ. $I_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ consisting of functions which have values 0 on $[\Psi]$.

2.5.11. Let the notation be as in 2.5.9. Let F be a number field. Let S_0 (resp. S_1) be a finite set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ (resp. F) containing all archimedean places. Define the modified versions

$$\beta_{S_1,\Psi}, \quad \alpha_{\mathrm{Hodge},\Psi}, \quad \alpha_{\mathrm{mot},S_0,\Psi} \quad \text{of} \quad \beta, \quad \alpha_{\mathrm{Hodge}}, \quad \alpha_{\mathrm{mot}}$$

by replacing

$$N_{\mathrm{gen}}(H,B) \quad \text{by} \quad N_{\mathrm{gen},S_1,\Psi}(H,B),$$

replacing

$$sh(\mathcal{H}) + h_{\spadesuit}(\mathcal{H}) \geq h_{\heartsuit}(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{by} \quad sh(\mathcal{H}) + h_{\spadesuit}(\mathcal{H}) \geq h_{\heartsuit,\Psi}(\mathcal{H}),$$

and replacing

$$H(M)^s H_{\spadesuit}(M) \geq C \cdot H_{\heartsuit}(M) \quad \text{by} \quad H(M)^s H_{\spadesuit}(M) \geq C \cdot H_{\heartsuit,S_0',\Psi}(M)$$

where S_0' is the set of all places lying over S_0, respectively.

Question 9. Do we have $\alpha_{\mathrm{Hodge},\Psi} = \alpha_{\mathrm{mot},S_0,\Psi} = \beta_{S_1,\Psi}$?

2.5.12. Assume $\Psi = \{0\}$. We have $\alpha_{\mathrm{Hodge},\Psi} = 0$ in this case because $h_{\heartsuit,\Psi}(\mathcal{H}) = 0$ for any $\mathcal{H}$ which appears in the definition of $\alpha_{\mathrm{Hodge},\Psi}$. This implies $\beta_{S,\Psi} = 0$ if the answer to Question 9 is Yes. This vanishing of $\beta_{S,\Psi}$ for $\Psi = \{0\}$ seems reasonable: In this case, $N_{\mathrm{gen},S,\Psi}(H,B)$ counts mixed motives which are of good reduction outside S. By Shafarevich conjecture 2.4.7 for pure motives, the number of possible pure graded quotients should be finite even if the height is not bounded. Hence the problem is reduced to count the number of mixed motives with fixed pure graded quotients, and we expect $N_{\mathrm{gen},S,\Psi}(B) = O(\log(B)^n)$ for some n as in (1) of 2.5.14.

Remark 2.5.13. (1) In this §2.5, we treated only semi-stable motives. The reason is that the author can not compute examples otherwise. In 2.5.8, if we do not assume semi-stability, we should include the stable isomorphism classes of mixed motives with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F having exact sequences $0 \to \mathbb{Z}(1)(\chi) \to M \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$ with the quadratic twists $\mathbb{Z}(1)(\chi)$ of $\mathbb{Z}(1)$ associated to quadratic extensions of F. Then the author can not compute $N_{\rm gen}(H, B)$ or $N(H, B)$. If we do not assume the semi-stability, the understanding of these numbers becomes similar to the hard problem to formulate a version of the original Batyrev-Manin conjecture counting not only points of $V(F)$ of bounded height but counting points of $V(F')$ of bounded height where F' varies over finite extensions of F of a fixed degree.

(2) In the motivic version of Batyrev-Manin conjecture, we consider only generic motives. In the original Batyrev-Manin conjecture for (2) in 0.1, some closed subset of the algebraic variety V, which has too many F-rational points, is removed if necessary. The author hopes that putting the condition generic corresponds to it.

(3) In §2.4 and §2.5, we considered mainly generic motives in the sense of this paper, that is, motives whose Mumford-Tate groups are of finite index in $\tilde{G}_{W,\langle\,,\,\rangle}$. In a sequel of this paper, we will treat more general motives. To treat a motive whose Mumford-Tate group is an algebraic group G over $\mathbb{Q}$, we will consider the height functions for G-motives over a number field F. Here a G-motive over F means an exact tensor functor from the category of finite-dimensional representations of G over $\mathbb{Q}$ to the category of mixed motives over F. Just as we removed motives whose Mumford-Tate group H are of smaller dimensions in §2.4 and §2.5, we will remove G-motives which are indued from H-motives for algebraic subgroups H of G such that $\dim(H) < \dim(G)$.

Remark 2.5.14. We can also formulate the problem to count the numbers of mixed motives whose pure graded quotients are fixed. This is closely related to Beilinson conjectures in [3] and Tamagawa number conjectures in [7] on zeta values. Let F be a number field. Assume that for each $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, we are given a pure motive M_w with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F of weight w. Assume $M_w = 0$ for almost all w. Let $X(F)$ be the set of all isomorphism classes of mixed motives M with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients over F such that $\mathrm{gr}^W_w M = M_w$ for all w. For $B \geq 0$, let $N(B) = \sharp\{M \in X(F) \mid H_\diamondsuit(M) \leq B\}$.

(1) The author imagines that it may be possible to deduce $N(B) = O(\log(B)^n)$ for some $n \geq 0$ from the conjectures in [3] and [7] which appear in §2.1, but it may be harder to show that $N(B) = c\log(B)^m(1 + o(1))$ for some $m \geq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, generalizing 2.5.5. Assuming such c, m exist, we ask how this c is expressed by zeta values.

(2) Assume that $\mathrm{gr}^W_0 M = \mathbb{Z}$ and that there is $w < 0$ such that $M_{w'} = 0$ for $w' \neq 0, w$. Then $X(F) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, M_w)$ is a group. In [7], the adelic period domain $\mathbb{I}_v X(F_v)$ was defined by using the Ext groups $X(F_v)$ in the category of mixed Hodge structures for v archimedean and in the category of p-adic Hodge structures for v non-archimdean, and the Tamagawa measure $\mu_\diamondsuit$ on $\mathbb{I}_v X(F_v)$ was defined. For simplicity, assume $w < -2$. Then Tamagawa number conjecture in [7] predicts

that the volume $\mu_\diamondsuit(X(F)\backslash \prod_v X(F_v))$ of the quotient group $X(F)\backslash \prod_v X(F_v)$ is a rational number (this rationality is a reformulation of Beilinson conjecture in [3] on zeta values) which is related to Tate-Shafarevich group etc.

(3) It is interesting how this (2) can be extended to the situation where the order of the set $\{w \mid M_w \neq 0\}$ can be ≥ 3. The author plans to define the adelic period domain $\prod_v X(F_v)$ and the Tamagawa measure $\mu_\diamondsuit$ on $\prod_v X(F_v)$ in another paper. Since $X(F)$ and $X(F_v)$ are not groups any more, we can not consider the quotient space $X(F)\backslash \prod_v X(F_v)$ but we can consider how many points of $X(F)$ are distributed inside $\prod_v X(F_v)$ with respect to the Tamagawa measure. For simplicity, assume that if $a > b$ and if $M_a \neq 0$ and $M_b \neq 0$, then $a - b > 2$. The height function $H_\diamondsuit : X(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is induced from a continuous map $H_\diamondsuit : \prod_v X(F_v) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ which is the product of continuous maps $H_{\diamondsuit,v} : X(F_v) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. The set $C(B) := \{x \in \prod_v X(F_v) \mid H_\diamondsuit(x) \leq B\}$ is compact and of finite volume for $\mu_\diamondsuit$. The problem in (1) should be extended to the problem of the study of the limit of the ratio $(N(B) : \log(B)^m : \mu_\diamondsuit(C(B)))$ when $B \to \infty$. The volume $\mu_\diamondsuit(X(F)\backslash \prod_v X(F_v))$ in (2) is generalized as the limit $\lim_{B\to\infty} \mu_\diamondsuit(C(B))/N(B)$.

Thus Beilinson conjectures in [3] and Tamagawa number conjectures in [7] on zeta values may be generalized to the problem to count the number of mixed motives with fixed pure graded quotients with bounded height, which may be understood as a motive version of the work of Peyre [34] who studied c in 2.5.1 for Fano varieties V by using Tamagawa measures on the adelic spaces $\prod_v V(F_v)$.

References

[1] Batyrev, V.V, Manin, Y.I., *On the number of rational points of bounded height on algebraic varieties*, Math. Ann. **286** (1990), 27–43.

[2] Batyrev, V.V., Tschinkel, Y., *Manin's conjecture for toric varieties*, J. of Alg. Geom. **7** (1998), 15–53.

[3] Beilinson, A., *Higher regulators and values of L-functions*, J. Soviet Math. **30** (1985), 2036-2070.

[4] Beilinson, A., *Height pairing between algebraic cycles*, Contemp. Math. **67** (1987), 1–24.

[5] Bhatt, B., Morrow, M., Scholze, P., *Integral p-adic Hodge theory*, preprint (2016), arXiv:1602.03148.

[6] Bloch, S., *Height pairings for algebraic cycles*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **34** (1984), 119–145.

[7] Bloch, S., Kato, K., *L-Functions and Tamagawa Numbers of Motives*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, vol. 1, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser (1990), 333–400

[8] Cattani, E., Kaplan, A., Schmid, W., *Degeneration of Hodge structures*, Ann. of Math. **123** (1986), 457–535.

[9] Deligne P., *La conjecture de Weil, II*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **52** (1980), 137–252.

[10] Faltings, G. *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983), 349–366.

[11] Faltings, G. *Integral p-adic Hodge theory over very ramified valuation rings* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), 117–144.

[12] Faltings G. *Almost étale extensions*, Astérisque **279** (2002), 185–270.

[13] Fontaine, J-M., Laffaille, G, *Construction de représentations p-adiques*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **15** (1982), 547–608.

[14] Gillet, H., Soulé, C., *Intersection sur les variétés d'Arakelov*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **299**, Série I, (1984), 563–566.

[15] Gillet, H., Soulé, C., *Arithmetic intersection theory*, Publ. Math. IHES. **72**, (1990), 93–174.

[16] Griffiths, A., *Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds, I. Construction and properties of modular varieties*, Amer. J. Math. **90** (1968), 568–626.

[17] Griffiths, P., *Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds. III. Some global differential-geometric properties of the period mapping*, IHES. Publ. Math. **38** (1970), 125–180.

[18] Jannsen, U., Mixed motives and algebraic K-theory, With appendices by S. Bloch and C. Schoen. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1400 (1990).

[19] Kashiwara, M., *A study of variation of mixed Hodge structure*, Publ. R.I.M.S., Kyoto Univ. **22** (1986), 991–1024.

[20] Kato, K., *p-adic period domains and toroidal partial compactifications, I*, Kyoto J. Math. **51**, (2011), 561-631.

[21] Kato, K., *Heights of motives*, Proc. Japan. Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **90** (2014), 49–53.

[22] Kato, K., *Heights of mixed motives*, preprint (2013), Arxiv:1306.5693.

[23] Kato, K., Nakayama, C., Usui, S., *Classifying spaces of degenerating mixed Hodge structures*, I., Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **54** (2009), 187–222, II., Kyoto J. Math. **51**, 149–261 (2011), III., J. Algebraic Geometry **22** (2013), 671–772.

[24] Kato, K., Nakayama, C., Usui, S., *Extended period domains, algebraic groups, and higher Albanese manifolds*, to appear in a volume for the 65th birthday of Steven Zucker.

[25] Kato, K., Usui, S., *Classifying spaces of degenerating polarized Hodge structures*, Annals of Math. Studies, **169** (2009).

[26] Kedlaya, S., Liu, R., *Relative p-adic Hodge theory, I: Foundations*, preprint (2013), arXiv:1301.0792 (version 3).

[27] Kisin, M., *Crystalline representations and F-crystals*, Algebraic geometry and number theory, 459–496, Progr. Math., 253, Birkh[a]user Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.

[28] Koshikawa, T., *On heights of motives with semi-stable reduction*, preprint (2015), arXiv:1505.01873

[29] Koshikawa, T., *Hodge bundles and heights of pure motives*, Thesis, Univ. of Chicago (2016).

[30] Mochizuki, S.. *The Hodge-Arakelov Theory of Elliptic Curves: Global Discretization of Local Hodge Theories*, preprint (1999), 337 pages, posted on the homepage of S. Mochizuki.

[31] Mochizuki. S.. *Inter-universal Teichm uller Theory* I, II, III, IV (preprint 2012), 592 pages in total, posted on the homepage of S. Mochizuki.

[32] Scholze, P., *Perfectoid spaces*, Publ. Math. IHES. 116 (2012), 245–313.

[33] Peters, C., *A criterion for flatness of Hodge bundles over curves and geometric applications*, Math. Ann. **268** (1984), 1–19.

[34] Peyre, E., *Hauteurs et mesures de Tamagawa sur les variétés de Fano*, Duke Math. J. 79 (1995), 101–218.

[35] Rapoport, M., *Non-Archimedean period domains* , Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994), 423–434, Birkhäuser (1995).

[36] Ribet, K., *Galois representations attached to eigenforms with Nebentypus*, in Modular functions of one variable, V, Lecture Notes in Math., **601**, Springer, (1977), 17–51.

[37] Roberts, D. P, *Newforms with rational coefficients*, preprint (2016), arXiv:1611.06967.

[38] Schmid, W., *Variation of Hodge structure: the singularities of the period mapping*, Invent. Math. **22** (1973), 211–319.

[39] Scholze, P., Weinstein, J., *p-adic geometry*, Lecture notes from course at UC Berkeley in Fall 2014.

[40] Scholl, A. J., *Height pairings and special values of L-functions*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **55**, Part 1, (1994), 571–598.

[41] Schwarz, C., *Relative monodromy weight filtrations*, Math. Z. **236** (2001), 11–21.

[42] Steenbrink, J., Zucker, S., *Variation of mixed Hodge structure. I*, Invent. math. **80** (1985), 489 –542.

[43] Usui, S., *Variation of mixed Hodge structures arising from family of logarithmic deformations. II. Classifying space*, Duke Math. J. **51** (1984), 851–875.

[44] Vojta, P., *A more general abc conjecture*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **21** (1998), 1103–1116.

[45] Vojta, P., *Diophantine approximation and Nevanlinna theory*, In: Arithmetic Geometry, Cetraro, Italy 2007, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2009, Springer-Verlag (2011), 111–230.

[46] Zucker, S., *Hodge theory with degenerating coefficients: L^2-cohomology in the Poincaré metric*, Annals of Math. **109** (1979), 415–476..